

et adiuvante liberos matre aggredi
 similique leto sternere. hoc unum obstitit:
 tuos putasti.

THYESTES

Vindices aderunt dei;
 his puniendum vota te tradunt mea.

ATREUS

Te puniendum liberis trado tuis.

1110

COMMENTARY

PROLOGUE/ACT I (1-121)

The scene is set in front of the palace of Argos. In the predawn twilight the ghost of Tantalus appears, alarmed to find himself removed from his place of punishment in the underworld. He suspects that his descendants are about to surpass him in evil, and his fears are confirmed by a Fury who orders him to infect the house with his own impious spirit. Tantalus is horrified at the prospect of future crime unfolded by the Fury, and pleads to be allowed to resume his traditional torment. Ignoring his appeal, the Fury overcomes his resistance by inflaming the hunger and thirst with which Tantalus is eternally afflicted. His task of pollution accomplished, Tantalus is driven back to Tartarus as the upper world revolts at his unnatural presence.

Greek dramatists used the prologue to inform the audience of the background to the play and prepare them for the action to follow; the prologues of Greek tragedy are, of course, much more than versified plot-summaries, but they always perform this basic function. In many of Seneca's opening scenes, however, the element of exposition is inconspicuous or even absent: the Fury's long speech, for example, darkly alludes to past and future crimes of the Tantalids (32-48) and even foreshadows the *dénouement* of the play (54-62), but nothing in it would give an audience any notion of the conflicts between Atreus and Thyestes that have led to the present situation. For Seneca the main purpose of the prologue is to set the mood of the drama and to anticipate its leading themes: it is less an introduction than a microcosm of the play.

Thus in *Thyestes* the prologue creates an atmosphere of anxiety and disorientation. The intrusion of a figure from hell is the first of many signs that the normal boundaries of the upper and lower world will not be maintained (cf. 262-65, 668-78, 804-809, 1011-19), and the disturbances of nature with which the scene ends are a miniature version of the chaos unleashed at the climax of the action (776-884). At the verbal level, the prologue establishes virtually all the motifs and images that bind the play together: in the first twelve lines alone Seneca clusters references to displacement, greedy longing, flight or escape, perverted feasting and satiety, and the surpassing of normal limits.¹ In a broader form of anticipation, the conflict of wills between Tantalus and the Fury is a symbolic enactment in advance of the struggle between Atreus and Thyestes. Like Thyestes, Tantalus attempts to withstand the power of evil but is in the end defeated by his own appetites; on the other hand, the Fury resembles Atreus both in her insatiable lust for crime and in the ferocious vitality of her language. These connections are so close that it seems fair to regard Tantalus and the Fury as in part dramatized metaphors, embodiments of the inherited passions that drive Atreus and Thyestes.²

¹ See notes on 1 *extrahit*, 2 *avido* and *fugaces*, 4-5 *peius . . . aliquid*, 12 *plenum . . . pabulum*. On connections between the prologue and the rest of the play, see also Harry Hine, "The Structure of Seneca's *Thyestes*," *Papers of the Liverpool Latin Seminar* 3 (1981), 259-75.

² A similar point could be made about Vergil's treatment of Allecto in *Aeneid* VII 323-571 (an episode that has influenced the *Thyestes* prologue at several points; see on 23

The scene has no obvious model in extant Greek or Roman tragedy. Its closest parallel is in Euripides' *Heracles* 822–73, where Iris forces the reluctant Lyssa (= Madness) to infect Heracles; although this scene comes at the mid-point of the play, it has some of the features of a prologue and has often been described as a "second prologue."³ Elsewhere in Seneca the most obvious similarities are with the prologue of *Agamemnon*, spoken by the ghost of Thyestes; probably an earlier work than the *Thyestes*,⁴ the *Agamemnon* prologue is more straightforwardly expository and less subtle in its characterization. A nearer approach to the techniques of *Thyestes* can be seen in the prologue to *HF*, which contains both a vivid portrait of the embittered Juno and, in her unleashing of the powers of hell against Hercules, a symbolic foreshadowing of the play's central action.⁵

Thyestes is alone among Seneca's plays in having a prologue that is a true dialogue.⁶ The dialogue-form, and the clash of wills that it embodies, is another way in which the prologue mirrors the shape of the play as a whole: every act contains an *agon* of a different kind, a new attempt to restrain or resist the power of evil; each of these efforts is as futile as that of Tantalus, and so each phase of the play confirms the prologue's depiction of a world in which all customary norms have ceased to operate.

1–13 Quis . . . transcribō? the anxious questions establish a mood of uneasy foreboding; compare 623–25, 627–32 below.

1 extrahit: Tantalus feels displaced from his normal setting (a motif that recurs throughout the play, see above, p. 47).

2 avido . . . cibos: instead of using his name, Tantalus identifies himself by his most distinctive feature, his punishment. The line closely resembles the description of Tantalus in *Ag. 20 aquas fugaces ore decepto appetit*, but *avidō* stresses Tantalus' insatiable longing, the trait that links him to his descendants: most obviously Thyestes, the *avidus pater* of 277 and 1040, but also Atreus in his lust for revenge (cf. 709 *cupida*), and both brothers in their desire for power (342 *cupidi arcium*). The connection is translated into physical terms at the end of this scene, when Tantalus infects the house with his own *furor* and thirst (101–103).

fugaces . . . cibos: a conventional motif in descriptions of Tantalus (cf. Ovid *Am.* 2.2.43 *poma fugacia*, Sen. *Ag. 20* [previous note], ps-Quint. *Decl.* 12.28 *fugacibus cibis elusus*), but also the first appearance of the pervasive theme of "flight/escape" (see above, p. 46).

3–4 quis . . . iterum: probably = *quis ostendit iterum Tantalō male visas domos deorum?* ("who shows Tantalus a second time the homes of the gods he saw to his ruin?"). The disjointed word-order, especially the placing of *male*, may reflect Tantalus' distress at finding himself again in the upper world. [The A Mss read *vivas* for *visas* (i.e., *quis*

detestabilis, 52 *miscē*, 84–85, 85–86, 96–100, 105): the "reality" of the Fury as a character in the epic does not prevent her from bearing a symbolic significance (i.e., as the physical manifestation of the destructive emotions of Turnus and Anata).

³ See Bond *ad loc.*, who notes the similarity of the *Heracles* scene to the prologue of *Prometheus Bound*, where Hephaestus is compelled to fasten Prometheus to his rock.

⁴ See above, p. 11.

⁵ On this function of the prologue see Jo-Ann Shelton, *CSCA* 8 (1975), 257–69. The resemblances between *Thyestes* and *HF* become even stronger if Juno is seen as a manifestation of Hercules' own irrational drives, as is done by many recent writers; for an opposing view, cf. O. Zwielerlein, *Senecas Hercules im Lichte kaiserzeitlicher und spätantiker Deutung* (Mainz, 1984).

⁶ The prologue of *Oedipus* comprises a long monologue and a brief concluding exchange (1–81, 81–109); the long scene between Oedipus and Antigone that opens *Phoenissae* (1–319) cannot usefully be called a prologue.

deorum male ["cruelly"] *ostendit iterum Tantalō vivas domos?*), but *vivae domus* for "abodes of the living" (Miller) is unparalleled and unlikely. It is syntactically possible to combine *quis deorum* and make *male . . . visas domos* refer to the palace of Argos, but Tantalus in fact ruled at Sipylus in Phrygia (cf. 662–63), and the scene loses part of its point if he is simply returning to a former home rather than infecting his descendants' kingdom with his criminal spirit.]

male . . . visas: i.e., Tantalus' earlier sight of these *domus* led to disaster, cf. Ovid *Her.* 7.54 *expertae totiens tam male . . . aquae, Ibis* 265 (of Polymestor) *qui . . . oculis caruit per quos male viderat aurum*.

deorum . . . domos: the "home of the gods" is the sky, here standing for the upper world in general, cf. *Pha.* 1150–51 *caelum superosque Theseus / spectat*, *HF* 585–86 (the command to Orpheus) *tu non ante tuam respice coniugem / quam cum clara deos obtulerit dies* [if the text is sound; cf. O. Zwielerlein, *Würzburger Jahrbücher* n.s. 4 (1978), 143–45]. This phrase is unusually specific in naming the *domus*; perhaps we are meant to recall that the gods received Tantalus at their banquets (above, p. 68). [There could also be an ironic appropriateness in *deorum . . . domos*, since Atreus will soon declare himself a god and his palace a temple, cf. on 713, 902.]

Tantalō: self-dramatizing; Tantalus has a sense of his own notoriety (cf. 53).

4–13 Tantalus wonders if some new punishment has been devised for him; he asks if anything can be worse than his own perennial hunger and thirst and reviews the torments of the other famous sinners.

Tantalus, Sisyphus, Tityos, and Ixion were fixtures of the literary underworld long before Seneca (all but Ixion are present at *Odyssey* 11.576–600), but Tantalus' fear of changing places with another member of the group is a novel treatment of the commonplace; the idea is used for comic effect in *Apoc.* 14–15, and for other Senecan adaptations of the topic cf. *Med.* 743–49, *Pha.* 1229–34, *Ag.* 15–22 (nn). The notion contributes to the play's pervasive theme of disruption; not even in the underworld can established order be counted on to remain intact.

The passage is subtly shaped to fit its context: Tityos is placed last and treated with more detail than Sisyphus or Ixion, so that the description ends with the image of a perverted feast (12).

4–5 peius . . . aliquid: dread of (or longing for) something worse or greater than what normally constitutes a limit runs through the play, cf. 252–56, 272–75, 744–52, 1052–68. (Elsewhere in Seneca cf., e.g., *Ag.* 29, *Med.* 19–20, *Oed.* 18, 828.)

4–6 siti / arente . . . fame / hiante: the successive line-breaks between noun and modifier verbally depict Tantalus' separation from food and drink (while the strong enjambments suggest his ceaseless craving for them).

4–5 siti / arente in undis: the wording is close to Ovid *Am.* 3.7.51 *sic aret mediis taciti vulgator in undis*, but shows a typically Senecan mix of abstract and concrete: he omits the pictorially exact *mediis* and makes thirst rather than Tantalus the referent of *arente*. The same is true of *fame / hiante*, since *hiare* is normally used of persons or gaping mouths, cf., e.g., Curt. 4.16 *profluentes aquas hianti ore captantes*.

6–7 Sisyphi . . . venit: "can it be that (*numquid*) Sisyphus' stone is coming to my shoulders, to be carried by them"; *umeris* is to be taken both with *venit* and as dat. of agent with *gestandus*: see on 467 *iungenda*.

In the most common version of Sisyphus' punishment, he eternally rolls a huge stone to the top of a hill, from which it rolls back again (cf. *Od.* 11.593–600, *Lucr.* 3.1000–1001, *Ag.* 16–17 [n]). Here, though, *gestandus umeris* points to the rarer alternative in which

Sisyphus carries the stone to the top of the hill, where it slips from his grasp, cf. *HF* 751 *cervice saxum grande Sisyphia sedet*, *Apoc.* 14.3, Roscher 4.963-66.

6 numquid: here *numquid* implies anxiety; a negative answer is hoped for but cannot be confidently assumed (so also in 805, 807, 810). Its use by Atreus in 197-98 is more overtly rhetorical. [Seneca is the only classical writer who freely uses *numquid* in high poetry (13 instances, three more in *HO*). In general *numquid* introducing a direct question was treated as a colloquialism: it is absent from Cicero's speeches, Livy and Tacitus, love-elegy, Horace's lyrics, Vergil, and Ovid's *Metamorphoses*, and frequent in comedy, Horace's *Satires*, Petronius, and above all Senecan prose (more than 75 occurrences!). (See also J. B. Hofmann, *Lateinische Umgangssprache*³ [Heidelberg, 1951], 162, 203, who cites the replacement of *num* by *numquid* as an example of the colloquial preference for longer and more colorful forms.) Seneca may have taken his cue from Ovid, who admits *numquid* sporadically in his late elegiacs, cf. *F.* 4.7, *Tr.* 5.6.9, *Pont.* 2.9.23, and—if by Ovid—*Her.* 16.367, 21.84, 177. It is also conceivable that the usage existed in Republican tragedy, and that Seneca revived it as an item of tragic diction.]

8 aut . . . rota: "or the swiftly turning wheel pulling the limbs in opposite directions" (the punishment of Ixion).

differens: a strong word, used of men tied to horses and pulled apart, cf. Verg. *Aen.* 8.643, Livy 4.33.10.

rota: understand *venit* from 7, in a slightly altered sense ("come to me as my punishment").

9 poena Tityi: an introductory phrase signaling the more elaborate treatment given Tityos. Seneca follows Vergil in *Aen.* 6.595-600, stressing the regeneration of the liver and the unending feast of the birds; his wording, though, is highly original, with several bold phrases and a skillful interplay of abstract and concrete language.

qui specu vasto patens: probably "who lies spread open with a huge cavity" (*specus* = Tityos' gouged-out entrails, the *effossa viscera* of 10); for *specus* in this sense cf. Verg. *Aen.* 9.700 *specus atris vulneris* and the close parallel in Pliny *N.H.* 34.41 (the Colossus of Rhodes) *vasti specus hiant defractis membris*. [The phrase could also be rendered "who lies spread out in a huge cave"—cf. *HF* 718-19 *hic vasto specu / pendent tyranni limina*—, but this would lessen the horror Tantalus means to evoke.]

patens: *patere* is not often applied to a person; it suggests both Tityos' enormous bulk (the traditional nine *iugera* of *Aen.* 6.596) and the constant "openness" of his wound, cf. Cael. *ap. Cic. Fam.* 8.17.2 *nec . . . videmini intellegere qua nos pateamus* ("lie open to attack"), Sen. *Med.* 966 *pectus en Furtis patet*.

10 visceribus atras pascit effosis aves: a carefully balanced distribution of adjectives and nouns, with the verb in the center; the pattern could be notated AbCaB, with AB representing nouns, ab the corresponding adjectives, and C the verb. Similar arrangements are found in epic and elegy; the most famous (abCAB) has since the time of Dryden been called the "Golden Line." (For further details see E. Norden's commentary on *Aeneid* VI, Anhang 3; L. P. Wilkinson, *Golden Latin Artistry* [Cambridge, 1963], 215-17; C. Conrad, *HSCP* 69 [1965], 234-41.) Seneca uses such mannered lines to round off a section within a long speech (e.g., 452), to mirror the content of a line in its form (113), to heighten the expressive effect of a powerful image (cf. also 44), and to suggest a speaker's conscious manipulation of language (cf. 231, 786, 908).

[*visceribus*: a sixteenth-century emendation for *vulneribus*, supported by the imitation in *HO* 947 *effodiat avidus hinc et hinc vultur fibras*.]

aves: the plural implies the Homeric picture of Tityos tormented by two vultures (*Od.* 11.578-79) rather than Vergil's single bird (*Aen.* 6.597).

11 et . . . die: this relatively colorless line offers a respite from the densely-packed wording of 9-10 and a lead-in to the pointed language of 12.

12 plenum . . . pabulum: the twist of perspective that turns a person into a "meal" (for which cf. *HF* 227) foreshadows the central action of the play; *plenum* also links the image to the fate of Thyestes, cf. 890-91 *implebo patrem / funere suorum*, 979, above, p. 46.

recenti . . . monstro: the birds return each day with appetites refreshed, cf. Ovid *Met.* 2.63-64 *mane recentes . . . equi*, 8.370 *fidens . . . recentibus armis* (the Calydonian boar's newly-sharpened tusks).

monstro: collective singular; compare Verg. *Aen.* 3.214 *tristius haud illis* (i.e., the Harpies) *monstrum*. On *monstrum* see also 867 below.

plenum . . . iacet: "he lies there, a full meal for the hungry creature"; *monstro* is dat. of interest or advantage, cf. AG 376.

13 in quod malum transcribor?: "to what punishment am I being re-assigned?" The verb is first attested in this sense in Augustan poetry (cf. Verg. *Aen.* 5.750, 7.422, Ovid *Met.* 7.173, *Ibis* 187) and appears in prose of the next generation, cf. Val. Max. 2.7.9, 15, Sen. *Epist.* 4.2, *N.Q.* 1 *pr.* 17. Seneca may have had the *Ibis* passage in mind, since Ovid's *in te transcribet veterum tormenta virorum* also refers to the famous sinners of the underworld.

malum: a euphemism for "punishment" or "torture," cf. *Tro.* 349, *Oed.* 518-19, Ag. 959.

13-15 o quisquis . . . disponis: the apostrophe is indefinite (*quisquis*) because Tantalus does not know the source of this disturbance (*nova* is emphatic, taking up the idea of change in *transcribor*). The vague language also makes it possible to take Tantalus' words as an unwitting description of Atreus, who will inflict *nova supplicia* on the dead, cf. especially 749-53.

13-20 The solemn invocation (*o . . . disponis*) and the relative clauses that follow (*quod . . . horreat, quod . . . paveat, ad cuius metum . . . tremamus*) build up great anticipation, which is then released in a surprising twist: we expect Tantalus to say "if there is any punishment more severe than the one I suffer, I confess that I deserve to bear it," but instead he concludes "if a worse punishment exists, get it ready since a greater criminal is coming."

14 functis: "the dead" (= *defunctis*), condensed from *vita functi* ("those finished with life"), a usage popular with Seneca (cf. *Med.* 999, *Oed.* 240, 579) and Statius (cf. *Theb.* 2.15, 4.511, 12.137).

15 ad poenas: *ad* here signifying purpose, cf. *OLD* s.v., #44-46.

16-18 quod . . . tremamus: the conventional rhetoric of the first two clauses is "topped" by the unexpected shift in the third; Tantalus regards himself as less easily horrified than Cerberus or Acheron, cf. *HF* 1223-24 *si quod exitium latet / ulterius Erebo, Cerbero ignotum et mihi*.

16 custos: of Cerberus in Verg. *Aen.* 6.424, Sen. *Tro.* 404; as in the *Troades* passage, Seneca moves Cerberus from his Vergilian post by the Styx to a position outside the dungeon of Tartarus (see Fantham *ad loc.*).

17 Acheron: standing for "the underworld" generally, as in Verg. *Aen.* 7.312 *flectere si nequeo superos, Acheronta movebo*; see on 1016 below.

ad . . . metum: "at the frightful prospect"; for this use of *ad* cf. *OLD* s.v., #33, Sen. *N.Q.* 2.59.11 *pavescis ad caeli fragorem*, Tac. *H.* 2.68 *Vitellius . . . ad omnis suspiciones pavidus*. See also on 936 *ad laeta*.

18 subit: "is arising," cf. Ovid *Met.* 1.114 *subit argentea proles*.

19-20 vincat . . . faciat . . . andeat: the subjunctives are characterizing, with a suggestion of result, cf. AG 535, 537 and n. 1; "a *turba* that will surpass its ancestors" etc.

19 turba: "a brood," the members of a family seen as a closely-linked group, cf. 979 *turba iam sua implebo patrem* (*turba* = Thyestes' children), *Cons. Marc.* 15.2 *Augustus amissis liberis nepotibus exhausta Caesarum turba adoptione desertam domum fulsit*. The word refers most obviously to Atreus and Thyestes, but since a *turba* normally numbers more than two (as is clear from Ovid's witty *nos duo turba sumus* of Deucalion and Pyrrha, *Met.* 1.355), Tantalus is probably including more remote descendants such as Aegisthus and Agamemnon, cf. 42-46.

quae suum vincat genus: the first appearance of the important theme of "outdoing" previous standards of crime, cf. 134-35, 195-96, 625-26, 1013-16.

20 me innocentem faciat: Tantalus means that he will appear *innocens* by comparison with what his descendants will do, but for a stronger effect Seneca omits any qualifying idea; cf. Sen. *Contr.* 1.2.8 *inter tot tanto maiora scelera virginem stuprare innocentia est*. See also above, p. 22.

inausa audeat: perhaps deliberate sound-play, with *au* in two successive metrical *sedes*.

21 quidquid . . . cessat loci: "whatever space is not being used"; *cessare* is "to be inactive," perhaps by analogy with untilled fields, cf. Verg. *G.* 1.71, Ovid *F.* 4.617.

loci: partitive gen. after *quidquid*, cf. AG 346 (a) 3.

22 complebo: Tantalus assumes responsibility for the crimes of his race (18-19 *nostra . . . e stirpe*), displaying a typically Senecan warped pride in their accomplishments.

complebo: images of fullness and emptiness play an important part in *Thyestes*, especially in the prologue and finale: note *plenum pabulum* 12, *arboris plenae* 69, *ietunia exple* 65, *imple* 53, *impleri* 253, *implebo* 890, 979, and *vacare* 57, 108, 892, *vacuus* 152. (The force of *vacare* in 23 and 29 is different—cf. 593, 759—, but those occurrences help establish the word as significant.) Here a direct causal link can be made out: Thyestes' unnatural "fullness" validates Tantalus' claim that his descendants will keep Tartarus fully occupied.

23 Minos vacabit: Seneca often makes a striking phrase end early in the line, throwing it into even greater prominence; cf. 32 *crescat*, 62 *epulae instruantur*, 90 *avus nepotes*, also note on 204.

Minos: named as the judge with jurisdiction over the damned, as (e.g.) in Prop. 3.19.27 *Minos sedet arbiter Orci*, Ag. 23, Stat. *Theb.* 4.530-32.

vacabit: "will be idle/unemployed," cf. Ovid *F.* 2.18 *pacando si quid ab hoste vacat*, 3.87.

23-67 The Fury's speech is one of Seneca's most powerful pieces of writing, a sustained evocation of evil ceaseless in its operation and all-encompassing in its effects. The intensity of the Fury's language and the cosmic scope of her vision produce an almost Shakespearian effect; compare, for example, Lear's "tremble, thou wretch / that hast within thee undivulged crimes / unwhipp'd of justice" etc. (3.2.49-59), or in a more overtly Senecan idiom the tirade of the Bishop of Carlisle in *Richard II* 4.1.129-49 (and see on 40-41, 44, 52).

23 Perge: "go on," spoken to one who wavers in an activity already begun, cf. 490 and 892 below, *Tro.* 1002, *Med.* 566, *HF* 75.

detestabilis: the Fury loathes her own agent; compare Verg. *Aen.* 7.327-28, of the Fury Allecto: *odit et ipse pater Pluton, odere sorores / Tartarae monstrum*.

24 age: "torment," cf. *Pha.* 541-42 *quaeque succensas agit / libido mentes*.

furiis: abl. of instrument with *age*, cf. Verg. *Aen.* 10.68 *Cassandrae impulsus furtis*, Ovid *F.* 6.489 *hinc agitur furtis Athamas, Ibis* 161.

25 certetur: impersonal passive ("let the struggle be carried on"), suggesting a conflict of unlimited scope; cf. *Ira* 2.9.1 *certatur ingenti quidem nequitiae certamine* ("men struggle in a mighty rivalry of wickedness," Basore).

alterna vice: "by turns" (i.e., let A attack B and then let B retaliate against A); cf. Ag. 44 *sanguine alterno*, 77 *scelus alternum* (n), 133 below *alternae scelerum . . . vices*.

26 stringatur ensis: a generic expression for violent attack; cf. *dare sanguinem*, 340 below.

26-27 nec sit irarum modus / pudorve: the Fury significantly begins with *ira*, the most destructive of the passions (*Ira* 1.1.1 *hunc . . . affectum . . . maxime ex omnibus taetrum ac rabidum*) and the primary motive force in the play (cf. 180, 519, 713, 1056).

26 irarum: obj. gen. with both *modus* ("a limit on anger") and *pudor* ("shame at committing acts of anger"); for the latter cf. *VB* 26.6 *pudor peccandi*, Hor. *Epist.* 1.18.24 *paupertatis pudor*.

modus: the notion of limit or boundary is often denied in Senecan drama (cf. *Tro.* 812, *Med.* 397, Ag. 691-92), and nowhere more consistently than in *Thyestes*, cf. 255, 1051-52. See also on 4-5.

[*nec*: the Mss divide between *nec* (E) and *ne* (A), each of which makes acceptable sense; *ne* would set off the rejection of *pudor* and *modus*, but a subordinate clause seems out of place in this relentlessly linear speech.]

28-29 longum nefas / eat in nepotes: "let long-lasting evil pass into succeeding generations." For *longus* of protracted anxieties or diseases cf. Ovid *Met.* 9.275 *curae*, Sen. *Contr.* 10.5.4 *tabes*, Pliny *NH* 22.129 *morbus*. In this context *ire* in might suggest the spread of an illness, cf. Lucr. 6.1207 *in nervos huic morbus et artus / ibat*, Luc. 7.543-44 *semel ortus in omnis / it timor*.

29-30 nec vacet . . . crimen: "let no one have leisure to feel disgust at an old crime"; *vacare* with infinitive, cf. 593, Ovid *Met.* 6.585 *nec flere vacat*.

30 semper oriatur novum: a wish fulfilled by Atreus, cf. 254 *quid novi . . . struis?*

31 nec unum in uno: "many in one" (Miller), a riddling phrase referring either to Atreus' murder of several children (a crime that is both "one" and "more than one"),

cf. 57 *maiore numero*, or else to his dismemberment of the children, which by insane logic turns each of his victims from "one" to "several"; cf. *Med.* 474 *scelere in uno non semel factum scelus*. [For similar juggling cf. *HF* 487 *nec unus una Geryon victus manu*.]

31-32 *dumque punitur scelus / crescat*: a thought restated by Atreus, *scelera non ulcisceris / nisi vincis* (195-96).

32-53 After the general phrases of 25-32 *crescat*, which lay down the rules, so to speak, of Tantalid behavior, the Fury turns to a more specific, though still allusive, survey of the family's history. The references range from the past through the present to the distant future, and at times can be applied to more than one episode in the saga (see on 42-43, 46-47)—an ingenious way of portraying the ingrained criminality of the house.

32-36 *superbis . . . ferat*: the Fury looks first at the struggle for power between Atreus and Thyestes (the *superbi fratres* of 32) and depicts their shifting fortunes with four successive statements of the same idea (*superbis . . . profugos; dubia . . . labet; miser . . . potens; fluctu . . . ferat*)—a verbal equivalent to their restless alternations of position. (At a more detailed level note the shift from *regna* as subject in 32 to *regnum* as object in 36.)

32 *excidant*: "fall out of the hands of," cf. Ovid *Met.* 2.602-603 *pariter vultusque deo plectrumque colorque / excidit*.

33 *repetantque profugos*: the language fits both Atreus and Thyestes, each of whom returned to power from exile (above, p. 39).

repetant: perhaps echoed at 412, where Thyestes urges himself to seek out exile again (*repete silvestres fugas*).

34 *reges . . . incertos*: "insecure kings," cf. Cic. *Rep.* 1.27 *levis fructus, exiguus usus, incertus dominatus*. Atreus and Thyestes are specific instances of the unreliability of all external power, cf. 391-92 *potens / aulae culmine lubrico*.

35 *miser . . . potens*: sudden transformations of status were usually attributed to god or fortune (here *castus* seems to be the force responsible), cf. Hor. *C.* 1.34.12-14 *valet ima summis / mutare et insignes attenuat deus, / obscura promens*, Juv. 7.197-98 *si Fortuna volet, fies de rhetore consul; / si volet haec eadem, fies de consule rhetor* ("rhetoricians of the empire were particularly moved by Fortune's power to bring men down to professorships"—Nisbet-Hubbard on Hor. *C.* 1.34.12). It is interesting that the Fury treats *miser* and *potens* as opposites (*miser* almost = *humilis*, as at 938), whereas the subsequent action suggests that they are nearly synonymous.

36 *fluctu . . . assiduo*: for the metaphor cf. Soph. *Trach.* 112-19, Sen. *Contr.* 1.1.10 *divitias, quas huc atque illuc incertae fortunae fluctus appellet*, Val. Max. 6.2.1.

37 *cum dabit patriam deus*: *deus* is both general ("god" as a near-equivalent for fate or fortune, as in Hor. *C.* 1.34.13 cited above, also 621-22 below) and specific, the oracle of Apollo that prescribed incest as the only way Thyestes could obtain revenge on Atreus (above, pp. 39-40).

dabit patriam: condensed from *dabit reditum in patriam*.

38 in *scelera*: "for the purpose of crime," cf. Ovid *Am.* 2.10.12 *non fuit in curas una puella satis?*, Ag. 99-100 *placet in vulnus / maxima cervix*. The double-edged wording could describe either one who returns to commit crimes (as in the later case of Aegisthus) or, as with Thyestes, a return which serves another's crime.

38-39 *sintque . . . sibi*: the elements of the phrase appear in the opposite of the

expected order, a characteristic Senecan inversion (see on 207) which here throws the hatred of the family for itself into greater prominence. At one level *sibi* refers to the hostility of one member of the line for another, but it also suggests the loathing of oneself that results from consciousness of evil. Seneca's Aegisthus in *Agamemnon* is keenly aware of his tainted ancestry (note 233 *non est poena sic nato mori*), and in this play Thyestes comes to see himself as a *vile caput* (996). Note also *Med.* 933-35 *scelus est Iason genitor et maius scelus / Medea mater . . . pereant, mei sunt*. For Seneca this dissatisfaction with oneself is a sign of a disordered spirit (*Tranq.* 2.10 *invitus aspicit se sibi relictum*).

39 *nihil . . . putet*: a restatement of 26-27 *nec . . . pudorve* (*pudor* is what leads one to regard certain actions as forbidden), designed to lead into the specific manifestations of *ira* in the following lines. The personification of *ira* is a way of depicting the hold it exercises over its victims; they are so fully in its grip that *ira* itself seems to perform their actions. The wording of this line combines elements of Ovid *Met.* 5.273 *vetitum est adeo sceleri nihil* and 6.25 *nihil est quod victa recusem*.

40-46 These lines rework Ovid's account of the Iron Age in *Met.* 1.144-50 (quoted in *De ira* 2.9.2 and evoked again in *Pha.* 553-57):

vivitur ex raptō; non hospes ab hospite tutus,
non socer a genero; fratrum quoque gratia rara est.
imminet exitio vir coniugis, illa mariti;
lurida terribiles miscent aconita novercae;
filius ante diem patrios inquit in annos.
victa iacet pietas, et virgo caede madentes
ultima caelestum terras Astraera reliquit.

The most obvious feature of Seneca's adaptation is that Ovid's symptoms of universal depravity have become the actions of a single family; *frater, vir, and contunx* all specifically refer to events in this history of the Tantalids. But Seneca has not abandoned Ovid's universal perspective: as the Fury proceeds, the effects of the family's crimes become progressively more general (44 *effusus omnes iriget terras cruor, 48 ius . . . omne pereat*), until not even heaven is exempt from disruption. Seneca re-interprets Ovid's vision of moral collapse to fit a new context; instead of a common degeneracy, an infection spreading outward from a single source. (It is tempting to connect this outlook with the play's reflections on the corrupting influence of despotic power; see above, p. 48.) In one respect Seneca might even claim to have surpassed his model: the move from earth to heaven in 48-51 is paralleled in *Met.* 1.151 (*neve foret terris securior arduus aether . . .*), but while the transition in Ovid remains purely artificial, in Seneca it is fully integrated with its immediate context and with the action of the play as a whole.

40-41 *natum . . . patrem*: "sons fearing fathers" could apply to Pelops and Tantalus (cf. 144-48), and "fathers fearing sons" might describe Thyestes, who recognized his son Aegisthus just in time to escape being killed by him (cf. Hyg. 88). The juxtaposition of words denoting family relationships underlines the perversion of blood-ties, cf. 90 *avus nepotes*; Ag. 32, 166 (n); D. J. Mastronarde, *TAPA* 101 (1970), 302. Compare *Richard II* 4.1.140-41 "tumultuous wars / shall kin with kin and kind with kind confound."

41-42 *liberi . . . nascantur*: i.e., Atreus' murder of Thyestes' children will be surpassed by the incest of Thyestes and his daughter that produces Aegisthus; the point is made explicitly in Ag. 27-30, esp. 28 *maius aliud ausa* [sc. *Fortuna*] *commisso scelus*. The reversal of the normal superiority of birth over death resembles Ovid's introduction to the story of Myrrha in *Met.* 10.314-15 *scelus est odisse parentem; / hic amor est odio maius scelus*. Seneca may have been inverting Manilius' line on Medea's children, *male conceptos partus peiusque necatos* (3.13). For another pointed use of *nascor* see 313-14.

42-43 *immineat . . . coniunx*: "let a wife have threatening designs on her husband"; based on Ovid *Met.* 1.146 *imminet exitio vir coniugis, illa mariti*, with *exitio viri* (for which cf. *Pha.* 855 *morti imminet*) replaced by the more compressed *viro*. The less explicit wording permits a double reference, to Atreus' wife Aerope who plotted against him with Thyestes (above, p. 39), and to Clytaemestra's murder of Agamemnon.

43 *bella trans pontum vehant*: another potential double reference, since later events of the story of Thyestes and Atreus took place in other parts of Greece (above, p. 40), while 44-46 clearly alludes to the Trojan War, in which the Greek forces were led by Atreus' sons Menelaus and Agamemnon.

44 *irriget*: the metaphor with *irrigo* is not attested before Seneca, but for *rigo* cf. Verg. *Aen.* 12.308 *sparso late rigat arma cruore*, 11.698; later cf. Stat. *Th.* 4.375 *sanguine Dircen irriguam* and *Richard II* 4.1.137 "the blood of English shall manure the ground."

45 *supra . . . magnos . . . duces*: *duces* is probably a rhetorical plural (see on 1014 *avos*) referring to Agamemnon, whose infatuation with Briseis and Cassandra was a notorious example of love's dominion over the mighty, cf. Hor. *C.* 2.4.7-8 *arsit Atrides medio in triumpho / virgine rapta*, Ovid *Am.* 1.9.37-38 *summa ducum* [compare *magnos . . . duces*] *Atrides visa Priameide fertur / Maenadis effusis obstipuisse comis*. For Agamemnon's status cf. Ag. 39 *ductor . . . ducum* (n).

gentium: "peoples" or "nations," cf. on 461, 648 below.

45-46 *exultet . . . Libido victrix*: the personification of *libido* is not purely conventional (Ovid, for example, does not use it), and functions like that of *ira* (see on 39); *libido* is consistently spoken of in personal terms in *Phaedra*, where it is an important motive force, cf. 206-207 *tunc illa magnae dira fortunae comes / libido subit*, also 195-96, 541-42, 981.

46-47 *impia . . . sit*: probably another double reference, to Aerope and to Clytaemestra, who committed adultery with Aegisthus (called *stuprum* in Ag. 1009, Prop. 3.19.20-21).

47 *levissimum . . . facinus*: *levissimum* is predicative, "let adultery be the most trivial/insignificant crime in the impious house." The dismissal of crimes normally thought heinous is a typical Senecan gesture, cf. *Pho.* 270 *leve est paternum facinus*, *Med.* 905-907 *faxo sciunt / quam levia fuerint . . . quae commodavi scelera*. [facinus is Bentley's conjecture for the manuscript reading *fratris*, which would qualify *fas*, *fides*, and *ius*, and which would entail punctuating after *levissimum*. The combination *fratris fas* is without parallel; if the sense is "the laws governing relations between brothers," *fratrum* seems required, on the analogy of *fas bellantium* (Just. 39.3.8) or *fas gentium* (Tac. *Ann.* 1.42). The alternative is to understand *fratris* as possessive, "a brother's [i.e., Atreus'] sense of *fas*" etc.; this—and indeed any reference to brothers—seems too restrictive for this point in the Fury's speech, when universal consequences are being invoked.]

et fas et fides: the pairing recalls Roman legal formulae, cf. Livy 1.9.13 *per* [i.e., *contra*] *fas ac fidem decepti*, 29.24.3 *neve fas fidem . . . fallat*.

48 *ius . . . omne*: *omne* refers to *fas* and *fides* as well as to *ius*; this emotive use of *omnis* is normal in horrified reactions to events, cf. Cic. *Att.* 1.16.6 *si iudicium est triginta homines . . . ius ac fas omne delere*, Verg. *Aen.* 3.55 *fas omne abruptit*, Sen. *Contr.* 1.2.8 *piratas . . . quibus omne fas nefasque lusus est*, 179 below *fas . . . omne ruptum*. With characteristic perversity, the Fury welcomes the prospect of moral collapse; compare *Medea* in *Med.* 900 *fas omne cedat, abeat expulsus pudor*.

48-51 The disturbance of the heavens that the Fury calls for will take place later in the play, 776-88, 789-884. It forms the external counterpart to the moral chaos that precedes and provokes it; compare *ius . . . pereat* here with *solitae mundi perire vices* 813, *mundo . . . pereunte* 884. (On the Stoic background of this idea see above, p. 24.)

49 *cur micant stellae polo*: the time just before dawn is often the dramatic setting for the action of Greek tragedy (where it corresponded to the real circumstances of performance) and, as a set convention, for Seneca as well, cf., e.g., *HF* 123-24, *Oed.* 1-5, Ag. 53-56.

polo: *polus* here just = "sky," a common usage especially in poetry.

50 *flammae . . . decus*: it seems best to take *flammae* with *stellae*, "why do the fiery rays (of the stars) maintain the glory owed to the world?"

debitum mundo decus: the idea that the heavenly bodies owe light to the world may be based on a witty line of Ovid, *Met.* 4.196-97 (of the sun) *virgine figis in una / quos mundo debes oculos*. Seneca gives it more weight, the thought of obligation suggesting the well-ordered cosmos that the Fury wishes to see disrupted.

decus: a characteristic Senecan use of an abstraction in place of a concrete term (e.g., *lux*). The combination *servare decus* appears in Ovid *Met.* 13.481 *casti . . . decus servare pudoris*, but here *decus* means "attractiveness" or "splendor" rather than "honor," cf. *OLD* s.v. #5, Ovid *Met.* 3.422-23 *impubesque genas et eburnea colla decusque / oris*, *Pha.* 829 *regum in vultu decus gerens*.

52 *miscere*: "throw into confusion," perhaps suggested by Verg. *Aen.* 7.348 (of Allecto) *quo furibunda domum monstro permisceat omnem*.

odia caedes funera: accumulations of nouns or adjectives in asyndeton were a popular feature of early Latin high style, cf., e.g., Acc. 415 R² *exul inter hostis expes expers desertus vagus* (compare Sen. *Med.* 21); Senecan examples are collected in Canter 169-70. The device can be used simply for resonant effect, but here Seneca puts it to a more subtle purpose, accelerating the pace just before a climactic *sententia* (*imple Tantalo totam domum*); compare Ag. 47-48 for a similar sequence. Shakespeare's Bishop of Carlisle once again displays a genuine Senecan fervor: "disorder, horror, fear, and mutiny / shall here inhabit" (*Richard II* 4.1.142-43).

53 [accerse: the MSS divide between *accerse* (E S) and *arcesse* (PTC); at *Oed.* 823 the division is between *accersite* (E PTC) and *arcessite* (S). Both forms are attested in ancient MSS (*accerso* predominates in Verg. *Aen.* 5.746 and 6.119, *arcesso* in G. 4.224 and *Aen.* 10.11; see further *TLL* 2.448.50-78), and both are adopted by editors of Seneca's prose works, cf. *Ira.* 3.12.1, *Epist.* 74.33 (*arcesso*) *VB* 12.2, *Epist.* 69.6 (*accerso*). I print *accerse* because E is generally more careful than A in spelling, and because at *Oed.* 823 euphony commends *accersite* after *propere*.]

Tantalo: the name is used with a sense of what "Tantalus" stands for, perhaps both generically (= a spirit of wickedness) and specifically (= a precedent for killing children for this purpose, cf. 62-63). Such self-conscious use of names is frequent in Seneca, and is most prominently seen in the title character of *Medea* (8, 166 *Medea superest*, 171 *Medea—fiam*, 910 *Medea nunc sum*); also *Tro.* 569, 614 (Ulixes), 863 (Helen), and later in this play 180 (Atreus) and 476 (Thyestes and Atreus). In Latin poetry there was precedent in Ovid, cf. *Her.* 6.156 *Medeae Medea forem* (spoken by Hypsipyle), but self-awareness and self-dramatizing were part of Roman life as well as its literature, cf. Servius Sulpicius Rufus *ap.* Cic. *Fam.* 4.5.5 *denique noli te oblivisci Ciceronem esse* (not that there was ever much danger of that), Plut. *Caes.* 38.3, 60.5, Luc. 5.581-86).

Shakespeare's famous "I am Antony yet" (*A. and C.* 3.13.92–93) catches the Roman note perfectly, cf. also 3.13.185–86 "since my lord / is Antony again, I will be Cleopatra."

54–62 The last part of the Fury's speech is its most specific section, a detailed forecast of the *dénouement*. The opening lines illustrate Seneca's practice of starting a new section with unpointed and straightforward language; the first *sententia* arrives in 56–57 *Thracium fiat nefas / maiore numero*.

54–55 *ornetur . . . virescant*: garlanding the palace is a sign of rejoicing at the apparent concord between Atreus and Thyestes. The details have a Roman coloring: branches of bay were used to decorate Roman houses on festive occasions, cf. *Juv.* 10.65 *pone domi laurus*, 6.51–52 with Courtney's note.

54 *altum columen*: collective singular, cf. 232, 736.

55 *dignus*: ironic use of *dignus* is frequent in Seneca, cf. 271 below, *Oed.* 977 *thalamis digna nox . . . meis*, *Tro.* 863, *Pha.* 853, *Ag.* 34 (n).

56 *Thracium . . . nefas*: the fate of Thyestes' children has an antecedent in the story of Procne, who killed her son Itys to punish her husband Tereus for raping her sister Philomela; Tereus was Thracian and the murder is set in Thrace, hence *Thracium nefas*. Atreus is himself aware of Procne's actions as a precedent (see on 272–77)—another way in which he resembles the Fury (see above, p. 85, and next note).

57 *maiore numero*: i.e., three children rather than one will be involved. For this quantitative measurement of crime or revenge cf. *Ag.* 22 (the ghost of Thyestes speaks) *sed ille nostrae pars quota est culpae senex?* (i.e., how small does Tantalus' crime—serving Pelops to the gods—seem by comparison with Thyestes'), *Med.* 954–57, 1008–1011.

dextra cur patrum vacat?: "why is the uncle's [= Atreus'] hand empty/idle?" The Fury's impatience resembles that of Atreus, cf. 280–81 *tam diu cur innocens / versatur Atreus?* The technique by which a prologue figure experiences in advance a situation that will develop later in the play is also used in *Agamemnon* (compare 48–52 with 226–33), and more subtly in *Medea* (compare, e.g., 48–55 with 904–25).

58–59 *ecquando tollet?*: "will he ever raise it?" (i.e., will Atreus ever lift his hand to strike Thyestes' children?); cf. *attollit . . . manum* in *Stat. S.* 2.5.21. The Fury impatiently anticipates what the Messenger will relate as accomplished fact; this and the previous question are prompted by Atreus' hesitation before striking the first blow (712–16), which elicits the Chorus' inquiry *quem tamen ferro occupat?* In her next words (*ignibus iam subditis* etc.) the Fury moves beyond the murders to the preparation of the children's flesh (cf. 755–72). [The interpretation given here is controversial and assumes that line 58 is spurious. (Early editors often referred *ecquando tollet* to Atreus while retaining 58, but the change of subject seems very harsh.) If the line is kept, the passage will run as follows: "Why is the uncle's hand idle? Thyestes is not yet bewailing his children; when will he remove (*tollet*) them?" (Line 58 could also be taken as a question without altering the basic sense.) This sequence of thought contains an obvious illogicality—Thyestes cannot bewail his children before they have been "removed," i.e., destroyed—, but this is not a serious objection, since the connection between the events is close enough to forestall ambiguity. The use of *tollere* is more difficult; Thyestes will never in fact "remove" his children in the sense of killing them, and although he will in a grisly way "raise" them (i.e., lift their flesh to his lips), nothing in the later descriptions of the event gives this idea the verbal grounds it requires. Furthermore, the plainness of 58, especially the use of Thyestes' name, seems at odds with the charged and allusive tone of its surroundings.]

59 *ecquando*: the final *o* is short, as with *quando* in 82 below, *Pho.* 520, *Pha.* 673, *HO*

1531, 1769, 1771. [*ecquando* is an old emendation for *et quando* (cf. *Pha.* 673, where *ecquando* is a Renaissance conjecture); *ecquando* seems to fit better in a series of impassioned questions, cf. *Livy* 3.67.10 *qui finis erit discordiarum? ecquando unam urbem habere, ecquando communem hanc esse patriam licebit?* (*ecquando* is here a 16th-century correction for *et quando*), *Nepos* fr. 54 Marshall *denique quae pausa erit? ecquando desinet familia nostra insanire? ecquando modus ei rei haberi poterit?*]

60 *spument aena*: *aena* are bronze cauldrons (the plural perhaps for magnifying effect, cf. *Ovid Met.* 6.645 *pars . . . cavis exsultat aenis*, and contrast 767 *candente aeno*); cf. *Verg. Aen.* 1.213 *litore aena locant alii flammisque ministrant*. (The previous line in *Vergil*, *pars in frustra secant veribusque trementia figunt*, is echoed in Atreus' dismemberment of the children, cf. 760, 765, 1060 below.)

spument: the water in the cauldron foams when heated, a graphic detail perhaps suggested by Ovid's description of Medea's magical practices, cf. *Met.* 7.261–62 *posito medicamen aeno / fervet et exsultat spumisque tumentibus albet*, 282.

60–61 *membra . . . eant / discerpta*: translators (Miller, Thomann) understand *eant* as "go into the cauldron," but the absence of a connective or directional word seems very harsh.

per partes: "by pieces," *per* specifying the unit of division (*OLD* s.v. #8c), cf. *Manilius* 3.405 *per tris id divide partis*.

61 *patrios polluat sanguis focos*: Atreus pointedly inverts this view when recounting his actions at 1058–59 *caede votiva focos / placavi* (and note his careful travesty of sacrificial protocol at 689–95 *servatur omnis ordo*, etc.). [Some Mss read *patruos* for *patrios*, making the reference more specific (to Atreus, the *patruus* of 57), but less well suited to the Fury's purposes: *patrius* in the sense of "ancestral" (cf. *Cic. Phil.* 2.72 *contra deos patrios arasque et focos*) carries forward the pervasive theme of family guilt.]

62 *epulae instruantur*: the final clause in the Fury's vision is deliberately unemotional in wording; it draws its impact from the audience's awareness of what the *epulae* in question involve. (*Ag.* 11 *hic epulis locus* acts in a similar way.) After this climactic *sententia* the Fury turns back to Tantalus.

non novi sceleris tibi: "a crime that is not unfamiliar to you" (*tibi* with *novus* perhaps colloquial, cf. *Cic. Verr.* 2.24 *nova tibi sunt haec?*). In Senecan rhetoric anything done once can be described as "not new" or "customary," cf. *Med.* 447 *fugimus, Iason, fugimus—hoc non est novum, / mutare sedes, Tro.* 248–49 (Pyrrhus to Agamemnon) *at tuam natam parens / Helenae immolasti; solita iam et facta expeto, Ag.* 177 *iam tum* (n), *Leo Obs. crit.* 149–52.

62–63 *sceleris . . . conviva*: a striking phrase, in which *scelus* denotes the company Tantalus will join, on the analogy of *conviva deorum* in *Hor. C.* 1.28.7 (of Tantalus; see Nisbet-Hubbard for other examples) and of *conviva fratris* in *Livy* 40.10.7. Some translators take *scelus* as a substitute for *cena* or *epulae*, but the genitive with *conviva* does not appear to be so used.

63 Tantalus has been given a day's holiday (*liberum . . . diem*) from his torment to be present at Atreus' banquet (*ad istas . . . mensas* expressing purpose, see on 15). The Fury ironically suggests that this slight will allow Tantalus to satisfy his hunger (*ieiunia exple*), but she knows that his true reaction will be one of disgust (*dapes / quas ipse fugeres*).

64 *tuamque . . . solvimus . . . famem*: Ovid has *ieiunia virgo / solverat* in *Met.* 5.534–35 (cf. *F.* 4.607), but Seneca creates a stronger effect by having the Fury loosen

Tantalus' *fames*, as though hunger were a bond or tether.

65 mixtus in Bacchum cruor: Atreus employs just this method of concealing from Thyestes the fact that he is drinking his children's blood (cf. 914–16).

Bacchum: = *vinum* by metonymy, cf. 915, 983, 987, Lucr. 2.655–57 *si quis . . . Bacchi nomine abuti / mavult quam laticis proprium proferre vocamen*.

66 spectante te: the Fury will not in fact insist on Tantalus' presence at the banquet, apparently judging it sufficient for him to infect the house with his touch (101–105); the thought of compelling him to watch the atrocity may be meant to heighten the resemblance between the Fury and Atreus, who does require Thyestes to look on the results of his crime, cf. 895 *videat pater*.

66–67 inveni . . . fugeres: "I have discovered a feast that even you would run from"; pride in invention and the claim to have surpassed previous limits of crime (both foreshadowed in 4–5 *petus inventum est . . . aliquid?*) again link the Fury to Atreus, cf. 274–75 *maius hoc aliquid dolor / inveniat*. The stress on invention can also be seen in Oedipus' search for a punishment suited to his crimes, cf. 977 *inventam thalamis digna nox tandem meis* (and note 947 *utere ingenio, miser*). [These passages may owe something to Ovid's account of Jupiter's passion for Ganymede, *Met.* 10.156–57 *inventum est aliquid quod Iuppiter esse, / quam quod erat, mallet*. The Fury's words have some of the same cleverness; only a thin line separates this jibe from the overt humor of Martial 3.45 *fugerit an Phoebus mensas cenamque Thyestae / ignoro; fugimus nos, Ligurine, tuam*.]

68–83 These anguished lines elaborate the thought that Tantalus would prefer to endure any torment in the underworld rather than witness the approaching crime of Atreus. The speech recalls the opening of the play, but the tone has become even more agitated, with wishes and objurgations in place of questions. Tantalus' frustrated longing for escape also anticipates Thyestes' reaction at the thought of meeting Atreus (412–14).

68–69 Ad . . . fugas: answers the question *quo . . . ruis?*, with *ruo* to be understood. The incomplete syntax together with the polysyndeton *et . . . et . . . -que* and the synonyms *stagna-amnes-aquas* create a feeling of almost frenzied eagerness. (Contrast the lifeless *libet reverti* with which the same idea is introduced in Ag. 12.)

69 labrisque . . . fugas: a scene described in greater detail in 162–168 below, and pointedly re-enacted when Thyestes lifts the cup containing the blood of his children, *admotus ipsis Bacchus a labris fugit* (987). For emphatic *ipsis* ("from my very lips") compare the common *limine in ipso*, Lucr. 6.1157, Verg. *Ecl.* 8.92, *Aen.* 2.242, etc.

70–71 atrum . . . cubile: probably "gloomy lair" rather than "black couch" (Miller), which sounds too comfortable for this context; for *cubile* cf. *Pha.* 522–23 *non in recessu furta et obscuro improbus / quaerit cubili*, Plin. *Pan.* 49.1 *arcana illa cubilia saevique secessus*, Stat. *S.* 2.3.44, perhaps Verg. *Aen.* 6.273–74 *primis . . . in faucibus Orci / Luctus et ultrices posuere cubilia Curae* (note *habitant* in 275). Seneca seems to picture Tantalus as confined to a cell when not at his usual place of punishment.

atrum: a conventional epithet for the underworld, cf. Lucr. 3.966 *in Tartara atra*, Verg. *G.* 1.243 *Styx atra*, Stat. *S.* 2.1.227 *ab atro limine*.

carceris . . . mei: the possessive sounds almost affectionate.

72 mutare ripas: *ripa* almost = *flumen*. Tantalus offers to exchange the stream in which he normally stands for the fiery Phlegethon, a more gruelling punishment for which there seems to be no precedent (Phlegethon elsewhere surrounds the region where the damned are confined, cf. Verg. *Aen.* 6.551, *Pha.* 1227 *Phlegethon nocentes igneo*

cingens rado). Tantalus' wish is taken up in stronger form by Thyestes, cf. 1016–19.

alveo medius tuo: for *medius* with the ablative cf. Prop. 1.10.8 *mediis caelo luna ruberet equis*, Ovid *Am.* 2.16.13 *si medius Polluce et Castore ponar*.

73 freto: properly applied to narrows of the sea, *fretum* for Seneca can be little more than a grander synonym for *amnis*, cf. 1018 below, *HF* 763 (also of underworld waters); this looser usage is foreshadowed by such passages as Ovid *Met.* 6.77 (a saltwater spring) and Sen. *Contr.* 5. *exc.* 5 (domestic fishponds).

74–83 Tantalus' tone becomes solemn and impassioned as he calls upon the other sufferers in Hades; the generalizing invocations (*quicumque* 74, *quisquis* 75, 77, 79) and the preliminary commands *vocem excipe* 80 and *credite* 81 generate a tension which is released in a paradoxical *sententia* (*amate poenas*). Then Tantalus breaks off with a moving cry of despair, *quando . . . superos?*

The figures addressed in these lines are not the famous sinners of 6–12 above; they are in general modeled on the inhabitants of Vergil's Tartarus in *Aen.* 6.548–627, but several of the details are original.

74 poenas lege fatorum datas: a grandiose expression, not conventional in accounts of punishment in the afterlife; cf. Cic. *Tim.* 43 *leges fatales ac necessarias*, Verg. *Aen.* 12.819 *illud . . . nulla fati quod lege tenetur*, Sen. *NQ* 1 pr. 3 *licet illi (sc. deo) hodieque decernere et ex lege fatorum aliquid derogare*, and *Ciris* 199, with Lyne's note.

75–77 quisquis . . . ruinam: based on Verg. *Aen.* 6.602–603 *quos super atra silex iam iam lapsura cadentique / imminet adsimilis* (a punishment often ascribed to Tantalus himself, see above. p. 39).

exeso . . . sub antro: perhaps derived from Verg. *Aen.* 8.418–19 *Cyclopus exesa caminis / antra* (and cf. Luc. 9.468 *exesis . . . cavernis*); *exesus* (from *exedo*) is more usually applied to the mass in which a cavity has been made, cf., e.g., Verg. *G.* 4.418–19 *est specus ingens / exesi latere in montis* and *Pho.* 359 *latebo rupis exesae cavo. sub antro* (with *sub* nearly = "in") is frequent in Vergil, cf. *G.* 4.152, *Aen.* 3.431, 8.217, etc.

76 iam . . . venturi: a less vivid adaptation of Vergil's *iam iam lapsura cadentique . . . adsimilis*; here *venturus* is "about to arrive" and so "approaching," "coming near," cf. Verg. *G.* 4.156 *venturaeque hiemis memores*.

77–78 avidorum feros / rictus leonum: lions play no part in other ancient accounts of the underworld; Seneca may have elaborated the terrors of the afterlife with a scene from the amphitheater (see on 1033 *an beluis scinduntur*). Lions do, however, figure prominently in Roman funerary art "as a symbol of the ravening power of death" (J.M.C. Toynbee, *Animals in Roman Life and Art* [Ithaca, 1973], 65–69).

avidorum feros / rictus: a cluster of thematically significant terms: for *avidus* see on 2 above; *ferus* appears several times of various Tantalids, especially Atreus (cf. 85–86, 136, 150 *dapibus feris* of Tantalus, 546, 721); and of the three further occurrences of *rictus* in the play two are in similes comparing Atreus to a tiger (710) and a lion (734), while the last describes Thyestes drinking from the bloody cup (988). There might be a suggestion that hell's worst terrors are matched on earth by the savagery of Tantalus' descendants.

78 dira Furiarum agmina: the Furies are the jailers in Verg. *Aen.* 6.572 *agmina saeva sororum*, 605–606 *Furiarum maxima iuxta / accubat*.

79 implicitus: with typical Senecan abstractness the sinner is described as "entwined" or "entangled," but the instrument is not specified (a net, perhaps, or else the chains heard by Aeneas at *Aen.* 6.558 *stridor ferri tractaeque catenae*); the lack of detail throws

greater emphasis onto the victim's helpless dread (*horres*).

79–80 *quisquis . . . abigis*: "all you who, half-charred, try to push away the torches thrust toward you" (*immissas*, cf. Verg. *Aen.* 8.246 *trepident immisso lumine Manes*, Ovid *Met.* 12.330 *lancea . . . costis immissa Petraei*). The picture may owe something to Ovid *Ibis* 632 *membra feras Stygiae semicremata neci*.

semiustus: a gruesome detail; the torches have left the victim partially burnt, cf. *HO* 1737 of Hercules on his pyre. [Here *semi-* carries its full value, and nothing prevents *semusta tecta* of *Tro.* 1085 from being read in the same way (I differ with Fantham here); only in *Ag.* 761 *semustas faces* (of the Furies) does the prefix seem to lack any force.]

81 *credite experto*: a Vergilian phrase, cf. *Aen.* 11.283.

82–83 *quando continget . . . superos?*: *contingo* of a hoped-for outcome, "when will it be my lot to escape the upper world?" For *superi* of those who live in the world above cf. Prop. 2.1.37 *Theseus infernis, superis testatur Achilles*, *Ag.* 4 *fugio Thyestes inferos, superos fugo*, *Tro.* 179. In the end, though, it is the gods (the *superi* in another sense) who are driven to flight by the events of the play, cf. 776, 893 *fugientes deos*, 995 *fugit omne sidus*, 1021 *fugere superi*.

83–86 The Fury insists that first (*ante*) Tantalus must inflame the house with a lust for strife (*ferri . . . amorem, insano . . . tumultu*). These lines produce no change in the situation, but by renewing the pressure on Tantalus they build toward the climax of the scene.

Tantalus' last lines contain an unusually high proportion of resolved long syllables, a means of underlining the excitement in his words (*implicitus horres* 79, *semiustus abigis* 80, *properantis*, 81, *effugere superos* 83); by contrast the almost complete absence of resolution: in the Fury's reply (except for *regibus* 85) points up her implacable single-mindedness.

84–85 *ferri malum . . . amorem*: compare Verg. *Aen.* 7.460–62 (Allecto's effect on Turnus), *arma amens fremit . . . / saevit amor ferri et scelerata insania belli, / ira super*.

regibus: Atreus and Thyestes, as at 34 above.

85–86 *concute . . . pectus*: this might refer collectively to the hearts of Tantalus' descendants, but *concutere* is used in related contexts of stirring up one's own potential for disorder; cf. Verg. *Aen.* 7.338 (Juno to Allecto) *fecundum concute pectus*, *HF* 105 (Juno to the Furies) *concutite pectus*.

86–100 Tantalus' last speech is also his most remarkable. He rebels against the Fury's demands and attempts to prevent the coming atrocity (95 *stabo et arcebo scelus*); then he finds himself overpowered by the Fury and finally submits to her will. The lines exploit the dramatic tension in Tantalus' threat to obstruct the action of the play, depict the immensity of Atreus' crime through the terror it inspires in a sinner such as Tantalus (compare *Tro.* 926 *quantum est Helena quod lacrimat malum*, 1099, 1154), and prefigure the futile resistance of Thyestes.

86–87 *Me . . . poenam*: there is a strangely impressive dignity in Tantalus' refusal to bring disaster on his kin. The appeal to *quod decet* carries considerable weight in Roman moral thinking, cf. Livy 3.62.2 *qualem liberi populi exercitum decuit esse, talis fuit*, 7.35.8 *fameque et siti moriendum sit, si plus quam viros ac Romanos decet ferrum timeamus*.

87–88 *dirus vapor / tellure rupta*: "a deadly exhalation from a fissure in the earth," such as those described in *NQ* 6.28.1 *pluribus Italiae locis per quaedam foramina pestilens exhalatur vapor, quem non homini ducere, non ferae tutum est*. The comparison closely resembles that in *Ben.* 7.20.4, where enormous evil is likened to *hiatus terrae et e cavernis maris ignium eruptio*.

tellure rupta: appropriate for Tantalus, since figures from the underworld often emerged through a rupture of the earth's surface (cf. *Tro.* 179–80 *hiatus Erebi pervium ad superos iter / tellure fracta praebet*); the phrase also implies the violation of boundaries and the confusion of realms normally distinct, a result longed for by Thyestes at the end of the play, 1007–1019.

88 *populis*: "nations" (cf. on 648 below); the action of the play is frequently projected onto a global scale.

89 *sparsura*: "destined to scatter," cf. *Ag.* 43 *daturus coniugi iugulum suae* (n).

90 *avus nepotes*: see on *natum parens*, 40–41.

90–95 As at 74–82, Tantalus leads up to the high point of his speech through an extended apostrophe; here too this is followed by a surprising twist, the change of addressee from Jupiter (*magne divorum parens* 90) to Tantalus' descendants (*moneo . . . aras*, 93–95).

91 *nosterque, quamvis pudeat*: "my parent too (though the fact may cause you shame)"; perhaps echoed (and revised) by Thyestes in the final scene, 1035 *hoc est deos quod puduit*.

91–93 Seneca alludes here to another version of Tantalus' original offence, that he revealed the secrets of the gods to men (*ps-Apoll. Epit.* 2.1, Ovid *Am.* 3.7.51 *taciti vulgator*); this background explains the force of *nec hoc* in 93—"I shall not keep silent about this either."

91–92 *ingenti licet . . . loquax*: *licet* is concessive, "even though my tongue be condemned to severe punishment and tortured for speaking."

taxata: *taxo* is a technical term of the criminal code, referring to the assessment of actions in relation to their punishments; the verb is first attested in Seneca, who uses it several times, cf., e.g., *HF* 746–47 *scelera taxantur modo / maiore vestra* (addressed to rulers), *Cons. Marc.* 19.1.

ingenti . . . poena: ablative of penalty (*AG* 353.1), cf. *ps-Quint. Decl. min.* 331 *quod lex capite taxavit*.

92 *linqua crucietur*: *cruciare* of a part of the body is quite rare (*Pl. Curc.* 237 *cruciatu iecur* is comic hyperbole); the closest parallel is Val. Max. 7.8.8 in a metaphor for psychological anguish, *quasi tortore aliquo mentem intus cruciante*. The notion is a product of the almost obscene interest in torture-scenes shown by declamation, cf., e.g., Sen. *Contr.* 2.5.1–9, esp. 6 *instabat tyrannus; torque; illa pars etiam potest; subice ignes; illa parte iam exaruit cruor; seca, verbera, lancina oculos* etc.

93 *moneo*: the absolute use (instead of, e.g., *moneo ne . . . violetis*) conveys a sense of urgency; compare *Ag.* 731 *timete reges, moneo, furtivum genus*, Ovid *Ars* 1.459 (in a parody of solemn advice) *disce bonas artes, moneo, Romana iuventus*. Seneca may have had in mind the warning given by Vergil's Phlegyas in Tartarus (*Aen.* 6.618–20): *miserrimus omnis / admonet et magna testatur voce per umbras: / discite iustitiam moniti et non temnere divos*.

93-95 *ne . . . violare . . . neve . . . aspergite*: the plural verbs and the absence of a specific vocative suggest that Tantalus is addressing his descendants generally, not just Atreus. (The terms used might also fit Agamemnon's ritual murder of Iphigenia.) Tantalus' emphasis on ritual purity corresponds to Atreus' insistence on just this aspect of his crime (cf. 689-95, 1058-59, and 61 above).

sacra . . . caede: "accursed slaughter," i.e., rendering the agent *sacer* or liable to divine punishment, cf. Hor. *Epod.* 7.20 *sacer nepotibus cruor*, *Phoen.* 277-78.

94 *furiali malo*: the unusual phrase comes from Verg. *Aen.* 7.375 (Allecto infecting Amata); in both passages *furialis* retains its connection with the Furies (so too, perhaps, in its only other appearance in the plays, *Med.* 158 *siste furialem impetum*, cf. 958-66).

95 *stabo*: "I shall stand my ground," like a soldier maintaining a position against the enemy, cf. Livy 22.5.1 *stare ac pugnare iubet*, Luc. 6.155-56 *non ira saltem, tuvenes, . . . stabitis?*

arcebo: echoed (with irony) in 132.

96-100 The Fury's overpowering of Tantalus recalls Allecto's overpowering of Turnus, cf. *Aen.* 7.450-51 *geminos erexit crinibus anguis / verberaque insonuit . . .* and 456-57 *facem iuveni coniecit et atro / lumine fumantis fixit sub pectore taedas*. It may be significant that Vergil portrays the enemy of the future Rome as driven by hellish powers, while Seneca shows these same forces polluting a royal house described in strongly Roman terms (see on 641-49 and 659-64 below, where Seneca again makes his point through a revision of Vergil).

On one level the Fury overcomes Tantalus' resistance by stirring up his perennial hunger and thirst; on another, however, Tantalus' *fames* and *sitis* may represent an appetite for evil that he has failed to eradicate; lines 62-63 could point in this direction, and see on 102-103 below.

96-97 *tortos . . . angues*: "entwined snakes" (suggesting the intertwined strands of a whip), cf. *Med.* 961-62 *ingens anguis excusso sonat / tortus flagello*; the snake-whips of the Furies appear also in *Ag.* 761 *anguinea . . . verbera* (n).

97 *minaris angues*: for the instrument as obj. of *minari* (instead of the more common result, as in, e.g., Quint. 11.3.117 *minari verbera*) cf. Livy 5.36.5 *arma*, Prop. 4.6.49 *saxa*, Sen. *Contr.* 7.4.1 *catenas*, 290 below *fulmen*, 603 *equitem*.

97-98 *quid famem . . . agitas medullis?*: Tantalus' hunger is boldly spoken of as an object that can be manipulated within him; *agitas* might suggest a goad, cf. Verg. *Aen.* 1.337 *stimulis . . . agitabat amaris*, Ovid *F.* 2.779 *stimulis agitatus amoris*. Cf. *Epist.* 94.6 *fixam . . . medullis famem detrahe*, and see on 64 for a comparable treatment of *fames*.

98-99 *flagrat . . . micat*: *flagrare* and *incendere* were common metaphors for strong desires, and each had been applied to hunger or fever (cf. Lucr. 6.1168-69 *intima pars hominum vero flagrabat ad ossa, / flagrabat stomacho flamma ut fornacibus intus*, Ovid *Met.* 8.828-29 *furit ardor edendi / perque avidas fauces incensaque* [Heinsius: *imensaque* codd.] *viscera regnat*). To surpass such earlier passages Seneca piles on even more fire-imagery, some of it with unfortunate results (e.g., *micat* of an invisible flame). [Phaedra's account of her feelings for Hippolytus is even more elaborate: *pectus insanum vapor / amorque torret. intimis saevit ferus / [penitus medullas atque per venas meat] / visceribus ignis mersus et venas latens / ut agilis altas flamma percurrit trabes* (640-44; on the text see Zwierlein, *Philologus* 113 [1969], 259-261).]

flagrat . . . cor: since the heart does not literally feel thirst, the wording may imply

that this *sitis* represents Tantalus' evil desires (a symbolism made explicit by the Fury's next lines, see on 101). Seneca makes similar use of *cor* in *NQ* 4B.13.11, after denouncing the affectation of cooling drinks with ice: *sitim istam esse putas? febris est, et quidem eo acrior quod non tactu venarum . . . deprehenditur, sed cor ipsum excoquit*.

100 *sequor*: it is not unusual for Seneca to place a climax near the beginning of a line (see on 23 above), but incomplete lines are rare: the other examples are *Pho.* 319—at the end of a scene—, *Tro.* 1103, and perhaps *Pha.* 605. The text has been suspected, but there seems no reason to doubt that the isolated *sequor* is genuine and depicts Tantalus' complete subservience to the Fury. Willingness to follow another's lead is another link between Tantalus and Thyestes, cf. *sequor* 489.

101-21 The Fury exploits Tantalus' submission, forcing him to pollute the house with his touch (101-104); she then orders him to leave the upper world and catalogues the dismal effects of his continued presence (105-121).

101 *hunc furorem*: i.e., the madness stirred up in Tantalus by hunger and thirst. The term *furore* suggests the metaphorical significance Seneca draws from Tantalus' traditional attributes; *suum . . . sitiant cruorem* (102-103) makes this shift even clearer.

divide in totam domum: "share it out among the whole house," as though Tantalus' *furore* were a sort of patrimony (which, in a sense, it is).

101-102 *Hunc, hunc . . . sic, sic*: W. M. Calder III has suggested (*CP* 79 [1984], 225-26) that these repeated words accompany lashes of the Fury's whip; he cites as a precedent Verg. *Aen.* 4.660 *sic, sic iuvat ire sub umbras*, where some ancient readers thought that *sic, sic* represented Dido's suicidal sword-thrusts (see Pease *ad loc.*). The Fury's lines are certainly spoken as she forces Tantalus toward the house, but in itself the repetition might only signal her excitement, cf. *Med.* 13, *nunc, nunc adeste*, 911 *iuvat, iuvat*, 980 *huc, huc*, *Pha.* 83 *hac, hac*, 1268 *hic, hic*.

102 *ferantur*: "let them (i.e., the inhabitants of the *domus*) be borne along," cf. 36, 109.

102-103 *suum . . . cruorem*: a wish fulfilled by Thyestes, cf. 917 *mixtum suorum genitor bibit*.

103 *introitus*: "entrance" rather than "near approach" (Miller), as noted by Calder (see on 101-102); cf. Cic. *Caec.* 39 *non introitu, sed omnino aditu prohibere*, Sen. *Ben.* 6.34.1 *magno aestimare introitum ac tactum sui liminis*. (Touching the *limen* is perhaps what Seneca's audience is meant to imagine Tantalus doing, cf. 104 *contactu*.)

103-104 *sentit . . . domus . . . nefando tota contactu horruit*: an adaptation of Ovid *Met.* 6.601-602 *ut sensit tetigisse domum Philomela nefandam, / horruit infelix totoque expalluit ore*. Seneca repeats several of Ovid's words but places them in new syntactical positions (*sensit-sensit, tetigisse-contactu, domum-domus, nefandam-nefando, horruit, toto-tota*, perhaps *expalluit-pallescit* 110); *infelix* is pointedly absent, since the Fury lacks the compassion of Ovid's narrator. Ovid's action is also inverted: the house becomes the victim, not the source, of horror.

105 *actum est abunde, gradere*: the influence of *Aeneid* 7 can again be felt: Juno commands Allecto (552) *terrorum et fraudis abunde est . . .* and (558) *cede locis*. The use of *abunde* is yet another link between the Fury and Atreus (cf. 279, 889).

106-107 *iam . . . gravantur*: "the lands are already revolting at your touch" (i.e., at the contact of Tantalus' feet with the earth); *gravor* is here used in a middle sense and governs a direct object (*OLD* s.v. #4b), cf. Lucan 7.284-85 *dominosque gravantur / quos*

novere magis. [The A MSS give *tuo . . . pede* for *tuum . . . pedem*, a clear example of interpolation to replace an unfamiliar construction with one more common.]

106–119 The reaction of the house to Tantalus' presence (103–104) is now enacted on a larger scale, reproducing on earth a version of Tantalus' customary punishment, as all moisture (and fruit, cf. 110–11) retreats from his vicinity. The passage also foreshadows the far more violent and cosmic recoil that attends Thyestes' crime (789–884).

107 cernis ut: cernis (cernitis) is used at *HF* 1017 and *Tro.* 684 of persons visible (or imagined as visible) on stage, in *Tro.* 893–95 of objects supposedly nearby (*cernis hos tumulos ducum / et nuda totis ossa quae passim iacent / inhumata campis?*). Here, though, the Fury's vision extends far beyond the immediate setting, taking in Corinth and Thebes (111–14, 117) as well as Argos. No description of off-stage action in pre-Senecan drama (cf., e.g., Aesch. *Suppl.* 713–25, Eur. *Phoen.* 101–82, Pl. *Rud.* 160–77) approaches this passage in its range, and the closest Senecan parallels are in *Phoenissae* (394–400, 427–42); cf. *HSCP* 82 (1978), 251–54, and for other connections between *Thyestes* and *Phoenissae*, see above, p. 11.

108 introrsus actus: “driven within (the earth),” i.e., the water rushes back to its source.

riparae vacent: based on Ovid's account of the Nile during Phaethon's disastrous ride in the sun's chariot, *Met.* 2.255–56 *ostia septem / pulverulenta vacant, septem sine flumine valles*.

109 ventus . . . ferat: there are few clouds in the sky (*raras . . . nubes*) because the heat of the wind has caused nearly all moisture in the air to evaporate; the fire of Tantalus' hunger and thirst (98–99) has projected itself onto the outside world.

110 pallescit omnis arbor: *pallescere* functions in both a literal and figurative sense: the trees literally lose color because of the unusual heat (cf. Pliny *NH* 19.176 *ocimum sub Canis ortu pallescit*), but as personified entities they “grow pale” in fear at Tantalus' proximity (cf. Ovid *Met.* 8.759–60 *pariter frondes, pariter pallescere glandes / coepere*, of the oak fearing Erysichthon's axe-blows).

stetit: a good example of the “perfect of instantaneous result,” used of an action which takes place so quickly that it has been completed before it can be described; cf. Verg. *G.* 1.330 *terra tremuit, fugere ferae, Aen.* 1.82–84 *venti . . . perflant. / incubuere mari . . .*, Ag. 891 (n).

111–14 The first of four references to the Isthmus of Corinth (cf. also 124–25, 181–82, 628–29). Latin poets from Ovid onward were inordinately fond of the Isthmus (Ag. 563 [n]), but here Seneca employs it for a telling, though unobtrusive, effect: the contrasting perspectives of the Chorus, Atreus, and the Messenger are deftly suggested by the terms in which each refers, nearly on entrance, to this already familiar feature of the dramatic landscape. Here the Isthmus reflects the disturbance of natural order caused by Tantalus' presence: normally a slender strip of land dividing two bodies of water (*vicina gracili dividens terra vada*) the Isthmus has become broad (*latus*) as the waters on either side have retreated, so that instead of seething with nearby waves (*fluctibus . . . propinquis . . . fremit*), it now catches the far-off sound of distant tides (*longe remotos . . . exaudit sonos*). [The text of 114 has been suspected, and if it is sound the writing could be called somewhat vague, but no defense of E's *litus* for *latus* and no conjecture made so far is at all persuasive.]

113 vicina . . . vada: on the word-order see on 10 above; here the interlocking arrangement reflects the geographical facts, as in what was until recently the only surviving line of Cornelius Gallus, *uno tellures dividit amne duas* (of the Hypanis in Scythia).

gracili dividens terra: echoed by Lucan 1.101 *geminum gracilis mare separat Isthmos*.

114 exaudit: *exaudire* sometimes denotes hearing distant or indistinct sounds, a shade of meaning appropriate here; cf. Pl. *Merc.* 707 *quae loquatur exaudire hinc non queo*, Cic. *Att.* 4.8a.1 *dic clarius; vix enim mihi exaudisse videor*, Verg. *Aen.* 4.460–61, 6.557–58, 7.15, Ovid *Met.* 7.645.

115 Lerna: a marsh and stream near Argos, most famous for harboring the Hydra.

115–116 Phoronides . . . venae: a remarkably high-flown expression for the river Inachus. Phoroneus was the river-god's son, and the epithet *Phoronis* was used by Ovid of his daughter Io, cf. *Met.* 1.668, 2.524 (Ovid may have found it in Calvus' lost “epyllion” *Io*, but proof is lacking); *Phoroneus* appears later in Statius (*Th.* 12.465, S. 3.2.101) as a learned substitute for *Argivus* (cf. Theoc. 25.200).

venae: properly specifying a flowing stream of water (cf. Livy 44.33.2 *ocultos latices, quorum venae in mare permanantes undae miscerentur*, Ovid *F.* 3.298, *Tr.* 3.7.16 *vena aquae*, Sen. *Epist.* 89.21 *aquarum calentium venae*), *vena* becomes an elegant post-Augustan equivalent for *aqua* or *fons*, as here, cf. Stat. *S.* 2.2.86, Mart. 10.30.10 *in Lucrina . . . vena*.

116–117 nec . . . undas: Alpheos is an important river of the Peloponnesus (cf. Ovid *Met.* 2.250, 5.576); in Accius' *Oenomaus* it identifies the inhabitants of Argos, 509 R² *omnes qui arcem Alpheumque accolunt* (for this association see also 130–31 below).

[*sacer / Alpheos:* the MSS read *sacras* (with *undas*), which seems to lack point—unlike, e.g., Ovid *Met.* 2.464 *nec sacros pollue fontes*, of the spring where Diana has bathed. On the other hand, Gronovius' emendation *sacer* is supported by *Med.* 81 *Alpheos . . . sacer* and Ovid *Her.* 2.114 *sacer Hebrus*, and gives a more satisfying arrangement of words, cf. Zwierlein, *Gnomon* 40 (1968), 768. (Costa on *Med.* 81 cites Milton's “divine Alpheus” [*Arcades* 30], and—although Senecan influence is doubtful—one thinks also of “Alph, the sacred river” in Coleridge's *Kubla Khan*.)]

117–118 Cithaeronis . . . nive: “the ridges of Cithaeron stand nowhere white, the snow having been put off” (*parte nulla* abl. of place; *cana* neuter pl. nom. in agreement with *iuga*; *deposita nive* abl. absolute). The phrasing is highly artificial, perhaps because Seneca was straining for an inverted allusion to Horace's snow-covered Soracte, *C.* 1.9.1–2 *vides ut alta stet nive candidum / Soracte*; Seneca's *nive deposita*, comparing the snow to a burden that has been laid down (cf. Livy 6.3.5 *sarcina . . . deponi iubet*, Verg. *Aen.* 12.707 *armaque deposuere umeris*), might be the equivalent of *nec iam sustineant onus* in Horace. Cithaeron, the mountain near Thebes, is not primarily known for its snowy ridges, but cf. *Oed.* 808 *nivoso sub Cithaeronis iugo*; see also on 126 below.

119 nobiles . . . Argi: the city rather than the inhabitants.

veterem . . . sitim: the legendary “primeval thirst” of Argos, before Danaus brought water to the city by digging wells, cf. Hes. *fr.* 128 M–W, Pliny *NH* 7.195. The somewhat obscure allusion permits a concluding reference to the central idea of thirst.

120–121 en . . . diem: the prologue ends with an anticipation of the sun's retreat at the sight of the banquet (a pointed use of the convention that the action of tragedy began at dawn, see on 49–50). Lucan begins his account of the day of Pharsalus with a similar but more elaborate description of the sun's reluctance to rise (7.1–6). Seneca's language stresses compulsion (*iubeat sequi, cogat . . . ire*), creating a cosmic parallel to the vain resistance of Tantalus (96–100).

sequi: apparently "to proceed" (on its course), a sense for which I have not yet found a parallel.

121 periturnum diem: "a day destined to perish" (i.e., before its natural end); for this use of the future participle compare 89 *sparsura*. A suitably resonant and ill-omened concluding phrase.

CHORUS I

The first choral ode opens a broader perspective on the situation set out in the prologue, as the citizens of Argos¹ brood over the grim history of their royal house and beg the gods to prevent the cycle of evil from repeating itself in the present generation. By recalling the crimes of Pelops and Tantalus, the Chorus gives more definite shape to the concept of inherited wickedness that obsesses all the characters in the play; by singling out Pelops' treachery (139–43) and Tantalus' inhuman savagery (144–48), it unwittingly anticipates the even more dreadful actions of Atreus and Thyestes. The tone of the ode is involved and fervent (note, for example, the heartfelt cry *peccatum satis est*, 138), with no trace of the detached generalizing of many Senecan choral lyrics. Like all the odes in this play, it portrays with powerful empathy the feelings of subjects whose lives are ruinously affected by events they are helpless to control.

The ode falls into three sections: a plea to the gods for assistance (122–37), recollection of the offenses of Pelops and Tantalus (138–51), and an imagined contemplation of Tantalus in the underworld (152–75). Many choral songs of Greek and Senecan tragedy consist of prayers to the gods for help; the type of invocation seen here, in which the gods of a city are appealed to at a time of crisis, is found, for example, in the *parodos* (entrance-song) of Aeschylus' *Septem* (87–180) and Sophocles' *Oedipus Tyrannus* (158–215). What is unusual about this chorus is the abstractness of its references to the gods: no deity is named—one might have expected an appeal to Argive Juno—and the prayers are couched in conditional sentences (122, 124, 126, implied in 130), making them sound tentative and uncertain. The contrast between these vague and subdued allusions to the gods and the sharply detailed images of the Argive landscape with which they are interwoven creates an impression—to be confirmed as the play proceeds—that the gods cannot be counted on to ward off the dangers that threaten the Chorus's world. Faith in a benign providence is further shaken, for the audience, by recollections of the prologue, which at several points anticipates and nullifies the Chorus's hopes (see on 126–29, 132–33, 133–35, 138).

The closing section of the ode has a more complex relation to its surroundings. There is irony in the implied assumption that Tantalus' hunger and thirst represent the ultimate in misery, since the prologue has shown Tantalus longing for these familiar torments rather than witness the evil that is to be enacted (68–83). But these lines also underscore a central motif of the play: the extraordinary description of Tantalus resisting his hunger but at last succumbing to intolerable temptation (158–68) recapitulates the climax of the prologue, when Tantalus defies the Fury but is in the end reduced to submission (90–100); it also foreshadows the struggle and ultimate failure of Thyestes to hold out against the attractions of wealth and position (440–90, 530–43).

The final lines, with Tantalus once more deprived of the food and drink he craves,

¹ Nothing in the Chorus's language marks it as old or young, male or female. Seneca is often less clear about the identity of his choruses than Greek dramatists (see my note on Ag. 57–101 [p. 181]), but here the lack of a specific persona may help the Chorus's statements bear a wider relevance; see above, p. 45.

recall the opening of the prologue, where Tantalus finds himself abruptly displaced from that customary state. For a moment the play seems to have come full circle; this temporary sense of closure heightens the effect of Atreus' first words, which violently propel the action forward again.

Meter: First asclepiads (see above, p. 31).

122–126 si quis . . . si quis . . . si quis: the repeated conditions balance the questions at the start of the prologue (*quis* 1, 3), and also betray uncertainty—more justified than the Chorus can know—whether any god does in fact care for Argos.

122 Argos . . . Achaicum: *Achaicus* is not a conventional epithet in Latin poetry (Seneca uses it only here); the conjunction with *Argos* may recall Homeric practice (cf. *Il.* 9.141, *Od.* 3.251). In Greek "Achaëa" strictly refers to the northern Peloponnese or southern Thessaly, but looser applications are not uncommon; see Denniston on Eur. *El.* 1285. In Roman terms "Achaean Argos" denotes a familiar concept, since Argos lay inside the Roman province of Achaëa.

de superis: qualifies *si quis* ("if anyone from among the gods"); *de* is partitive, generally a prose usage, cf. Caes. *BG.* 1.15.2 *pauci de nostris cadunt*, Livy 22.59.9, Petr. 44.10.

123 Pisaesque domos curribus inclitas: the area of Pisa in Elis was identified by Latin poets with Olympia and spoken of as the site of the Olympic races; cf. Verg. *G.* 3.180–81 *Alphea rotis praelabi flumine Pisae / et Iovis in luco currus agitare volantis*, *Tro.* 849–50, Ag. 938 (where Seneca again anachronistically projects the games back into heroic times), Juv. 13.99 *Pisaeae ramus olivae*. The identification may have been assisted by the fact that Pisa was the setting of the famous chariot-race in which Pelops defeated Oenomaus through the treachery of Oenomaus' charioteer Myrtilus, cf. Acc. 196 R² (from the *Atreus*), *simul et Pisaeae praemia arrepta a socru possedit suo*, 500 R² (from *Oenomaus*); above, p. 39. The story will soon be explicitly recalled (139–43), but *curribus inclitas* already sounds an ironic note, since the "renown" of Pisa was not straightforwardly positive. (See also on 131.)

124 Isthmi . . . regna: the Isthmus reappears (see 111–14 above), here atypically described in the language of dominion, perhaps to establish the outlook of the Chorus as subjects in a *regnum*. Note also that the sea divided by the Isthmus is *dissidens* (125), often a term of political strife, cf. Phaedrus 1.30.1 *humiles laborant ubi potentes dissident*, Sen. *De ira* 3.2.4 *dissidit plebs tota cum patribus*, Florus 2.5 *sic urbe in una quasi in binis castris dissidebatur*; are the conflicts of the rulers being projected onto the Chorus's view of the realm?

125 portus geminos: a verbal link with the next mention of the Isthmus at 181 *geminum mare*.

126–29 The lines on the snows of Taygetus are the counterpart to the Fury's description of Cithaeron in 117–18; the Chorus evokes a regular and stable alternation of seasons, but belief in the natural order has been undermined by the prologue's depiction of a disjointed world. [Cithaeron and Taygetus are similarly paired in the proem to the third *Georgic*, 43–44 *vocat ingenti clamore Cithaeron / Taygetique canes*.]

127–28 Sarmaticus . . . Boreas: Boreas is traditionally associated with Thrace (cf. Hes. *Op.* 507, Verg. *Aen.* 12.365, Ovid *Ars* 2.431); here Seneca places it farther north, probably under the influence of Ovid's exile poetry, which brought the epithet *Sarmaticus* into Latin verse. Ovid has no example of *Sarmaticus Boreas*, but note *Pont.* 4.10.38 *mare Sarmaticum* and 41 *hinc oritur Boreas*.

129 veliferis . . . Etesii: a conspicuously *recherché* phrase—neither the wind nor the adjective belongs to the common stock of Latin poetic diction. The *venti Etesii* are an apt choice to express opposition of summer and winter, since they were thought of as the estival counterparts of *aquilo* (cf. Lucr. 5.742 *Etesia flabra aquilonum*, 6.716, 730, Pliny *NH* 18.335). Poets generally showed little interest in them, and Seneca's knowledge may owe something to his research on winds for the *Naturales Quaestiones*; note in particular *NQ* 5.10.2–11.1, where the rising of the *Etesii* is linked with the melting of winter snows (and compare *composituit* in 128 with *nives et ponuntur et durant* in *N.Q.* 5.10.2).

veliferis: *velifer* is attested in a mere handful of places, and in all but one refers to "sail-carrying" ships or their masts (*carina*, Prop. 3.9.35, Ovid *Met.* 15.719, *Pont.* 3.2.67; *malus*, Lucan 1.500, Val. Fl. 1.126, Stat. S. 5.1.244). Seneca extends the force of *-fer* to denote the wind that propels ("bears") the sails, which stand by synecdoche for the entire ships. [Much later (ca. A.D. 300) Porphyrius Optatianus used *velifer pontus* (18.13) in a similar sense, probably as a variation on Vergil's *mare velivolium* in *Aen.* 1.244.]

130–32 quem tangit . . . advertat placidum numen: the subject of *advertat* and antecedent of *quem* has to be supplied: "let <that god> [*ille deus* or the like] who is moved by the Alpheos . . . turn hither a calming power."

tangit: "touch" emotionally, cf. *Pho.* 301–302 *non patris illos tangit afflicti pudor, / non patria*; often used as the premise of an appeal, cf. Verg. *Aen.* 12.932–33 *miseri te si qua parentis / tangere cura potest*, Ovid *Met.* 2.293–94, *F.* 5.489.

130 gelido flumine lucidus: the cool beauty of the river is suggested through the interplay of liquid sounds and the lulling repetition of *lu* in *flumine lucidus*. The clarity of the Alpheos is highlighted in Ovid's description at *Met.* 5.587–88 *aquas . . . perspicuas ad humum*, a passage echoed by Seneca in *Cons. Marc.* 17.3 (of the *fons Arethusa*) *nitidissimi ac perlucidi ad imum stagnt, gelidissimas aquas profundentem*.

131 Alpheos . . . Olympico: for the connection cf. Verg. *G.* 3.180–81 quoted above on 123, also 3.19 where Alpheos itself stands for the Olympic games. The reference to the racing-track rounds off the section begun at 123 (*domos curribus inclitas*). For Thyestes too the *stadium* is one of Argos' strongest associations, cf. 409–10.

132 placidum: prayers often include the wish that the god addressed will be *placidus* ("gentle," "well-disposed"), cf. Verg. *Aen.* 3.265–66 *di talem avertite casum / et placidi servate pios*, 4.578, Ovid *F.* 4.161–62. The combination *placidum numen* is not conventional; here *placidus* may have active meaning ("calming"), as in *placidis dictis, placido ore* (Verg. *Aen.* 11.251, Ovid *Met.* 1.390, 4.652, etc.); compare Vergil's picture of Neptune rising to calm the storm in *Aen.* 1.126–27 *alto / prospiciens summa placidum caput extulit unda* (with Austin's note).

132–33 arceat . . . vices: *arceat* surely recalls *arcebo* in 95: the intervention that the Chorus hopes for has already been attempted, and has failed.

arceat . . . ne: *arcere* with an object clause is not common, but cf. Livy 27.48.8 *collis oppositus arcebat ne . . . adgrederentur*, with *quin* 26.44.9, later Amm. Marc. 17.12.12, Claudian *Bell. Goth.* 100–103.

133–35 These lines ironically echo the prologue, *alternae scelerum . . . vices* matching *alterna vice* in 25 and *succedat avo deterior nepos* blending *subit . . . turba* (18–19), *rabies parentum . . . eat in nepotes* (28–29), and *ducam in horrendum nefas / avus nepotes* (89–90). The parallels remind the audience that the cycle of crime has already renewed itself, with imminent consequences far more virulent than any the Chorus can imagine; their neatly balanced phrases (*nec . . . minoribus*) sound almost mild after the Fury's tirade.

134 succedat . . . nepos: in addition to the internal references noted above, the phrase might recall a famous earlier description of moral decline, Hor. C. 3.6.46–48 (the end of the "Roman Odes") *aetas parentum peior avis / tulit nos nequiores, mox daturos / progenem vitiosiore*.

136–37 tandem . . . Tantali: the Chorus's tone now becomes more fervent, with *tandem* expressing wearied exasperation and with an accumulation of emotionally charged adjectives (*lassa, feros, sicci, impia*).

136 lassa: cf. 152 *lassus*; both Tantalus and his descendants are fatigued by the consequences of his crimes. Here *lassa* is causal, implying that exhaustion, if not virtue, should prompt the Tantalids to abandon their wickedness; one of Seneca's sharpest epigrams redefined the "clemency" of the elderly Augustus as mere "exhausted cruelty" (*Clem.* 1.11.2 *ego vero non voco clementiam lassam crudelitatem*), cf. also *Epist.* 63.12 *est in homine prudente remedium maeroris lassitudo maerendi*. The Chorus's hopes are explicitly negated by Atreus' later actions, cf. 736 *dente iam lasso impiger*.

feros . . . impetus: *impetus* is a favorite Senecan term for the irrational impulses of *tra* or other passions (it is the standard Latin equivalent for the *δρμή* of Stoic psychology and ethics), and his plays abound in futile calls for their restraint, cf. *Ag.* 203 (n), *Med.* 381 *resiste et iras comprime et retine impetum, Pha.* 255 *moderare, alumna, mentis effrenae impetus*. Here the *impetus* are *feri* (the closest parallels are *feroces impetus* in *Tro.* 496, *Ag.* 127), a coloring which adds to the portrayal of the Tantalids as creatures of subhuman savagery (cf. p. 47).

exuat impetus: an apparently unique combination, which may suggest that these *impetus* are a habitual way of life rather than a momentary aberration; for *exuere* of "putting off" a customary outlook or manner cf. Verg. *G.* 2.49–51 *haec quoque . . . exuerint silvestrem animum*, Ovid *Am.* 3.4.43–44 *vultusque severos / exue, F.* 3.281 *exiitur fertitas*. It may be significant that the verb appears only once more in the play, when Atreus invites Thyestes to put off the filthy clothes he has worn in exile and accept the raiment of a king (524–26).

137 sicci: "parched," usually of the tongue, throat, etc. (so, e.g., Petr. *Sat.* 82.5 *sicco . . . ore* of Tantalus); but for the extended use cf. Ovid *F.* 3.304 *relevant multo pectora sicci mero*.

138 peccatum satis est: a sentiment contradicted by the Fury (note especially 28 *rabies parentum duret*, 29–30 *nec vacet cuiquam vetus / odisse crimen*) and later overturned entirely by Atreus, for whom no crime is ever fully sufficient, cf. 256, 890, 1053.

138–39 fas valuit nihil / aut commune nefas: "respect for right has had no effect [i.e., in restraining the Tantalids from crime], nor has shared wickedness." (For *aut* joining the subjects of a negative verb, cf. Cic. *Tusc.* 1.30 *nec vero id collocutio aut consessus effectit, Sall. C.* 26.2 *neque illi . . . dolus aut astutiae deerant*, K-S 2.103). The second part of this phrase has been variously explained or emended; I take it to mean that not even the fact that depravity is common to the whole family has prevented one member from committing crimes against another (as detailed earlier, 25–48). [Thomann and Watling understand *commune nefas* as "common (i.e., normal) ideas of the limits of crime"; the sense is apt, but it strains the meaning of *communis*, which, even when it comes close to "ordinary" (as in, e.g., *HO* 177 *nullum querimur commune malum*), still primarily denotes what is shared with others. (In combination with *nefas, culpa, vitium*, etc., *communis* consistently describes a wrong that affects or is practiced by all the members of a stated group, cf. *Ira.* 3.26.7, Ovid *Ars* 1.395, *Met.* 13.304, *Pont.* 2.3.22, Livy 5.11.8, 8.14.9, 25.26.7, Luc. 1.6, etc.) Ascensius took *nefas commune* to mean "nihil valuit, quia

impunitum mansit," which also seems not to do justice to *commune*. The medieval variant *at* for *aut* is palaeographically neat, but linguistically doubtful, while *sed*, which would give a tolerable opposition to *fas valuit nihil*, fails to account for the corruption to *aut*. The most ingenious suggestion made so far is Bothe's *ad*, giving the sense "right was powerless to treat the common depravity"; for *valere ad* in this medical sense (a variety of the use of *ad* to express purpose), cf. Pliny *NH* 28.105 *finum . . . arefactum ad dysintericos valere*, *OLD* s.v. *valeo* #4b, s.v. *ad* #44b. The rarity of the usage is no objection (and would even help explain the change to *aut*), but the resulting positions of *fas* and *nefas* are rhetorically implausible: as Otto Zwierlein points out to me, these terms are more likely to be placed on the same footing, as in *Ira* 2.9.2 *ad fas nefasque miscendum*, Ovid *Ars* 1.739 *mixtum fas omne nefasque*, *Met.* 6.585–86.]

139–50 The Chorus recalls two incidents from the previous history of the house, Pelops' murder of Myrtilus and Tantalus' attempt to serve Pelops to the gods. (See Introduction, p. 39.) The narrative follows an ascending order of emotional intensity: the stress on Myrtilus' disloyalty to Oenomaus (*deceptor domini, fide / vectus qua tulerat*) makes his downfall seem almost just, and there is little indignation in the summing-up *notior / nulla est Ionis fabula navibus*; by contrast the attack on Pelops is recounted in a highly involved manner, with pathetic details (*parvulus, dum . . . osculum*) and indignant glosses (*gladio . . . impio, immatura . . . victima*) culminating in an outraged apostrophe (147). Once the Chorus has reached this level of emotion in contemplating Tantalus' crime, it is then natural for it to reflect at length on the justness of his punishment (152–75).

139–40 *proditus . . . deceptor*: deceit is a recurrent theme of the first part of the play, cf. 47–48 *fides . . . pereat*, 159 *deceptus*, 223–24 *furto . . . fraude*, 235 *perfidus*, 312 *fraudis . . . vias*, 318 *dolos*, 320–21 *fallere . . . falles*, 473 *dolus*, 482 *fraudem*, 486 *decepi . . . times*.

deceptor: perhaps a Senecan coinage; it occurs only here in extant Latin poetry.

140–41 *fide / vectus qua tulerat*: "transported [i.e., by Pelops] with the loyalty with which he had carried [i.e., Oenomaus]." For *ferre* of conveying a passenger by ship, cf. *Cons. Liv.* 428, *Sen. Ben.* 6.19.1; I have not seen it used of a charioteer.

141 *nobile*: "notorious," cf. *Ag.* 566 *scelere Lemnon nobilem* (n). For this explanation of the name of the *mare Myrtoum*, which lies just east of the Argolid, cf. ps-Apoll. *Epit.* 2.8, Ovid (?) *Her.* 16.209–10 *nec Priamo pater est soceri de caede cruentus / et qui Myrtoas crimine signat aquas*.

142–43 *notior . . . navibus*: reminiscent of Ovid *Am.* 1.9.40 *notior in caelo fabula nulla fuit*, *Met.* 4.188–89 *diuque / haec fuit in toto notissima fabula caelo*, but perhaps with a different emphasis, i.e., the story is familiar to sailors because that stretch of the Aegean was particularly dangerous (cf. *Hor. C.* 1.1.14 *Myrtoum pavidus nauta secet mare*), and the ominous origins of its name were often recalled to account for the sea's treacherous character. (Seneca may have been thinking of places in Ovid where a metamorphosis produces a natural object avoided henceforth by sailors, cf. *Met.* 9.228 *scopulus quem quasi sensurum nautae calcare verentur*, 14.74.)

143 *Ionis*: "Ionian" here refers to the *mare Ionium*, the part of the Mediterranean immediately to the east of Greece, cf. *Ag.* 565 where the Isthmus of Corinth divides the *mare Phrixium* from the *mare Ionium*, also *Epist.* 80.7, quoting a line of earlier Latin tragedy: *en impero Argis . . . qua ponto ab Helles atque ab Ionio mari / urgetur Isthmus*.

144 *exceptus*: bitterly ironic, since *excipere* often means to receive someone with kindness or affection, cf. Ovid (?) *Her.* 18.101 *excipis amplexu feliciaque oscula iungis*, Verg.

Aen. 3.210–11, 5.41 *excipit ac fessos opibus solatur amicis*. The pointed use may have been suggested by Verg. *Aen.* 10.386–87 *incautum crudeli morte sodalis / excipit atque ense tumido in pulmone recondit*, 3.332.

parvulus: the pathetic diminutive heightens the brutality of the crime, cf. *Tro.* 456, 1089–90 *incedit Ithacus parvulum dextra trahens / Priami nepotem*, *Oed.* 806.

145 *dum . . . osculum*: the murder of Itys in Ovid is preceded by a similar show of filial affection (*Met.* 6.624–26 *ut tamen accessit natus matrique salutem / attulit . . . mixtaque blanditiis puerilibus oscula iunxit*); Ovid's Procne, however, is at least temporarily softened by the sight, and Seneca's language is designed to make Tantalus appear utterly heartless (compare *Met.* 6.640–41 '*mater, mater*' clamantem et colla petentem / ense ferit Procne).

146 *immatura . . . victima concidit*: the slaughter of Pelops is described in a novel way, as a travesty of sacrificial ritual; this motif reappears in a more developed form in Atreus' "sacrifice" of Thyestes' sons (689–775).

focis: suggesting both "hearth" and "altar," cf. 767–68, *Ag.* 168 (sacrifice of Iphigenia).

immatura . . . victima: the sacrifice even on its own twisted terms is tainted, since the offering had not attained the requisite age. I have not found a parallel for *immaturus* in this sense, but sacrificial regulations strictly specified the qualities required in a victim, sometimes calling for an animal in its second year, cf. the Vergilian formula *mactant lectos de more bidentis* (*Aen.* 4.57 with Servius' note, *bidentes autem dictae sunt quast biennes, quia neque minores neque maiores licebat hostias dare*; see Pease *ad loc.*).

147 *divisus*: echoed in Atreus' preparation of the feast at 760–61 *ipse divisum secat / in membra corpus*. (The instances of *dividere* in 101 and 1023 may also relate to this motif, part of the theme of "sundering," see above, p. 46.) The word *divisus* of a whole person is less graphic than *divisum corpus* in 760–61 (or such expressions as *divisum . . . caput* in *Ag.* 45–46 or *divisa . . . membra* in Ovid *Met.* 13.865), but the absence of detail may produce a grimmer effect, cf. *Med.* 132 *comes divisus ense*.

148 *mensas ut strueres*: *mensam struere* (or *instruere, exstruere*) is often "to set/deck a table (with food)," cf. Pl. *Men.* 101 *Cerialis cenas dat, ita mensas exstruit*, with addition of *dapes* or *epulae* Ovid *Met.* 8.572, 11.119–20, etc.; here, though, *mensas* probably = *cenam, cibos* (for which cf. Ovid *F.* 6.131–32 *avidae volucres, non quae Phineia mensis / guttura fraudabant*, *TLL.* 8.741.56–742.76); note the close parallel with 61 *epulae instruantur* and 1106–1107 *fuert hic animus tibi, / instruere similes inscio fratri cibos*, and for *mensu* so used see also 273, 899, 916.

149–51 *hos . . . decentior*: the repeated *hos* and *aeterna* may underscore the inexorable of the punishment; the symmetrical sound-pattern of 151 (*dēc-/po-/poe-/dēc-*) may suggest its suitability to the offense.

149 *persequitur*: remarkable in referring to the punishment rather than the punishing agent (as in, e.g., Tib. 1.8.28 *persequitur poenis tristia facta Venus*), and also in implying that Tantalus' punishment is in some sense still in the future (cf. Cic. *Verr.* 1.50 *vitam mehercule mihi prius quam vim perseverantiamque ad illam improbitatem persequendam defuturam*). The result—perhaps aided by the echo of *persequitur* in *sequi* 174—is to cast Tantalus and his punishment in complementary roles of eternal frustration: Tantalus can never escape retribution, but neither can that retribution ever exact its full claim.

152–75 The ode concludes with the most elaborate extant description of Tantalus in the underworld. Its length and prominence suit its thematic importance (see above, p. 106); in particular Tantalus' struggle to resist his hunger—an unconventional touch with clear symbolic overtones—is described with an Ovidian abundance of realistic detail (especially 160–61: narrowed eyes, pursed lips, gritted teeth). As a whole, though, the writing is remarkable for its avoidance of naturalism: metaphor and figurative language systematically distort normal perspective. Several unusual or unprecedented conjunctions of nouns and adjectives produce a sense of stylistic disorientation (*vacuum guttur* 152, *praeda fugax* 154, *folia languida* 164, *silva mobilis* 168, *profugus latex* 172, *sterile vadum* 173). The scale of the scene is fantastically inflated as the tree (*arbor* 157) becomes a grove (*nemus* 162) and at last a forest (*silva* 168, note also *totus . . . autumnus* 167–68). The final lines defy analysis, verging on the surreal with their mingled images of flight and vacuity (see on 172–73). The most complete inversion of normal categories surrounds Tantalus and the fruit and water he longs for. Throughout the passage, nature, represented by the tree and stream, is seen in strongly human terms: it threatens (*incubat* 155), teases (*insultant* 164), and affects a languorous heaviness (*languida* 164); it is capable of motion (*fugacior*, *mobilis*, *profugus*), fertile (*gravidis*, *fetibus* 155–56), wealthy (*divitias* 162), and ultimately barren (*sterili* 173). With Tantalus, on the other hand, the repeated emphasis on parts of his body (*vacuo gutture* 152, *capiti . . . noxio* 153, *patulis . . . hiatibus* 157, *oculos . . . ora . . . dentibus* 160–61, *irritas . . . manus* 165–66, *sanguis* 170, *ore* 172) makes him appear less than fully human, nearly equating him with the physical instruments of his appetites. The deliberate cultivation of unnatural perspectives in passages such as this gives point to the currently popular description of Seneca's style as “mannerist” (cf. Jo-Ann Shelton in *Poetica* 11 [1979], 38–82).

The passage has a carefully planned bipartite structure. In the first section (152–61), verbs of motion are exclusively applied to the fruit that tempts Tantalus (153 *impendet*, 155 *incubat*, 156 *tremens*, 157 *alludit*), while he remains frozen in static resistance (152 *stat*, 159 *neglegit*, 160 *obliquat . . . oculos*, *comprimit*, 161 *alligat*). Then, as his defenses are breached, movement becomes reciprocal and intertwined: 163–64 *demittit . . . insultant*, 166 *exercere*, *protulit*, 168 *rapitur*, 169 *instat*, 171–72 *stat . . . petens* (cf. 152 *stat*), 173 *avertit*, 174 *conantem sequi deserit*.

152 stat: balanced by *stat* in 171 (and perhaps an ironic echo of *stabo* in 95).

lassus: cf. 136, and note the chiasmic repetition: *lassa feros* (136)—*feris* (150) . . . *lassus* (152).

vacuo gutture: a unique combination; the closest resemblances are in *Cons. Liv.* 422 *arida guttura*, *Culex* 242 (of Tantalus) *gutturis arenti . . . sensu*. Seneca may be inverting *plenum guttur*, for which cf. Ovid *RA* 536, *F.* 6.138, *Met.* 12.325; he may also have had in mind Ovid's description of Erysichthon (*Met.* 8.826): *exercetque* [cf. 166 below] *cibo delusum* [v.l. *desuetum*] *guttur inani*). The choice of *vacuus* links the phrase to the motif of fullness and emptiness; see on 22 *complebo*.

153 impendet: suggests a looming, threatening object, probably recalling the rock that hangs over Tantalus in the other main version of his punishment, cf. Lucr. 3.980–81 *nec miser impendens magnum timet aere saxum / Tantalus*, Cic. *Fin.* 1.60, *Tusc.* 4.35 *poetae impendere apud inferos saxum Tantalos faciunt*.

154 Phineis avibus praeda fugacior: “a prey more elusive than the birds that tormented Phineus” (i.e., the Harpies). The conjunction of *praeda* with a word denoting flight (*fugax*) could have been suggested by Vergil *Aen.* 3.243–44 *celerique fuga sub sidera lapsae / semesam praedam et vestigia foeda relinquunt*, but Seneca has boldly

transferred the idea of flight from the birds to the food they carry off; a *fugax praeda* is a reversal of the norm, since *praeda* usually describes a passive recipient of action, cf. Ovid *Her.* 10.96 *destituor rapidis praeda cibusque feris*, *Met.* 7.31. The effect recalls *fugaces . . . cibos* in 2, or *poma fugacia captat / Tantalus* in Ovid *Am.* 2.2.43–44, but is considerably stronger than either.

The use of the Harpies as a point of comparison may be connected with their appearance in *HF* (759) as a literal part of Tantalus' underworld surroundings.

Phineis avibus: Vergil and Ovid use *Phine(i)us* only of things actually belonging to Phineus, e.g., *domus* (*Aen.* 3.212–13), *guttura* (*F.* 6.130–31): the extended use here—typical of “Silver” Latin style—is close to that in Petr. *Sat.* 136.6 (a parody of high poetry) *cum Phineo maduere veneno / fallaces epulae*.

155 incubat: like *impendet* in 153, *incubat* suggests that the tree menacingly “hangs over” Tantalus; cf. *imminere* in Verg. *Aen.* 6.603, Ovid *Met.* 4.459 *quaeque imminet effugit arbor*. This appears to be the first time the word in this sense describes a natural object (later of a tree in Stat. *S.* 2.3.55, of a mountain ridge Pliny *NH* 6.53, cf. *TLL* 7.1.1062.57–63); its ominous connotations, though, are clear in such earlier passages as Verg. *Aen.* 1.89 *ponto nox incubat atra*, cf. *Oed.* 47 *ater incubat terris vapor*. The occurrences of *incubare* later in the play (401, 571, 733, 909) predominantly exploit its overtones of threat and anxiety; in *Phaedra*, the other play in which the verb appears often (99, 259, 268, 1280), its leading associations are of weight and oppression.

156 curvata suis fetibus: Ovid uses *curvare* of trees “bent over” by their fruit (cf. *Ars* 3.705, *RA* 175, *Met.* 10.94), once of a tree in childbirth, so to speak (*Met.* 10.518–19 *nitenti tamen est similis curvataque crebros / dat gemitus arbor*). The word *fetus* is a common term for fruit or crops in high poetry (cf., e.g., Verg. *G.* 2.56, 4.231); with *gravidis frondibus* (“teeming” branches, cf. Verg. *G.* 1.111 *ne gravidis procumbat culmus aristis*), it establishes an image of fertility countered by *sterili* in 173.

tremens: *tremere* can simply denote rapid motion (“flickering” or “quivering”) as in, e.g., Prop. 4.6.26, Martial 4.30.9; in particular it is used by Ovid of tree-tops or marsh reeds agitated by winds, cf. *Ars.* 1.554, 3.694, *F.* 2.439, etc., similarly *Oed.* 50–51 *altis flava cum spicis tremat . . . seges*. In this context, however, it seems hard to exclude the word's emotional force.

157 alludit: in *Oed.* 267, the only other appearance of *alludere* in Seneca, it means “play up against” and governs a dative, *nostro geminus alludit solo*; that meaning would also fit this passage. Seneca may have deliberately chosen a verb with suggestions of water (cf. also Cic. *N.D.* 2.100 *mare . . . litoribus alludit* [v.l. *eludit*]), since it is more often water than food that plays around Tantalus' mouth (cf., e.g., *HF* 753 *alluit mentum latex*).

patulis . . . hiatibus: a grotesque phrase, which makes Tantalus appear for a moment as nothing but a pair of straining jaws. (The plural adds to the effect by suggesting Tantalus' mouth gaping repeatedly.) By itself *hiatus* denotes an abnormally wide opening of the mouth or other orifice; so, for example, of people gasping for air in Prop. 3.7.52, Ovid *Met.* 7.557, Val. Fl. 4.277. The addition of *patulus* might imply a subhuman contortion, as it consistently does in Ovid, cf. *Met.* 6.378 *patulos . . . rictus* (frogs), 11.60 *patulos . . . hiatus* (a snake), 15.513 *patulo . . . ore* (the monstrous sea-bull that destroys Hippolytus); on the other hand, the phrase had clearly lost much of its force by the time of Silius, who uses *patulo . . . hiatu* (2.119) to mean “with wide-open mouth.”

159–61 deceptus . . . alligat: the pictorial vividness of these lines is enhanced by a complex pattern of repeated hard consonants (d-t-g, c-q).

159 deceptus totiens: cf. *HF* 754 *saepe decepto*, Ag. 20 *ore decepto* (the same phrase is applied to Thyestes in 988 below).

neglegit: "shows no concern (to)," almost "refrains (from)," with a clear suggestion of choice, cf. Cic. *Or.* 77 *verba etiam verbis coagmentare neglegat*, Val. Max. 4.5. ext. 3 *Atheniensis quid sit rectum sciunt, sed id facere neglegunt*, without infinitive in Juv. 9.92.

160 obliquat . . . oculos: lit., "turns his eyes askant" (i.e., "looks askance"), a reaction combining fear and suspicion (cf. *Oed.* 339, Ovid *Met.* 7.412 of Cerberus turning away from unaccustomed daylight); to look at a person or thing *obliquo oculo*, etc., connotes hostility, cf. Hor. *Epist.* 1.14.37, Ovid *Met.* 2.787 (*Invidia*), 706 of Atreus *torvum et obliquum intuens*.

ora . . . comprimunt: the indefinite *ora* produces a more elevated phrase than, e.g., Plautus' *comprimere dentes* (*Ps.* 787) or Horace's *compressis labris* (*S.* 1.4.138), but the language, like the gesture it describes, remains homely.

161 inclusisque . . . alligat: for the metaphor of binding compare the inverse *solvimus . . . famem* in 64 above, with note. Seneca is fond of *alligare* in this transferred sense, cf. *HF* 710 (*locus*) *quem . . . umbris spissa caligo alligat*, 1079, *Oed.* 182; the other instances, though, are not as striking as this one.

inclusisque famem dentibus: the enclosing word-order matches the confinement described; for *includere* nearly = *claudere* ("with teeth tightly shut"), cf. *Ira* 3.19.3 *os inserta spongia includi*.

162 divitias: *dives* and *divitiae* of Nature's abundance are not uncommon, cf. Ovid *Met.* 15.80-81 *prodiga divitias alimenta que mitia tellus / suggerit*, Sen. *Apoc.* 2.1 *honores divitis autumnii*; it is surely significant, though, that Tantalus is finally overcome by a display of "riches," just as Thyestes will be (430-89, 536-43).

omne nemus: a magnifying phrase; Tantalus is now tempted by the wealth of an entire grove.

164 insultant: the verb essentially describes a repeated up-and-down motion (e.g., of ships on waves in Ovid *Met.* 1.134 *fluctibus ignotis insultavere carinae* [v.1. *exsultavere*]), but it is normally used of actions far more violent than the bobbing of fruit on tree-branches; it is difficult not to feel the verb's suggestions of taunting and abuse, cf. Verg. *Aen.* 6.570-71 of the punishment of sinners in the underworld, *sontis ultrix . . . / Tisiphone quatit insultans*.

foliis . . . languidis: either dative with *insultant* (so apparently *OLD* s.v. #1) or, perhaps better, ablative of description ("the ripe fruit with its drooping leaves").

languidis: flaccid leaves usually denote withered or diseased plants (cf. Ovid *Am.* 3.7.65-66 *iacuere . . . membra / . . . hesterna languidiora rosa*, *F.* 5.317-18); the exception is the lily, cf. *Pha.* 768 *languescunt folio lilia pallido*, Pliny *NH* 21.23. Here *languidus* probably suggests the heaviness of the foliage when the fruit is at its most luscious, and also a drowsy, slow motion, lulling Tantalus into the belief that this time the fruit will not escape him.

165 accenduntque famem: for the metaphor see 97-99 above.

166 exercere: "to busy/agitate"; perhaps a reminiscence of Ovid *Met.* 8.826, quoted on 152 *vacuo gutture*.

167 et falli libuit: a striking phrase, for which I can offer only distant analogues in Ovid (e.g., *Met.* 7.832 *sperat . . . miserima falli*). The stress on Tantalus' acquiescence is certainly deliberate (contrast *HF* 754 *fidemque cum iam saepe decepto dedit*, where the

emphasis is on the faithlessness of the water); it deepens the symbolic meaning of the scene, in which Tantalus' surrender prefigures that of Thyestes (cf. especially 542).

167-68 totus . . . autumnus: for *autumnus* by metonymy for the produce of harvest-time cf. Verg. *G.* 2.5-6, Ovid *Met.* 14.660; the combination *totus autumnus* recalls *Met.* 9.91-92 *totum . . . tulit praedivite cornu / autumnum et mensas, felicia poma, secundas*.

in arduum: "into the air," an idiom usually found in prose.

168 silva . . . mobilis: a startling phrase (imitated in Statius' description of Tantalus in *Th.* 6.281 (*qui refugae sterilem* [cf. 173 below] *rapit aera silvae*); to modern readers it inevitably suggests Birnam Wood (and might even be the inspiration for Shakespeare's "moving grove," *Macbeth* 5.5.37). After this arresting image, which functions as a *sententia*, there is a new start (*deinde* 169); the language of the next few lines is relatively straightforward (as far as *petens* 172), forming a lead-in to the dense and pointed phrasing of the final lines.

171 obvius: stronger than "put in his way" (*OLD* s.v. #6), since there is an idea of motion toward Tantalus; better "approaching" or "oncoming," as in Ovid *Met.* 1.528 *obviaque adversas vibrabant flamina vestes*.

172-75 fluctus . . . gurgite: here and in *HF* 752-55 Seneca piles up several near-synonyms for water (*fluctus . . . latex . . . vado . . . gurgite*); perhaps a way of mirroring Tantalus' sensation of water all around him, but never within his reach.

172-73 quos . . . vado: two images seem conflated, one of water suddenly swerving away from Tantalus' lips (*profugus, avertit*), the other of a stream drying up and leaving behind a dusty bed (*sterili deficiens vado, 175 altum . . . pulverem*). Each of these pictures parallels an aspect of the action to come: the flight of the water foreshadows that of the Sun (789-93, note *vertis iter* 791) and the gods (893, 1021), while the passage from fertile abundance (155-56) to sterility and emptiness looks ahead to the annihilation of Thyestes' offspring. Seneca has refrained from harmonizing the two conceptions, creating a juxtaposition whose coherence is emotive rather than logical.

fluctus . . . quos . . . latex / avertit: narrowly skirting self-contradiction, since *fluctus* and *latex* refer essentially to the same object; there may be a hint that the water possesses a will that overrules its natural course (somewhat as Thyestes finds his body disobeying his commands, cf. 419-20, 436-37). The water's change of direction is re-enacted when Thyestes lifts the cup with his children's blood (987-88).

173 sterili . . . vado: "with barren channel"; cf. *Oed.* 43 *nuda . . . vada*, and for *sterilis* of parched soil cf. Verg. *G.* 1.70 *sterilem exiguus ne deserit umor harenam*. Here the connotations of infertility are thematically important, cf. 156 *curvata suis fetibus*.

174 deserit: used of Tantalus by Tibullus (1.3.78) in the sense "let down," "leave in the lurch" (*iam iam poturi deserit unda sitim*); here with a stronger sense of departure and abandonment, underlined by *conantem sequi* (cf. Ovid *Met.* 11.327 *conantemque loqui cum sanguine vita reliquit*). The sense-pause after *deserit* comes in an unusual position, halfway through the second metron (contrast 138, 150, 166); the jarring rhythm reflects the abruptness of Tantalus' deception.

sequi: of Tantalus in Ovid (?) *Her.* 18.182 *spem . . . suo refugii fluminis ore sequi*. There may be a significant echo of *sequor* 100, as well as of *persequitur* 149.

175 altum . . . pulverem: the separation of adjective and noun and the postponement of *pulverem* to last position verbally portray Tantalus' last-minute frustration.

de rapido gurgite: *de* has a quasi-partitive sense: the thick dust is all that remains from a rushing stream, cf. Prop. 1.5.26 *quam cito de tanto nomine rumor eris*, Tro. 544 *igne de magno cinis*, Ag. 413 *exiguas . . . de classe rates*.

ACT II (176–335)

In the three plays of Seneca with prologues spoken by other-worldly figures (*Thyestes*, *HF*, and *Agamemnon*), the action proper begins in the second act. The audience has already experienced Atreus' imminent crime in the prologue, through the terrified anticipation of Tantalus; now Seneca offers a closer view of the same event, as the form of his revenge gradually takes shape in Atreus' mind. The scene is dominated by four long speeches of Atreus (177–204, 220–44, 267–86, 321–33); this arrangement, which is not found in other Senecan scenes of this type, reflects Atreus' unwavering control of the situation. (The fragments of Accius' *Atreus* show that it contained a comparable scene, a bravura display of tyrannical savagery by the title character. See above, p. 42.)

After an opening monologue, the bulk of the scene comprises an unequal dialogue between Atreus and an unnamed servant. The encounter between an impassioned protagonist and a confidant who vainly counsels restraint is one of Seneca's favorite dramatic situations; other examples occur in *Medea* 115–78, 382–430, *Phaedra* 85–273, and *Agamemnon* 108–225. The ultimate inspiration for these scenes may have been Euripides' *Medea* and *Hippolytus*, where Nurses play a somewhat comparable role. (Sophocles' way of contrasting the strength of will shown by Antigone and Electra with the caution advised by their sisters is also to a degree similar.) Seneca's handling of these supporting characters, however, owes little to Greek tragedy. Seneca's confidant(e)s are never developed into fully-formed characters (although the Nurse of *Phaedra* comes closest); their essential function is that of reacting to the evil contemplated by the protagonist. By reducing the interest of the supporting role, Seneca heightens the concentration on the leading character; instead of the interplay of two complex personalities (as, for example, in Euripides' *Hippolytus*), he depicts individuals in self-conscious isolation. This tendency reaches an extreme form in this scene. In a sense its only real conflict is within Atreus himself, as he methodically extirpates every trace of moral scruple or restraint (cf. 192–95, 241–43, 249–54, 283–84, 324–30). The servant not only fails to sway Atreus, but even finds himself compelled to abet his plans (cf. 245, 286–88, 334–35); this decline from pious protest to timid complicity is the play's second portrait of evil victorious over feeble resistance.

176–204 Each of Seneca's protagonist-confidant scenes begins with a major speech by the protagonist (cf. *Med.* 116–49, *Pha.* 85–128, *Ag.* 108–24). These speeches are essentially soliloquies, in which no notice is taken of the subordinate character. In all of them the speakers review their intolerable situations and rouse themselves to action. Atreus' speech provides no formal exposition; as in the prologue, earlier events are alluded to in emotionally charged language (178–79, 197–202) but are not narrated. The function of the monologue is to display Atreus' insane desire for revenge, and only later in the scene (222–41) does Seneca relax the tension for a short passage of narrative.

176–78 Ignave . . . inulte: the scene begins with an explosion of verbal energy that is also a masterful depiction of Atreus' restless and turbulent character. The opening sequence of epithets, all associated with inactivity or lack of will, leads to a surprising climax in *inulte*: in Atreus' scheme of values, only vengeance is proof of *virtus*. The sounds of these lines echo their sense, with snarling assonance of *ign-/in-/en- . . . /in-* and taunting repetition of *-ner-* in *iners*, *enervis*. (See also above, p. 44.)

176 enervis: roughly “gutless,” a popular term in post-Augustan criticisms of Roman *nores*, cf. Val. Max. 2.7.15, Sen. *Contr.* 1 pr. 9, Petr. *Sat.* 119.25.

176–77 quod . . . reor: the parenthesis increases expectation of the last element in the series and so heightens the impact of the pointed *inulte*. The phrasing recalls Accius' Atreus (206–208 R²), *quod re in summa summum esse arbitror / periculum, matres conquinari regias, / contaminari stirpem ac misceri genus*, but Seneca's character thinks above all not of the practical dangers of confused descent (see on 240), but of the mere fact of being unavenged.

177 tyranno: Atreus makes no apology for being a dictator, and appeals several times to the “rules” of tyrannical behavior, cf. 205–218, 247–48, 312–13. Other Senecan *tyranni*, such as Lycus (*HF* 511–13) and Aegisthus (*Ag.* 995), are similarly open about their status and methods.

rebus in summis: “in dangerous circumstances” or “in a crisis.”

178–79 post . . . ruptum: the inflated description of Thyestes' crimes recalls the words of the Fury (47–48), *et fas et fides / iusque omne pereat*, and of the Chorus (138), *fas valuit nihil*.

179 ruptum: the notion of sundering what is normally whole runs through the play, cf. 88, 552–53, 777 (see note), 862, 956, 1008, 1039. Its last appearance gives the figurative rupture of *fas* a horribly literal form in the broken remains of Thyestes' children (*rupta . . . vestigia* 1039).

179–83 [The text as printed is based on the E-branch of the tradition; in its place the A manuscripts have: *questibus vanis agis / iras? at Argos fremere iam totum tuis / debebat armis, omnis et geminum mare / innare classis, iam tuis flammis agros / lucere et urbes decuit*. The E-version contains two difficult, but almost certainly authentic expressions, *agis* without an object and the apparent hyperbole of *totus . . . orbis*; the simpler A-text is probably an ancient interpolation designed to eliminate these features.]

179 questibus vanis agis: “do you take action with futile complaints?” (i.e., rather than with the forces at your command), cf. *lege agere* “to take legal action” (Livy 26.15.9, etc.), Verg. *Aen.* 7.523–24 *non iam certamine agresti / stpitiibus duris agitur*, Sen. *Epist.* 95.34 *decretis agendum est*. Compare also Ovid's Procne (who has clearly influenced Seneca's portrayal of Atreus), *Met.* 6.611 *'non est lacrimis hoc' inquit 'agendum'*.

180 iratus Atreus: it is typically Senecan frankly to admit one's *ira*, cf. *Med.* 135–36 *nullum scelus / irata feci* (implying that *Medea* is now *irata*), *Oed.* 519 *quid arma possint regis irati scies*, *Ag.* 970 *'iustae parenti satis' —at iratae parum'* (text uncertain). Atreus, though, goes a step further in combining *iratus* with a self-conscious use of his own name (cf. 53 *Tantalo*): for “Atreus” to stop at mere complaints would be shameful, but for “Atreus angered” to do so is unthinkable.

180–91 These lines assume that Thyestes is hiding somewhere in Argos. None of the activity mentioned actually occurs, though, since Atreus soon hits on the plan of luring Thyestes with an offer of joint rule (297–99). The passage thus serves mainly to exhibit Atreus' ruthless energy and the pleasure he derives from this imagined display of his power.

180–81 totus . . . orbis: if taken in the usual sense, “the whole world,” the phrase would be badly out of line with the rest of this section, which is clearly centered on Argos. [Perhaps for this reason the A-text has *at Argos* instead of *Atreus* and omits *orbis*, making the geography consistent.] But *orbis* can also mean “area of control” (cf. *OLD* s.v., #13,

and note especially Ovid *Met.* 8.100 *Creten, qui meus est orbis*. Sen. *NQ* 5.18.10 *parum est intra orbem suum furere*), so that the meaning is actually "my whole kingdom." This "globalizing" language is characteristic of Atreus, cf. *tot* 178, *omne* 179, *undique* 183, *tota* 184, *totus* 187, *quisquis* 188.

181–82 geminum . . . agere: "your fleets should have been stirring up the twin seas from either side," i.e., from either side of the Isthmus (see on 111–14). For *agere mare* cf. *Med.* 755 *egique ad inum maria*, Ovid(?) *Her.* 12.124 *quis freta ventus agat*. [Instead of *agere* the A-text reads *innare*, which is more choice and more vivid, but which normally describes swimming or floating rather than vigorous sailing.]

geminum . . . utrumque: for the pleonasm cf. Verg. *G.* 3.33 *bisque triumphatas utroque ab litore gentes*, which refers to two triumphs rather than four.

182 flammis: i.e., of torches held by the search-parties.

183–84 strictum . . . ferrum: Atreus fulfills part of the Fury's exhortation, *stringatur ensis* 26.

184 micare: the "flashing" sword is often a sort of synecdoche for battle, cf. Livy 1.25.4, 7.5.6, 33.10, etc.; for its usual emotional coloring note, e.g., Sen. *Const.* 6.2 *inter micantis ubique gladios et militarem in rapina tumultum, inter flammam et sanguinem stragemque impulsae civitatis*. . . .

184–85 sub nostro . . . equite: kings in Greek tragedy do not normally call up troops of cavalry; this is the first of several indications of a Roman coloring to Atreus' rule. The collective singular *equus* is common in Roman military contexts, cf. *OLD* s.v., #2b. The Chorus thinks in similar terms, cf. 381, 554, 603.

186 hostem: Atreus avoids naming his brother until 259, where the mention of "Thyestes" carries particular force. Not naming one's opponent is a rhetorical device—technically, *antonomasia* or *pronomination*—that expresses contempt, cf. *Ad Her.* 4.42, Quint. 8.6.30, Dido in *Aeneid IV* (421, 497–98, 613, 640, 661–62), S. *Ag.* 165 (n).

186–87 altis . . . arces: another detail with possible Roman associations, since towns perched on hilltops were (and are) a distinctive feature of the Italian landscape, cf. Verg. *G.* 2.156 *tot congesta manu praeruptis oppida saxis*.

187 bellicum . . . canat: a technical term for giving the signal to initiate hostilities. There is a clear echo in 553 *cecinit . . . bellum*.

188 invisum caput: *caput* by synecdoche for "person" is usually a term of address, but for its use here and in 244 as a substitute for Thyestes' name cf. Verg. *Aen.* 4.612–13 *si tangere portus / infandum caput ac terris adnare necesse est*, 640 *Dardani . . . rogam capitis*.

190–91 haec . . . fratrem ruat: Atreus imagines that his search for Thyestes might lead to the palace itself, and accepts the consequences of pulling it down on both of them (*vel* in 191 = "even"). Willingness to die while destroying one's enemy is typical of characters bent on revenge, such as Sophocles' Electra (1078–81); neglect of one's own safety was more generally attributed to *irati*, cf. Hor. *C.* 1.16.9–12 with Nisbet-Hubbard's note, Sen. *Ira* 1.1.1 [*adfectus*] *dum alteri noceat sut neglegens, in ipsa inruens tela et ultionis secum ultorem tracturae avidus*. Seneca's Medea expresses a related idea: *trahere, cum pereas, libet* 427 (and cf. Costa *ad loc.*).

190 pollens . . . Pelopis domus: the phrasing may have Roman overtones, cf. Pl. *Capt.* 278 *quod genus illi est unum pollens atque honoratissimum*, Sall. *Jug.* 30.4 *ea tempestate Romae Memmi facundia clara pollensque fuit*.

incliti: Seneca is inordinately fond of this high-sounding epithet (he uses it nearly twenty times in the tragedies, twice as often as Vergil and Ovid combined); applied to Pelops, however, the word must have ironic force, cf. *domos . . . curribus inclitus* 123.

192–204 The rhetorical rhythm of the speech now quickens, and it ends with no fewer than seven *sententiae* on the theme of revenge. The only respite from the epigrammatic style comes in the middle lines (196–99 *et quod . . . quietem*) and here a trio of questions maintains the emotional drive. The rhythm literally changes as well: 192 begins with a rush of four short syllables, and the section as a whole is unusually rich in resolutions, conveying a sense of almost breathless eagerness.

192–93 age . . . taceat: Seneca's Medea also aims for undying renown in crime, cf. 432–33 *faciet, hic faciet dies / quod nullus unquam taceat*, but the sardonic opposition of *probet* and *taceat* gives Atreus' lines a sharper point, cf. *Tro.* 1128 *odit scelus spectatque*. Atreus' wish is recalled in the words of the Messenger, 753–54 *o nullo scelus / credibile in aevo quodque posteritas neget*.

192 anime: the standard term of self-address in Senecan drama, corresponding to *θυμέ* in Euripides (e.g., *Med.* 1056); it often appears in exhortations to action (i.e., to crime), cf. *Med.* 895, *Pha.* 592, *Ag.* 108 (n). Although *anime* was similarly used by earlier Latin tragedians (cf. Pacuvius 284 R², Accius 489 R²), it is particularly prominent in Seneca, perhaps because of his philosophical belief that voluntary acts require the assent of the rational faculty, cf. *Ira.* 2.1.4 *nobis placet nihil illam [sc. iram] per se audere, sed animo adprobante*. This style of self-address is not common in later drama, but note, e.g., Othello's "it is the cause, it is the cause, my soul" (5.2.1).

193–95 aliquod . . . mallet: Atreus improves on the conventional adjectives *atrox* and *cruentum* by finding a more pointed description of the revenge he must devise—one that Thyestes could wish he had taken on him. For a similar twist see 16–18.

195–96 ulcisceris . . . vincis: the second person verbs are addressed to no single listener, but are instead "gnomic" (as in "you can't take it with you"), cf. *HF* 343–44, *Oed.* 25–26.

196 vincis: "outdo," as in 19 (*turba*) *quae suum vincat genus*. The echo shows that Atreus belongs to the *turba* foreseen by Tantalus; note also 20 *inausa audeat* and 193 *audendum*. Atreus returns to this point at 1052–53 *sceleri modus debetur ubi facias scelus, / non ubi reponas*. In this, as in other respects, Atreus' outlook is a complete inversion of traditional morality, cf. *Ira* 2.32.1 *non enim ut in beneficiis honestum est merita meritis rependere, ita iniurias inturiis. illic vinci turpe est, hic vincere*, also Sall. *Jug.* 42.5 *sed bono vinci satius est quam malo more iniuriam ulcisci*.

198–99 numquid . . . quietem?: "does he accept any limit in prosperity, or retirement in adversity?" That is, Thyestes was not content to enjoy prosperity within limits as Atreus' brother but schemed to gain power for himself; once defeated, he is not willing to live obscurely but plots another attempt on the throne. By claiming that Thyestes cannot endure *modus* and *quies*, Atreus nimbly assumes a position of moral superiority *vis-à-vis* his victim. [Seneca himself described Cicero in remarkably similar terms, *BV* 5.1 *nec secundis rebus quietus nec adversarum patiens*.]

rebus . . . fessis: res fessae, "adverse conditions," is a Vergilian expression (*Aen.* 3.145, 11.335) which later became part of high style in both prose and verse.

199 quietem: under the Principate *quies* often denotes avoidance of political involvement, cf. *OLD* s.v., #6b; *quies* is what Seneca asked of Nero in A.D. 62, cf. Tac. *Ann.* 14.51.5, 14.56.3, above, p. 7.

virī: *vir* is often a substitute for the oblique cases of *is*, which are generally avoided in poetry, cf. Verg. *Aen.* 4.423 *sola viri mollis aditus et tempora noras* (where, as here, *virī* has an edge of hostility or at least distance). In prose as well, *virī* can take the place of *eius*, cf. Sall. *Cat.* 51.16 *eos mores eamque modestiam viri cognovi*.

200 indocile: a surprisingly mild word; Seneca does not want to overshadow the following *sententia*.

flecti . . . potest: other Senecan characters lament the difficulty of bending a proud or obdurate spirit, cf. *Med.* 202, *Pha.* 137, 229; Atreus characteristically concludes that stronger measures are needed. The combination of *flectere* and *frangere* appears (with the opposite inference drawn) in Livy 2.23.15 *concitatos animos flecti quam frangi putabat cum tutius cum facilius esse*; Livy 42.48.3 links *flectere* and *docere* (cf. *indocile*), *non eis animis audiebantur qui aut doceri aut flecti possent*.

non potest . . . potest: for other *sententiae* based on this opposition cf. Sen. *Prov.* 3.1 *potest . . . miser dici, non potest esse*, *Ira* 3.26.1 *quis . . . iniuriam non potest ferre qui potest iram?*, *Pho.* 66 *perire sine me non potes, mecum potes*.

202 petatur . . . petat: "let him be attacked first, so that he may not attack me when I am off guard." Atreus is obsessed by the suspicion—which the next scene shows to be groundless—that Thyestes may even now be plotting against him, cf. 270 *occupa*, 314–16, 1104–1110. His counterparts in Accius and Varius also portray themselves as acting in self-defense, but it is not clear if they resembled Seneca's character in paranoia, cf. Acc. 199–202 R² *iterum Thyestes Atreum adtractatum advenit, / iterum iam adgreditur me et quietum exsuscitat; / maior mihi moles, maius miscendumst malum, / qui illius acerbum cor contundam et comprimam*, Varius 1 R² *iam fero infandissima, / iam facere cogor*.

203 aut perdet aut peribit: the last of a series of symmetrical phrases, cf. 192–93 *nulla . . . probet, / sed nulla taceat*, 195–96 *non ulcisceris / nisi vincis*, 198–99 *secundis . . . rebus modum, / fessis quietem*, 200 *flecti non potest, frangi potest*, 202 *petatur . . . ne . . . petat*. If this fondness for balanced expressions is meant to characterize Atreus, one might interpret it as a form of verbal assertiveness, a drive to impose order on language, and so on reality.

203–204 in medio . . . positum: the crime is "set between" the opponents like a prize, cf. Ter. *Phorm.* 16–17 *in medio omnibus / palmam esse positam*, Livy 26.32.3 *praemium victoris in medio positam urbem*.

204 occupanti: "for the one who gets there first"; *occupare* in the sense "anticipate," "pre-empt"; cf. Sen. *Epist.* 29.5 *omnia quae dicturus sum occupabit*, *Tro.* 998, *Ag.* 193 (n). Fear of being anticipated is strong in Atreus, cf. 270, 274, perhaps 716.

For the device of breaking off a speech early in a trimeter see on 23 above, also. 286, 690, 716, 1021, 1068, 1076.

204–219 The first section of dialogue illustrates several traits of Senecan stichomythia as contrasted with its Greek counterparts. It is competitive, concerned to win a point rather than to impart information or plan action. It avoids extended one-line exchanges in favor of less symmetrical forms, with lines frequently divided between speakers (*antilabe*). It is verbally intricate, developing ideas through a complex interplay of echo and revision (as with *laus* and *laudare*, *cogere* and *velle/nolle* in these lines). Finally, it is highly gnomic; in this case, lines 205b to 218 consist entirely of general statements. (Similar, but shorter gnomic exchanges occur at, e.g., *HF* 463–64, *Tro.* 332–36, *Med.* 159–63, 504–505, *Oed.* 699–706, *Ag.* 150–54.) The combination of these features gives passages such as this a keen sense of intellectual excitement, as irreconcilable positions are set

against one another in the starkest possible form.

The subject of debate, the relation of ruler and ruled, is also a common one in Senecan drama, cf., e.g., *Oed.* 699–706, *Tro.* 332–36, *Med.* 195–96. This treatment is remarkable for its depiction of tyranny at its most cynical and arbitrary. The arguments Atreus brushes away coincide at several points with Seneca's advice to Nero in *De clementia*; the author of *Octavia* drew both on this play and on the treatise for the scene in which Seneca vainly urges restraint on Nero (440–62).

205–207 Maximum . . . laudare: Seneca's Atreus takes a step further the notorious dictum of his predecessor in Accius (203–204 R²), *oderint, dum metuant*: his subjects are to be coerced, not merely into acquiescence, but into praise. Atreus here gives the tyrant's view of a phenomenon noted by several writers of the Principate, the corruption of free speech through constant *adulatio* of the emperor, cf. Tac. *Ann.* 1.1.2, 2.32.2 (and see Goodyear *ad loc.*), Plin. *Pan.* 2–3, R. Syme, *Tacitus* (Oxford, 1958), 573–74, 580–81.

205 Maximum . . . bonum: *maximum* often acts as a lead-in to a pointed definition, cf. 175–77, 293, *Oed.* 629–30 *maximum Thebis scelus / maternus amor est*; *Tro.* 311–12, 422–23 *hic mihi malorum maximum fructum abstulit, / nihil timere*, *Ag.* 271–72 *id esse regni maximum pignus putant, / si quidquid aliis non licet solis licet*, *Pha.* 1119–20.

207 tam ferre quam laudare: "to praise as well as to endure." The word-order is inverted to give full weight to *laudare*; for similar inversions of logical sequence cf. *HF* 622 *o nate, certa at sera Thebarum salus*, *Clem.* 1.3.3 *illius demum magnitudo stabilis fundataque est, quem omnes tam supra se quam pro se sciunt*.

207–208 Quos . . . inimicos metus: the idea occurs often in discussions of tyranny, cf. Sen. *Epist.* 105.4 *qui timetur timet; nemo potuit terribilis esse secure*, *Oed.* 705–706, *Ag.* 72 (n).

209 favoris gloriam veri: the ideal of "true glory" is explicitly described by Cicero, *Off.* 2.43 *quod si qui simulatione et inani ostentatione et ficto non modo sermone sed etiam vultu stabilem se gloriam consequi posse rentur, vehementer errant. vera gloria radices agit atque etiam propagatur, ficta omnia celeriter tamquam flosculi decidunt, nec simulatum potest quidquam esse diuturnum*, *Tusc.* 3.3.

211–12 Laus . . . falsa: Atreus perversely welcomes feigned praise as a measure of his power, since there is no reason to flatter the lowly. Seneca's Eteocles develops a similar paradox, that a ruler's power is increased by the hatred of his people (*Pho.* 654–58).

211 et humili . . . viro: *et* underlines *humili*, "even to the man of low position," cf. Ovid *Tr.* 1.2.101 *quod licet et minimis*, "what is permitted even to the humblest."

212 quod nolunt velint: "let them (i.e., my subjects) will what they do not wish." Atreus does not merely demand flattery, but sadistically aims at inflicting mental pain. His wish is fulfilled by Thyestes, 420 *moveo nolentem gradum*, 965–66 *nolo infelix, sed vagus intra / terror oberrat*. [The radical conflict of will and reluctance is also felt by Phaedra, cf. 604–605 *vos testor omnes, caelites, hoc quod volo / me nolle*.]

213 Rex . . . volet: pursuing the theme of volition, the attendant pictures an ideal harmony of king and subjects (*velit . . . volet* in contrast to *nolunt velint*). The assumptions made here are explicit in *De clementia* 1.3.5–4.1, where Seneca calls the ruler the unifying bond and animating spirit of the state.

214–15 Ubi cumque . . . regnatur: the demand for total license is typical of Senecan tyrant-figures, cf. *Med.* 195 *aequum atque iniquum regis imperium feras*, *Tro.* 335 *quodcumque libuit facere victori licet*, *Oct.* 451 (Nero) *fortuna nostra cuncta permittit*

mili, Ag. 271-72 (quoted on 205 *maximum*; see note *ad loc.* for other examples). In *De clementia* 1.8.2 Seneca argues that Nero's position in fact deprives him of the freedom enjoyed by his people: *quam multa tibi non licent quae nobis beneficio tuo licent*.

214 tantum: adverbial with *honesta*, "only what is right."

215 precario regnatur: "one reigns on sufferance" (that is, one's power depends on the consent of others); *regnatur* is impersonal passive. Suetonius recorded it as a sign of Claudius' unassertive manner that he obtained the Senate's consent (*precario exegit*) for the decisions of his magistrates (*Claud.* 12).

215-17 Ubi . . . est: this is the attendant's most forceful intervention (note the asyndetic series *cura iuris sanctitas pietas fides*, cf. 52 above); the point is the same as in *Tro.* 258-59 *violenta nemo imperia continuit diu, / moderata durant* or *Med.* 196 *iniqua numquam regna perpetuo manent*, but the expression is much more vigorous. The dialogue is approaching its climax.

217-18 Sanctitas . . . sunt: rather than responding to the attendant's point, Atreus repeats some of his words in a dismissive tone. (It is easy to imagine an actor sarcastically mimicking the attendant's impassioned delivery. This is one of many passages where Seneca's rhetoric has a strong theatrical flavor.)

218 qua iuvat reges eant: not an argument, but the overt statement of a thought implicit at 214, that kings may act as they please. The way Atreus breaks off debate with this arbitrary assertion encapsulates the leading idea of the dialogue, the futility of reasoning with tyrants.

qua iuvat . . . eant: "going one's own way" is a colloquialism for being able to do as one wishes, cf. Petr. *Sat.* 18.6 *hoc amo, quod possum qua libet ire via*.

219 Nefas . . . puta: the attendant shifts his ground: Atreus should regard it as wrong to harm a brother, even a wicked one (*vel* as in 191). [E reads *puto*, making the line an opinion rather than an exhortation. This seems weak, and for *puta* used in argument, cf. *Pho.* 616, *HO* 448.]

220-44 A listener might expect the point made in 219 to touch off a new round of stichomythia, and Atreus' first line does indeed sound like a self-contained reply. Atreus now goes on, however, to recall Thyestes' offenses against him and to urge himself even more insistently to take vengeance. The speech sweeps aside the attendant's scruples, and when dialogue resumes at 245 the question is no longer whether to retaliate, but how.

220 Fas . . . nefas: Atreus objects that Thyestes has never shown the restraint advocated by the attendant; the response is emotionally plausible, if not logically cogent.

in illo . . . in fratre: *in* here means "in the case of," cf. OLD s.v., #42, Ovid *F.* 6.576 *caeca . . . in hoc uno non fuit illa* [sc. *Fortuna*] *viro*.

222-24 coniugem . . . domum: Atreus again displays the tendency toward balanced phrasing noted earlier (see on 203, and add 220): *abstulit* neatly couples the literal abduction of Atreus' wife with the figurative theft of power, and the two charges made in 222-23 *coniugem . . . furto* are then repeated in chiasmic order in 223-24 *specimen . . . domum*, with *fraude . . . fraude* playing a similar linking role. Accius' Atreus is much more diffuse, cf. 205 R² *qui non sat habuit coniugem inlexe* [= *inlexisse*] *in stuprum*, 209-212 R² *adde huc quod . . . agnum inter pecudes aurea clarum coma / quondam Thyestem clepere ausum esse e regia*.

223 specimen . . . inperi: "the outward sign of rule," cf. Verg. *Aen.* 12.162 *solis avi*

specimen ("the sign of his descent from the Sun"); in Accius (210 R²) the ram is called *regni stabilimen mei*.

225-41 Atreus now settles down to the only passage of exposition in the play. The description of the ram with golden fleece is far more detailed than the corresponding lines in Accius (209-213 R², partially quoted above on 222-24), and might ultimately be based on a prologue-speech in a Greek treatment of the story. The elevated diction and leisurely pace of these lines offer a welcome contrast to the foregoing succession of clipped *sententiae*.

225 est: *est* marks the start of a descriptive passage giving the background for the main part of a narrative; the story usually resumes with a demonstrative word, in this case *hunc* (234). See further on 641-82.

Pelopis . . . pecus: = *est nobile* ("renowned") *pecus in stabulis altis Pelopis*. The artificial word order is a mark of high style.

225 altis . . . in stabulis: *stabula alta* is virtually a formula of Latin epic, cf. Verg. *Aen.* 6.179, 9.388, Ovid *Met.* 5.627, 6.521, 8.554.

pecus: the neuter noun is rarely used of a single animal, cf. perhaps Ovid *Ibis* 453, Gratt. *Cyn.* 265; less certain are Ovid *Met.* 11.248 *pecoris fibris*, Sen. *Ag.* 806 *pecore cotico*, since more than one animal might be offered in sacrifice. Euripides has *ποίμνα* (normally "herd") of this ram in *El.* 725. *Pecus* (fem.) describes the animal with golden fleece sought by the Argonauts, cf. Ovid *F.* 4.903, Sen. *Med.* 983.

226 arcanus aries: not merely "secret" or "hidden," but "mysterious," "with magic powers," a sense not common before Seneca (cf. Prop. 4.7.37 *arcanas . . . salivas*) but frequent in Flavian poetry, cf. Val. Fl. 4.15, Sil. 2.426, 13.420, Stat. *S.* 3.4.92.

ductor . . . gregis: *ductor* was originally a loftier word than *dux* (cf. *Ag.* 39 [n]), but in referring to the bull loved by Pasiphae Seneca uses *pecoris . . . ducem* (*Pha.* 116) and *ductor . . . gregis* (118) interchangeably; *dux gregis* is an Ovidian phrase, cf. *Ars.* 1.326, *Met.* 5.327, 7.311, and *ductor* was applied by Vergil to stags (*Aen.* 1.189) and bees (*G.* 4.88).

opulenti gregis: *opulentus* of a herd of animals is remarkable; Seneca elsewhere uses it of fabulously rich places (the garden of the Hesperides, *HF* 239, the Pactolus, *Pho.* 604) or of the possessions of kings and other magnates (*HF* 332, *Tro.* 1021, *Pho.* 54, *Oed.* 691). It seems appropriate that the symbol of Tantalid rule should suggest lavish wealth; see also on 344-47, 645-47.

227-29 huius . . . gerunt: "a fleece of gold hangs down all over its body, and new kings in succession to Tantalus bear scepters adorned with gold from its back."

[*Effuso* is the reading of E, *infuso* of A; *infuso* would imply that the fleece was smeared with gold, as hair might be with nard, cf. Lucan 1.166, while *effuso* describes a secretion of the ram itself, cf. *Tro.* 410 *effuso . . . fletu*, *Oed.* 624 *sanguine effuso*.]

228 e tergo: lit., "from the covering of its back"; *tergum*, usually applied to ox-hide, is here a virtual synonym for *coma*. Ovid has *tergum* of the original Golden Fleece, cf. *Am.* 1.15.22, *Her.* 6.104.

231-33 tuta . . . tegens: these lines are wrought to a high level of verbal artistry—another instance of Atreus' linguistic virtuosity. Nouns and adjectives are elegantly intertwined: *tuta seposita . . . in parte . . . prata* (= abBA), *fatale saxeo pascuum muro* (= abAB). The sound-patterns are equally intricate: 232 plays delicate variations on *p, a, r, t*

(*parte carpit prata*), while 232 and 233 both set repeated *a*'s at the start of the line against darker vowels in the fifth foot (*cludit, muro*). The diction is mannered: *lapis* as a collective singular is quite rare (cf. *Pha.* 1095 *ora durus pulchra populatur lapis*, *Ciris* 108 *saepe lapis recrepat Cyllenia murmura pulsus*—perhaps with neoteric precedent?), and in 233 *saxeo* is to be scanned as a disyllable by synizesis (i.e., *eo* form a single syllable), an artificial license adopted by Latin poets in imitation of Greek practice, cf., e.g., Verg. *Aen.* 6.412, 7.33 *alveo*, and Norden on *Aen.* 6.280. Atreus seems to let his imagination linger over the picture of the ram safe in its enclosure before passing on to its removal by Thyestes (234).

233 *fatale*: "fateful," since it determines the *fata* of the kingdom of Argos, cf. Ovid *Met.* 8.85–86 *fatali nata parentem / crine suum spoliat*, Sen. *Ag.* 730–31 *fatalis . . . pastor* (Paris).

234–35 *hunc . . . avehit*: another artfully arranged set of lines. The object and verb are at opposite ends of the sentence, enclosing three emotionally charged subordinate elements (*facinus ingens ausus, perfidus, assumpta . . . thalami*). The separation of *hunc* and *avehit* lets the audience "see" Thyestes devising his scheme before it is put into effect, while the position of *perfidus* between *nostri* and *thalami* mirrors his disruption of Atreus' marriage.

236 *hinc*: "from this cause."

cladis mutuae: "disaster inflicted by each of us upon the other" (the strict meaning of "mutual").

237 *per . . . mea*: deposed for a time, Atreus was an outcast in what he still regarded as his own kingdom.

238 *pars nulla . . . vacat*: the phrasing is Ovidian, cf. *Pont.* 4.15.6 *a meritis eius pars [sc. vitae] mihi nulla vacat*, but *vacat* also looks back to the prologue (see on 22 *complebo*).

239–40 *corrupta . . . sanguis est*: after three relatively straightforward and slow-paced lines (236–38), the pace now quickens and the sounds become harsher (*corrupta continx . . . quassa; domus . . . dubius; dubius sanguis est*) as Atreus heads into the climactic *sententia*.

240 *dubius sanguis*: Atreus here first touches on an important point, the suspicion that Agamemnon and Menelaus may really have been fathered by Thyestes, cf. 327–30, 1098–1102.

240–41 *certi . . . hostis*: for similar pointed expressions cf. *Epist.* 99.9 *rerum humanarum nihil cuiquam nisi mors certum est*, 88.45 *si Protagorae credo, nihil in rerum natura est nisi dubium, si Nausiphani, hoc unum certum est, nihil esse certi*.

certi: partitive gen. with *nihil*.

241 *quid stupes?*: despite the absence of *anime* or a similar vocative (as at, e.g., *Pha.* 719), these words must be addressed to Atreus himself (*profare* 244 marks the return to dialogue). Seneca's protagonists are concerned with their own feelings, not with those of their confidants, and the reference to Tantalus and Pelops has force only if Atreus is invoking his own ancestors.

242 *animos . . . sume*: probably double-edged: *tollere animos* or *sumere animum* means "lift up one's spirits/courage," cf. Verg. *G.* 2.350, Ovid *F.* 1.147, Sen. *Ben.* 3.36.3, *Epist.* 107.7, etc., but *animi* are often violent feelings (cf. Pl. *Truc.* 603 *nunc ego meos*

animos violentos meamque iram ex pectore iam promam, perhaps a parody of tragedy), and in Ovid *RA* 518 *sumere animos* means "to become angry." There may be a similar play on the two ideas in Ovid *Met.* 3.544–45 (Pentheus to the Thebans) *illius . . . animos, qui multos perdidit unus, / sumite animos*.

aspice: "consider," a rhetorical way of introducing a striking example, cf. Ovid *Am.* 1.13.43, *RA* 175–78, Sen. *Pha.* 575, *Ira* 1.2.2 *aspice nobilissimarum civitatum fundamenta vix notabilia; has ira detecit. aspice solitudines per multa milia sine habitatore desertas; has ira exhaustit*. Its use by Thyestes in 416 is not exactly parallel.

243 *ad . . . meae*: "my deeds must be in accordance with these models" (*haec* in emphatic position). For *manus meae* by metonymy for "the work of my hands" cf. 1096 below, *Med.* 977 *approba populis manum*.

ad haec . . . exempla: like *secundum haec exempla*, "in conformity with these models," cf. Cic. *De or.* 3.190 *ad legem*, Att. 4.18.2 *ad naturam*. Atreus looks to his ancestors as models of behavior, a traditional Roman attitude (cf., e.g., Verg. *Aen.* 12.439–40). In the house of Tantalus, however, family tradition inspires crime rather than virtue (similarly among the descendants of Oedipus, cf. *Pho.* 331, 479); the inversion is explicitly stated by Ovid's Procne (*Met.* 6.635–36): *cui sis nupta vide . . . marito; / degenerus! scelus est pietas in coniuge Teret*.

244 *profare . . . via*: in the previous lines (236–43) Atreus' thoughts moved gradually inward, from measured exposition to excited self-address; now he turns again to the attendant, marking the change with a shift back to high poetic style.

profare: the word is virtually confined to epic and tragedy, cf. Pac. 145 R² *piget paternum nomen profari* (the sort of phrase parodied in Hor. *S.* 1.6.57 *namque pudor prohibebat plura profari*), *HF* 1176, *Pha.* 358.

dirum . . . caput: see on 188.

qua . . . via: the expression (for which cf., e.g., Ter. *Hec.* 73, 569) would probably have sounded old-fashioned in Seneca's time; it occurs only here in his writing (*Oed.* 949 *quaeratur via, qua . . .* is not quite the same).

mactem: *mactare* is a technical term for killing a sacrificial victim (cf., e.g., Verg. *G.* 3.489), and its ritual overtones often remain present when it is used to mean "murder" or "destroy," cf. Cic. *Pis.* 16 in *Catilinae busto vobis ducibus mactatus essem*, Sen. *Ag.* 219 (n), 713–14 below.

245 *Ferro . . . expuat*: the answer is couched in the same formal diction as the question (perhaps a way of depicting the attendant's wish to conform with Atreus' plans): *peremptus* is more elevated than, for example, *caesus* or *occisus*, and the closest parallels for *spiritum expuere* (also in *Pho.* 44) are in Terence (*Eun.* 406) and Lucretius (2.1041), both times in the milder form *aliquid ex animo expuere*.

246 *De . . . volo*: Atreus rejects the attendant's suggestion as much by the form of his reply as by its content: he deflates the high rhetoric of the previous exchange by answering in the plainest language possible. Atreus' insistence on a drawn-out punishment reappears at 907 *miserum videre nolo, sed dum fit miser*. (Hamlet shows something of the Atrean spirit when he declines to kill Claudius while he is praying, on the grounds that "this is hire and salary, not revenge" [3.3.79].)

247–48 in . . . *impetratur*: that is, for Atreus death is not a punishment but a favor to be begged for. Seneca's other *tyranni* expound the same view, cf. *HF* 511–12, *Ag.* 995 *rudis est tyrannus mortem qui poenam exigit* (n). Roman listeners might have been

reminded of Tiberius or Gaius, cf. Suet. *Tib.* 61.5 *mori volentibus vis adhibita vivendi nam mortem . . . leve supplicium putabat*, Sen. *NQ* 4A pr. 17 *sciebam olim sub illo [sc. Gaiō] in eum statum res humanas decidisse ut inter misericordiae opera haberetur occidi*.

248 *Nulla . . . pietas*: *nulla* is an adj. modifying *pietas*, but with some adverbial force ("does *pietas* not move you at all?"), cf. 396 *nullis nota Quiritibus*, Ovid *Met.* 4.529 *nullo tardata timore*.

249–50 *Excede . . . fuisti*: Atreus has no personal struggle with *pietas* and is simply confirming its absence by a formal announcement; in contrast, the similar wish of Medea, *fas omne cedat, abeat expulsus pudor* (900) arises from a painfully divided spirit (cf. 943–44 *ira pietatem fugat / iramque pietas*).

249 *si modo*: "if in fact," an expression usually found in prose, cf. *OLD* s.v. *modo*, #3a.

250–54 *dira . . . monstro*: in calling on hellish spirits of vengeance to augment the violence of his own heart, Atreus resembles the Juno of *HF* (86–112). Atreus' case is more complex, however, since his appeal inevitably recalls the first scene, where it has been, so to speak, fulfilled in advance; note in particular 253–54 *impleri iuvat / . . . monstro* and 53 *imple Tautalo . . . domum*, 252–54 *non . . . monstro* and 85–86 *concute . . . tumultu*, and see above, p. 85. Other visions of the Furies in Seneca (*Med.* 958–66, *Ag.* 759–64) are clearly projections of the characters' own hopes or fears. (Both Atreus and Juno have influenced Shakespeare's Lady Macbeth, cf. 1.5.38–41 "come, you spirits / that tend on mortal [i.e., murderous] thoughts, unsex me here / and fill me from the crown to the toe top-full / of direst cruelty" etc.)

252–53 *non satis magno . . . furore*: the first appearance of a central element in Atreus' thinking, the constantly thwarted drive toward a truly sufficient revenge, cf. 256, 267–68, 273–75, 279–80, 889–90, 1056–68. Here the idea is connected with the "fullness" motif (cf. 12 *plenum recenti pabulum monstro*). Atreus' inability to find lasting satisfaction marks him as a true descendant of Tantalus.

254 *rabidus*: "maddened," normally an abusive epithet (cf. *HF* 397), is here offered and apparently accepted as a simple statement of fact. Atreus is again fulfilling the Fury's wish: *rabies parentum duret* (28).

struis: *struere* is often used of devising a plot or deceit, cf. *OLD* s.v., #6a, Ovid *Met.* 1.198 *struxerit insidias notus feritate Lycaon*. An earlier Latin tragedian (perhaps Accius) applied it to the revenge of Procne and Philomela, *struunt sorores Atticae dirum nefas (inc. inc. fab. 240 R²)*.

255 *Nil . . . modum*: "nothing that accepts the normal limit of suffering"; that is, Atreus' revenge must exceed all customary limits. Medea similarly exhorts herself *quaere poenarum genus / haud usitatum* (898–99). The fascination with what lies beyond the norm is a trait these characters share with their creator (see also on 272–77 below).

doloris . . . assueti modum: an example of "transferred epithet" or hypallage, since *assuetus* seems logically to be as closely connected to *modus* as to *dolor*. It is often helpful to see such expressions as the product of condensation rather than mere transference, so that *doloris assueti modus* implies *doloris assueti modus assuetus*; see Bell, 315–29.

capiat . . . modum: "that accepts/puts up with a limit," cf. 496 *vix dolor frenos capit*, ps-Ovid *Nux* 4 *lentam non capit ira moram*, *OLD* s.v. *capio*, #28. [Madvig conjectured *modus* for *modum*, which would alter the sense to "nothing that the accustomed limit of

pain could encompass." This is quite acceptable, and could be paralleled by passages like Ovid *Met.* 6.609–10 *tram / non capit ipsa suam*, Sen. *Ag.* 489 *non capit sese mare* (n), etc., but it does not seem decisively superior to the transmitted reading, and I have therefore not adopted it.]

256 *nullum relinquam facinus*: "I shall overlook no crime." Atreus may be mischievously playing on the positive overtones *relinquere* in this sense usually carries, cf. Verg. *Aen.* 6.509 *nilil o, tibi, amice, relictum*, Sen. *Cons. Pol.* 16.3 *sic . . . adfectum meum rexi ut nec relinquerem quidquam quod exigi deberet a bono fratre*.

257 The division of a trimeter into four short speeches (cf. also *Med.* 171) has only one precedent in surviving Greek tragedy (*Soph. Phil.* 753), but is not rare in the more loosely structured dialogue of New Comedy (cf. Men. *Dysc.* 85, *Samia* 409, etc.). Seneca uses this division to quicken the pace of a dialogue when the emotions of the protagonist reach a high point of intensity. Here the accelerated tempo leads into the heart of the scene, Atreus' plan to serve Thyestes the bodies of his children (first hinted at in 259 *ipso Thyeste*).

Ferrum . . . ignis: this combination derives from the use of surgery and cauterization in treating wounds, but—like "fire and sword" in English—is often a metaphor for extreme measures of any kind, cf. Prop. 1.1.27 *fortiter et ferrum saevos patiemur et ignes*, Sen. *Ag.* 152 (n), Otto s.v. *ignis*, #1. Here *ferrum* and *ignis* stand for the "normal" means of violent action, scorned by Atreus as inadequate. Atreus (and Seneca) may be "outdoing" Ovid's Procne, who rejected only *ferrum* (*Met.* 6.612–14): "non est lacrimis hoc" inquit "agendum, / sed ferro, sed si quid habes quod vincere ferrum / possit."

259 *Ipo Thyeste*: the audience knows the full significance of these words, but for Atreus they mean only that Thyestes will in some way be the agent of his own punishment. Juno in *HF* reaches a similar conclusion (84–85): *quaeris Alcidae parem? / nemo est nisi ipse; bella iam secum gerat*. (Compare *Antony and Cleopatra* 4.15.16–17 "so it should be, that none but Antony / should conquer Antony.")

Maius . . . malum: "this is an evil greater than the wrath that provokes it" (*ira* is abl. of comparison); less a protest than a stunned response to the enormity of the act being devised.

260 *Fateor*: not a sign of regret or guilt (as, for example, at *Tro.* 266), but a near equivalent of "yes," spoken with grim satisfaction. The single word is typical of the broken rhythm of this section, in which short, unsubordinated phrases suggest Atreus' mounting excitement.

attonitus: "frenzied"; for *attonitus* in Seneca see Fantham on *Tro.* 442, and cf. especially *HF* 1219–20 *nondum tumultu pectus attonito carens / mutavit tras*.

261 *penitusque volvit*: "and it agitates my heart deep within me." For *penitus* cf. *Oed.* 516–17 *terra se retro dedit / genuitque penitus*. This use of *volvere* is quite unusual; the idea of being set in motion is developed in *rapior*.

261 *rapior*: *rapere* is frequently used of divine possession, cf. Hor. *C.* 3.25.1 *quo me, Bacche, rapis . . . ?*, Sen. *Ag.* 720–22 *quid me . . . sacra Parnasi iuga, / rapitis?* (n); perhaps Atreus is being "inspired" by the family spirit of evil. His vagueness about the force that is possessing him is appropriate, since it ultimately proceeds from within him (compare Ovid's Althaea, *Met.* 8.481 *quo rapior?*).

quo nescio: adverbial, "I know not where," usually seen in the form *nescio quo* (or better *nescioquo*).

262–65 These unnatural signs might be thought to exist only in Atreus' mind, but such a psychological reading is not clearly correct. In Senecan drama a dislocation of the moral order can set off correspondingly violent reactions in the physical world, and in no other play does evil make its presence seen and felt as pervasively as in *Thyestes*: cf. 103–121, 700–702, 789–826, 990–95, and, most interestingly, 668–79 (see note *ad loc.*). (The motif is not uniquely Senecan, although his use of it is distinctive. Belief in portents was widespread even among sophisticated Greeks and Romans, and some of the signs in this passage resemble those traditionally observed before the deaths of rulers, cf. on 263, 264–65.)

262 imo . . . solum: the earth is often said to groan at the emergence of figures from the underworld (i.e., at a violation of the usual boundaries of the upper and lower worlds), cf. *Tro.* 171–72, *Oed.* 173, 576–77, Verg. *Aen.* 4.490 with Pease's note. The sound of these words suits their meaning, with repeated *m*'s and prominent dark vowels.

263 tonat dies serenus: thunder in a clear sky was conventionally treated as an ominous portent, cf. Cic. *Div.* 1.18, Verg. *G.* 1.487–88 (of the omens preceding the death of Julius Caesar), Hor. *C.* 1.34.5–16.

263–64 totis . . . crepuit: lit., "the palace, as if shattered (*ut fracta*), has given a crash in all its buildings." The change from present (*mugit, tonat*) to perfect (*crepuit, vertere*) accelerates the pace: the latter portents happen so quickly that Atreus can only describe them after the fact (see on *stetit*, 110 above). The palace reacts similarly to the touch of Tantalus, cf. 103–104.

264–65 moti . . . vultum: compare Lucan's account of Rome on the eve of civil war (1.556–57): *Indigetis flevisse deos Vrbsque laborem / testatus sudore Lares*, also the similar phenomena preceding the assassination of Caesar, cf. Verg. *G.* 1.480, Ovid *Met.* 15.792. Statues were usually thought to show horror by tears or sweat (cf. 702 below and Cic. *Div.* 1.98); the averted gaze of the *Lares* here prefigures the reaction of the Sun to Atreus' banquet, cf. 791 *quo vertis iter*.

264 moti: "disturbed" (but perhaps with a hint of physical motion as well).

Lares: Seneca often (14 times) uses *lar* as an equivalent for *domus* (sometimes more specifically of a house as a place of refuge, cf. *Med.* 224, *Oed.* 258), but this is one of the few passages that clearly refers to the *Lares* as household gods (cf. also *HF* 917, *Pho.* 344, *Ag.* 392a); another touch of explicitly Roman coloring in the account of the Tantalids.

265 hoc . . . nefas: *hoc* is emphatic, "let *this* crime be done which you fear, o gods." For the excited repetition of *fiat* compare *Med.* 423–24 *faciet hic faciet dies / quod nullus umquam taceat*.

266 tandem: here expressing impatient curiosity (almost like "what *are* you planning?"), cf. *OLD* s.v., #1b.

267–86 The speech in which Atreus defines the punishment he will inflict on his brother is among Seneca's most remarkable depictions of a mind in the grip of *ira*. Atreus' commitment to revenge is never in doubt, but his attitude to the specific act that reveals itself to him is constantly shifting. Within the space of a few lines he greets it with eager delight (270–72 *ita sit . . . mensas*), rejects it as "already done" (*occupatum* 274), and finally embraces it with renewed enthusiasm (279–80, but see on *tantisper*). At one moment he is gloating in anticipation of Thyestes' agony (281–83 *patris*), at the next he is shuddering at the product of his own imagination (283–84). These mercurial changes of mood are clear symptoms of *ira*, a passion that, Seneca says elsewhere, has no solid or permanent basis but is volatile and insubstantial (*Ira* 1.20.2 *non ex firmo mansuroque oritur, sed ventosa et inanis est*).

267–69 Nescioquid . . . manibus: "my mind swells with something greater, larger than normal, and beyond the bounds of human custom, and (it) presses insistently upon my sluggish hands." Atreus describes his indistinct thought in three phrases of increasing size—*maius, solito amplius, supra . . . fines moris humani*—a *tricolon abundans* corresponding verbally to the "swelling" of the plan within him.

268 supra . . . humani: Atreus' ambition to exceed human limits is even clearer after the banquet, cf. 885 *aequalis astris gradior*. Such *folie de grandeur* is, for Seneca, another typical manifestation of *ira*, cf. *Ira* 1.20.2 *omnes quos vecors animus supra cogitationes extollit humanas altum quiddam et subtime spirare se credunt; ceterum nil solidi subest* etc.

tumet: Atreus practically diagnoses himself as an *iratus* in Stoic terms by speaking of his swollen *animus*, cf. 519–20, *Pho.* 352 *tumet animus ira*, Cic. *Tusc.* 3.19 *sapientis . . . animus semper vacat vitiiis, numquam turgescit, numquam tumet; at irati animus eiusmodi est*. See on 361–62 below.

269 pigris manibus: Atreus' hands appear inactive to him because they are slow to execute the plan he has begun to conceive; compare the Fury's impatient question *dextra cur patruum vacat?* (57).

269–70 haud . . . est: here, as in this whole passage, Seneca is clearly thinking of Ovid's Procne, cf. *Met.* 6.618–19 *magnum quodcumque paravi; / quid sit adhuc dubito*. (Atreus' phrases are in the opposite order, placing greater stress on the positive claim *grande quiddam est*.) Atreus' words in their turn almost certainly lie behind the outburst of Lear (2.4.77–81): "No, you unnatural hags, / I will have such revenges on you both, / that all the world shall—I shall do such things— / what they are yet I know not, but they shall be / the terrors of the earth." Shakespeare turns Atreus' hyperbole to a new dramatic purpose by making it expose the powerlessness of Lear's fury.

The wish to perform some unspecified great deed is a traditional mark of a heroic spirit, cf. *Il.* 22.304–305 (Hector), Verg. *Aen.* 9.186–87 (Nisus) *aut pugnam aut aliquid iam dudum invadere magnum / mens agitat mihi*. It was probably applied to a revenge-plot in Ovid's lost *Medea*, to judge from the imitation (as I regard it) in *Her.* 12.212 *nescioquid certe mens mea maius agit*.

270 ita sit: a first sign of recognition.

occupa: here not so much "anticipate" (as in 204, 274) as "take possession of," cf. Sen. *Ag.* 567–68 *arcem occupat / Palamedis . . . genitor*.

271 dignum: Seneca's characters have an acute, if twisted, sense of their *dignitas*, and insist on committing only those crimes appropriate to it, cf. *HF* 111–12 *facere si quidquam apparo / dignum noverca, Med.* 50 *maiora iam me scelera post partus decent*, *Ag.* 124 (n). Atreus pays Thyestes the inverted compliment of treating him as an equal in this respect.

272 uterque faciat: Atreus' fear that Thyestes may be plotting against him finds an outlet in wit: the crime he is considering is one that both of them can—and must—commit, since Thyestes himself will play an essential part in it. [The point is blunted if one reads with *E quod uterque faciat*. *E* at times inserts syntactical glosses into the text, and *quod* might be one of these, cf. *Ag.* 458 *id E iam A*, 970 *dixi E iustae A*, perhaps *Med.* 991 *et invitam E invitam A*, my edition of *Agamemnon*, pp. 61–62.]

272–77 Like the Fury (56–57 *Thractum fiat nefas / maiore numero*), Atreus sees the legend of Procne, Tereus, and Itys as a precedent for his own situation. Atreus' feelings toward the earlier story are divided: it is both a source of inspiration (275 *animum Daulis*

inspira parens) and a threat to his originality (273–74 *immune est scelus, / sed occupatum*). In the end Atreus overcomes his reluctance to follow an established model, apparently because he sees a way to improve on his exemplar (see note on 277–78).

It is not unusual for mythical characters in ancient literature to be aware of stories other than their own: Ovid's Byblis can cite *exempla* to justify her passion for her brother (*Met.* 9.507–508), and Vergil's Dido, in her lurid fantasies of killing Ascanius, draws on what seem like half-conscious memories of Medea and Procne (*Aen.* 4.600–602). Atreus, though, goes further: in his overt awareness of the Procne-Tereus story, and particularly in his desire to surpass it, he resembles Seneca himself in his relationship to Ovid. The challenge and anxiety of *imitatio* are shared by author and character.

273 *Odrysia*: = "Thracian," first found in Ovid (of Tereus, *Met.* 6.490).

fatior: concessive and impatient ("yes, of course"), cf. *Med.* 936.

274 *hoc*: abl. of comparison with *maius*.

275–76 *Daulis . . . parens / sororque*: the "Daulian parent" is Procne, mother of Itys, and her sister is Philomela. In some versions of the story Tereus rules at Daulis in Phocis rather than in Thrace, cf. *Thuc.* 2.29.3, perhaps Catullus 65.14, *Ciris* 199–200. For several Latin poets after Ovid, however, *Daulis* or *Daulias* is simply a *recherché* epithet that can be freely conflated with the usual Thracian setting, cf. *Cons. Liv.* 106 *deflet Threicium Daulias ales Ityn*, ps-Ovid *Epist. Sapph.* 154, *HO* 192–93. The ostentatious adjective is in keeping with the artifice of invoking the absent Procne. [The vocative in *-is*, common in early Latin, is used instead of *-i*, the standard Augustan form, for metrical convenience; cf. Housman on *Luc.* 8.251.]

276 *causa*: "my justification"; Atreus claims to be avenging Thyestes' seduction of his wife, and so can compare himself to Procne, who avenged her sister's rape by Tereus.

assiste: "stand by my side," as a supporter (conceivably as a supervisor?, cf. Quint. 1.2.12 *neque enim scribenti ediscenti cogitanti praeceptor assistit*).

277–78 *avidus . . . gaudensque*: the adjectives are emphatic, since it is essential to Atreus' ideal revenge that Thyestes should not only eat his children, but do so greedily and with enjoyment. This hope is in large part fulfilled (909–913), though not to Atreus' complete satisfaction (1067–68).

279 *bene est, abunde est*: Atreus' momentary exuberance leads him to use an unpoetic, perhaps colloquial expression, which recurs in his first moments of triumph, 889 (note also *actum est abunde* 105); this is a variant of the fullness/satiety motif, cf. on 12 above, also p. 46.

modus: here "form" or "means," not "limit."

280 *tantisper*: "for the moment," "in the meanwhile" (rare in poetry after Plautus). Atreus qualifies his pleasure almost before he has expressed it, as again in 889–90 *iam sat est etiam mihi. / sed cur satis sit?* [Miller's Loeb text punctuates *modus. / Tantisper ubinam est?*, and the line was read this way by Nicholas Trevet in the fourteenth century, but the use of *tantisper* in a question is unexampled, and ending a sentence with a point early in the line is very much in Seneca's manner, cf. on 23, 204 above.]

280–81 *tam . . . Atreus?*: close in tone to the Fury's words at 57 *dextra cur patrii vacat?*, but with greater force generated by Atreus' sense of his own character—an "innocent Atreus" is for him almost a contradiction in terms.

281–82 *tota . . . errat*: perhaps based on Ovid's description of Procne (*Met.* 6.586)

poenae . . . in imagine tota est, but more vividly expressed. The unusual metaphor in *errat* is significantly repeated in two lines of Thyestes, 473 *errat hic aliquis dolus* and 965–66 *vagus intra / terror oberrat*. Atreus' revenge "wanders" elusively until it lodges itself, literally and figuratively, inside Thyestes. (Atreus' vision faintly resembles Macbeth's "Is this a dagger which I see before me . . . ?" 2.1.33–49.)

282–83 *ingesta . . . patris*: a shocking phrase, which makes even Atreus recoil, if only for a moment. Two senses of *ingero* and *os* are at work: the words can mean "the father's childlessness (*orbitas* = the bodies of his children, whose death makes him *orbis*) thrust in his face," cf. *Med.* 132 *funus ingestum patri* (with Costa's note), but another meaning, "thrust into his mouth," also seems to be intended. Seneca again plays on these meanings of *ingero* in *NQ* 1.16.3, describing a notorious libertine who surrounded his bedroom with mirrors ut . . . *quae secreta quoque conscientiam premunt . . . non in os tantum sed in oculos suos ingereret*.

283–84 *anime . . . subsidis?*: impassioned characters in Seneca often find that their emotions need periodic reinforcement, and are apt to fail before the crucial moment, cf. *Pha.* 592–99, *Med.* 895, 927–28, 988–89, *Ag.* 228–29. These sudden losses of nerve illustrate the principle *affectus cito cadit, aequalis est ratio* (*Ira* 1.17.4).

284 *ante rem*: "before the event," i.e., as distinct from merely thinking or speaking about it.

285 *quod . . . nefas*: a lead-in phrase, with *praecipuus* in the role played elsewhere by *maximus* (see note on 205).

286 *ipse faciet*: Atreus has now fully realized the implications of *ipso Thyeste* in 259.

286–88: The attendant yields still more ground. From this point onward he questions only the means by which Thyestes can be lured into Atreus' trap.

287 *dabit . . . in laqueos pedem*: "place his foot in the snare," not a common idiom, for which the closest parallel I have found is Tib. 1.4.16 *sub iuga colla dabit*. (On periphrastic expressions with *dare* see 1057 below.) Atreus elaborates the hunting metaphor at his next appearance (491, 497–503).

288–89 *Non . . . vellet*: Atreus' image of Thyestes is distorted and self-serving, but not without foundation, cf. especially 920–46.

288 *poterat*: the imperfect indicative is often used in poetry instead of the subjunctive in contrary-to-fact conditional sentences, AG 517c.

290–93 Atreus lists three conventional instances of extreme danger, then caps them with a novel climax (*fratrem videbit*). The technique is the same as in Oedipus' words at *Pho.* 313–19 *hic Oedipus Aegaea transnabit freta / iubente te . . . iubente te praebebit alitibus iecur, / iubente te vel vivet* (the repeated *iubente te* corresponds to *hac spe* here); see also on 16–18 above, *Med.* 19–20 with Costa's note. It is characteristic of such twists that the pointed conclusion is verbally plainer than the phrases which precede it. Atreus exploits the device to the full, spinning out the introductory phrases with a verve that suggests conscious enjoyment. Later in the play (476–82) Thyestes reacts to the idea *amat Thyesten frater* in equally exaggerated terms, but with no trace of wit. [I have adopted the order of lines in some late MSS, which departs from the traditional order in placing the reference to Jupiter (290) after that to the sea and the Syrtes (291–92). This gives a smoother progression of thought—Jupiter's thunderbolt is more frightening than dangers of navigation—and also a better alternation of simple and elaborate clauses.]

291 *subibit . . . minas*: the terrors of the sea also figure among the threats that cannot

intimidate the true king (360–62).

tumidi: swollen by storm-winds, cf. *Ag.* 469 *agitata ventis unda venturis tumet* (n).

292 dubium . . . fretum: the Syrtes were a pair of large sandbanks off the northern coast of Africa; the hazard they posed to navigation made the area a byword for a dangerous stretch of water, cf. Pease on *Verg. Aen.* 4.41, Nisbet-Hubbard on *Hor. C.* 1.22.5. Readiness to face the perils of the sea and the Syrtes is a typical sign of steadfastness, cf. *Ovid Am.* 2.16.21–22 *cum domina Libycas ausim perrumpere Syrtes / et dare non aequis vela ferenda Notis*.

dubium: “unpredictable,” “unreliable,” cf. *Ovid Am.* 1.9.29 *Mars dubius, nec certa Venus, Pont.* 4.10.10 (*Ulixes*) *iactatus dubio per duo lustra mari*.

290 minanti fulmen occurret Iovi: “he will confront Jupiter as he threatens his thunderbolt” (*fulmen* obj. of *minanti*). This picture of foolhardy daring should be set against the ideal of the true king in the following chorus: *quem non concutiet cadens / obliqui via fulminis* (358–59), *qui . . . occurrit . . . suo libens / fato* (365–67).

293 quod . . . malum: the delaying-clause is very similar to that in 176–77; see also on 205.

294 fidem pacis: “a guarantee of peace,” cf. 327 *prolis incertae fides*.

dabit: i.e., to Thyestes.

295 cui tanta credet?: “whose word will he take on such a matter?” The idiom seems to be a conflation of *credere alicui*, “to trust someone,” and *credere aliquid*, “to believe something”; it appears several times in Plautus, and may be colloquial, cf. *Pl. Aul.* 306 *haec mihi te, ut tibi med, aequom est, credo, credere*, *Ovid Met.* 1.753–54 *matri . . . omnia . . . / credis* (“you believe everything your mother tells you”), *TLL* 4.1144.41–80.

Credula est spes improba: Thyestes unwittingly echoes Atreus’ language at 962–63 *credula praesta / pectora fratri*.

Credula: “too ready to believe,” “gullible,” the word’s normal meaning in Seneca (cf., e.g., *Pha.* 634 *o spes amantum credula*); at 962 (just quoted) Thyestes uses it to mean simply “trusting,” but the context ironically supplies the more common sense.

296 tamen: i.e., even though Atreus claims that Thyestes’ hopes of power will make him eager to believe whatever favors them, he will nevertheless entrust the offer to the most persuasive messengers, his sons Agamemnon and Menelaus.

297–99 relictis . . . dominus: the *ut*-clause contains the substance of the *mandata* and is syntactically dependent on the idea of ordering in the word (as if Atreus had said *mandabimus ut . . .*).

298 regno ut miserias mutet: “that he exchange his misfortunes for a kingdom” (*regno* abl.), cf. *Hor. C.* 1.16.25–26 *nunc ego mitibus / mutare quaero tristia*. Atreus’ words are double-edged, since by accepting his offer Thyestes falls into even greater *miseriae*, cf. 896–97 *discutiam tibi / tenebras, miseriae sub quibus latitant tuae*.

299 ex parte dominus: “as a part-master”; the wording may have a legal flavor (as “part-owner” would in English), cf. *Tac. Ann.* 2.48 *quamquam ipse heres in parte legeretur*.

300 [liberos eius rudes: *eius* is generally avoided in high poetry, and this is its only transmitted occurrence in the tragedies. Heinsius suggested replacing it with *aevi*

(defining gen. with *rudes*, as in *integer aevi*), but the case for emendation is not conclusive. A usage can be unique without becoming suspect—*eius* appears only once in Ovid’s *Metamorphoses* (8.16), where it looks perfectly sound—and in this context, where Atreus has just spoken of his own children, the defining force of *eius* serves a useful function. Also, Heinsius’ *aevi rudes*, although elegant, would itself be a rare construction; the closest parallel I have found is *Val. Fl.* 1.771 *aevi rudis altera proles*.]

rudes: “inexperienced” or “unskilled,” cf. *Med.* 915 *ad omne facinus non rudem dextram afferes*.

302 praecommovebunt: “they (i.e., the *preces* of 299) will move Thyestes’ children first,” that is, Thyestes’ children will be easier to sway than their father, and their influence will in turn help to overcome his resistance—as in fact it does, cf. 429–90. [This is the reading of A; E gives *prece commovebo*, which will not scan. Several conjectures have been based on E’s text, among them *prece commovebunt* (L. Müller) and *preces movebunt* (*recc.*), but they all require a rhetorically pointless repetition of *preces* in some form. There is admittedly no other recorded instance of *praecommove*, but this in itself may speak in its favor, since an interpolator is less likely to have shown such bold invention. Seneca was not reluctant to coin words, among them *praedomare* (*Epist.* 113.27), and Ovid had formed several new compounds with *prae-*, e.g., *F.* 6.634 *praecompositus*, *Met.* 7.489 *praecomsumere*, *Met.* 11.731 *praedclassare*.]

regni furor: “the insane desire for rule” (*regni* obj. gen.), cf. *Pha.* 540 *impius lucri furor*. Atreus’ description of this desire as “long-standing” (*vetus*) is meant to apply only to Thyestes, but perhaps carries a wider relevance, cf. 339 *quis vos exagitat furor?*

303–304 egestas . . . durus labor . . . subigent virum: the verb and its object are held off until the end, mirroring the gradual failure of Thyestes’ defenses; see on 234–35 for similarly artful word-order. Atreus may be slyly echoing a well-known passage of the *Georgics* (1.145–46), *labor omnia vicit / improbus et duris urgens in rebus egestas* (note also *subigere* in the sense “subdue,” “bring to submission,” at 1.125 *ante Iovem nulli subigebant arva coloni*). [Cf. *Tro.* 907, where Helen speaks of herself as *graviora passa* (i.e., than the Trojans), taking over Aeneas’ address to his Trojan followers, *o passi graviora*, in *Aen.* 1.199.]

304 quamvis rigentem . . . malis: “even though he has been hardened by so many misfortunes”; compare Andromache in *Tro.* 417–18 *quodcumque accidit / torpens malis rigensque sine sensu fero*. Ovid took the metaphor literally in his account of Niobe: *deriguit . . . malis* (*Met.* 6.303). See also on 634 below.

virum: see on 199.

305 Iam: “by now.”

tempus . . . leves: “time heals all wounds” was as much a commonplace in ancient as in modern thinking, cf. *Sen. Epist.* 63.12 *scio pertritum iam hoc esse . . . finem dolendi etiam qui consilio non fecerat tempore invenit*, Otto s.v. *dies*, #6. It is characteristic of the conventionally-minded attendant to offer such a saw as an argument, and of Atreus summarily to reject it.

aerumnas: Seneca is fond of this word, which probably sounded august and somewhat archaic to his contemporaries (cf. *Ag.* 305 [n]), but in *Thyestes* it appears only here and in 426–27, where Thyestes unconsciously echoes the attendant’s argument: *aerumnas fugis / bene collocatas?* (and note *iam* meaning “by this time” in 427).

306 malorum sensus: “the awareness of misfortunes,” cf. *OLD* s.v. *sensus*, #5b.

die: "with the passage of time," cf. *OLD* s.v., #10. [Menedemus in Terence's *HIT* questions the truth of the saying in similar language, but his bemused tone is far removed from Atreus' dogmatic certainty: *aut ego profecto ingenio egregio ad miserias / natus sum, aut illud falsum est quod vulgo audio / dici, diem adimere aegritudinem hominibus; / nam mihi quidem cotidie augetur magis / de filio aegritudo* etc. (420–24).]

307 *leve* . . . *grave*: "it is easy to endure suffering [i.e., for a short time], hard to keep on enduring it." Atreus is not content to disagree with the attendant, but presents his own view as a universal truth. This line was parodied by Martial in a delightful poem about a schoolmaster whose early morning lectures kept him from sleeping (9.68.10): *nam vigilare leve est, pervigilare grave est*.

308 The attendant backs off again, and merely questions Atreus' proposal to use his own sons as intermediaries.

tristis: "grim" or "savage," cf. Livy 25.6.2 *etsi non iniquum, certe triste senatus consultum*.

309 *Peiora* . . . *praecepta*: Latin uses *melior* and *peior* where English would prefer "good" and "evil," cf. Ovid *Met.* 7.20–21 *video meliora proboque / deteriora sequor*; here *peiora praecepta* are therefore "wicked instructions." Atreus is not arguing that children are naturally inclined to evil, but that their inexperience makes them readier to obey immoral guidance.

310 *In patre* . . . *in patruo*: "in the case of their father/uncle"; see on 220 above.

311 *saepe* . . . *sua*: the attendant briefly emulates Atreus' assertiveness with a generalization of his own. The thought is, as might be expected, a commonplace, cf., e.g., Ovid *Am.* 1.4.45–46 *multa miser timeo, quia feci multa proterve, / exemplique metu torqueor ipse mei*, Smith on Tib. 1.6.10.

redierunt: "gnomic" perfect, cf. Verg. *G.* 1.84 *saepe etiam sterilis incendere profuit agros*, AG 475.

312–16 Atreus commandingly "tops" the attendant's rather pallid *gnome* with three brilliant *sententiae*. These are not logically connected—in fact, the first and second point in different directions—and never directly address the attendant's objection. Atreus carries his point by sheer verbal intimidation.

312 *Ut*: concessive, "even if," cf. AG 527, *OLD* s.v., #35.

313 *regnum*: almost = "power." The statement gains in force from being made by a ruler; Lucan similarly places the advice *exeat aula, / qui vult esse pius* (8.493–94) in the mouth of the courtier Pothinus. The corrupting effect of rule is a leading theme of *Phoenissae*, cf. 582–84 *tam ferus durum geris / saevumque in iras pectus? et nondum imperas! / quid sceptrā facient?*

313–14 *ne* . . . *nascuntur*: "are you afraid they may become wicked? They are born (that way)"; the unstated assumption is that any child of Atreus must be evil. Moral evaluations of the "like father, like son" type are usually directed at others (e.g., at Agamemnon and Menelaus in Cic. *Tusc.* 4.77 *ut facile appareat Atrēi filios esse*), but Seneca's characters are apt to recognize in themselves an inherited attraction to vice, cf. *Pha.* 113 *fatale miserae matris agnosco malum, Pha.* 334–38.

fiant . . . *nascuntur*: for this opposition cf. *Ira* 2.10.6 *scit neminem nasci sapientem, sed fieri, Epist.* 12.23.

314 *saevum asperum*: this kind of asyndeton can serve to raise the emotional temperature, cf. *Med.* 191 *monstrum . . . saevum horrible iamdudum avehe, Pha.* 1221 *exitia machinatus insolita efferā*. In Atreus' mouth, though, the effect is ironically deflating: the melodramatic language makes the attendant's scruples sound overdone.

316 *illie*: "on the other side" (i.e., perhaps the same crime is being planned by Thyestes with his own children).

316–35 The attendant makes no further attempt to restrain Atreus, confining himself to questions and an assurance of loyalty.

317 *Tacita* . . . *fides*: i.e., reliability that takes the form of keeping silent, discretion that can be counted on (almost a poetic inversion of *fidelis taciturnitas*), cf. *Oed.* 799 *praestare tacitam regibus soleo fidem*.

tam: to be taken with *rudibus* . . . *annis*, "in such tender years" (by metonymy for "in those so young").

319 *discitur*: impersonal passive (cf. 214 *re:natur*): "one learns to keep silent through bitter experience." Perhaps a distorted echo of the words of another ruler, Dido in *Aen.* 1.630 *non ignara mali miseris succurrere disco*. Atreus again clinches his point with a general assertion.

321 *Ut* . . . *vacent*: the purpose clause depends on an understood assent to the attendant's question ("Yes, I shall deceive them, so that . . ."). For the elliptical syntax, a feature of informal speech at home in lively or excited dialogue, cf., e.g., *Med.* 496 (Jason) *Medea amores obicit? (Medea) et caedem et dolos, Tro.* 663–64 (Ulysses) *funditus busta eruam. / (Andromache) quae vendidistis?*, 68–69 above, 1101 below.

321–33 Atreus' last extended speech displays the same rapid changes of direction as the one before it (267–86). He starts to argue for sparing his sons from involvement in his plan (321–23), but he quickly catches himself in what he regards as a dangerous concession and chooses instead to make their participation a test of their paternity (324–30); then at the last moment he doubts their skills in deception and decides to keep them in ignorance. The speech does not advance the action, but fills out the portrait of Atreus by showing him rooting out the last vestiges of respect for goodness.

321 *crimine et culpa vacent*: compare Medea's description of her children, *crimine et culpa carent* (935, in another scene where the claims of morality are overcome); here, though, *crimine et culpa* may be a hendiadys for *criminis culpa* ("so that they may be without blame for the crime").

323 *per nos*: i.e., Atreus and Thyestes.

odia . . . *explicent*: "let our enmities resolve themselves," cf. *Oed.* 832 *ipsa se fata explicent*.

324 *male agis*: in much the same way, Seneca's Ulysses brings himself up short when he is about to believe Andromache's story that Astyanax has died: *quid agis, Ulixē? Danaidae credent tibi, / tu cui? parenti?* (*Tro.* 607–608).

324–25 *si* . . . *illis*: since both sets of children are equally innocent, both must either be spared or sacrificed. Medea, too, faces this objection: *sunt innocentes; fateor, et frater fuit* (936).

pareis . . . *parces*: the balanced phrasing recalls 310 *in patre* . . . *in patruo*. Like the attendant, Atreus recognizes the need to act consistently, but the inference he draws is the reverse of the one urged on him.

325–27 consili . . . adsit: a solemn pronouncement, the only time in the play when Agamemnon and Menelaus are mentioned by name. Agamemnon is given greater prominence as the older brother (he is *maior Atrides* in Ovid, e.g., *Met.* 13.359, *Ars* 3.12).

326 sciens . . . sciens: a variant of the figure called *κύκλος*, where a phrase begins and ends with a form of the same word. Seneca sometimes uses it to heighten a *sententia* (cf., e.g., *Ag.* 527 *vehit ista Danaos classis? et Troas vehit*), but here the repetition adds to the formality of Atreus' decision, cf. *HF* 638–39 *differ amplexus, precor, / coniunxque differ*.

326–27 fratri sciens . . . adsit: “let Menelaus knowingly second his brother”; *adesse* + *dat.* often means to lend support to another by one's presence, particularly in a crisis or difficulty, cf. Ovid *Pont.* 2.3.45 *adfuit insano iuvenis Phoeceus Orestae*. [*fratri sciens* is Bentley's correction of the manuscript readings *patri sciens* (E) and *patri/patris cliens* (A). E's reading is not impossible, but since Atreus will not be present at this encounter, *fratri* fits *adsit* better than *patri*.]

327 prolis incertae fides: “a proof of my doubtful paternity” (lit. “offspring”). Atreus is not certain whether Agamemnon and Menelaus are really his children or Thyestes' (cf. 240); since he assumes that any child of his must be evil (313–14), he will take reluctance on their part as proof of bastardy.

329 gerere . . . odia: *odia gerere* can mean “to bear ill-will,” cf. Livy 28.22.3 *extra necessitates belli praecipuum in Romanos gerebant odium*, but here (and perhaps also at *HF* 362) it seems to carry a stronger sense, “to wage/conduct enmities,” on the analogy of the common *bellum gerere* (*bella* in 328 helps make the connection).

330 eatur: “let them be on their way” (lit., “let it be gone,” impersonal passive), cf. *Med.* 460, Ter. *HT* 743, Cic. *Att.* 13.42.3. The expression may belong to colloquial speech rather than high poetry (although Costa *ad loc.* thinks otherwise). It is perhaps too patently symmetrical that Thyestes should use just this word when he gives in to his son's arguments (488).

330–32 multa . . . prodrunt: Atreus justifies his change of plan with two *gnomai*, turning on himself the style of argument he had earlier used against the attendant.

330–31 multa . . . vultus: an often-expressed thought, cf. Ovid *Met.* 2.447 *heu quam difficile est crimen non prodere vultu*, Curt. 8.6.22 *vultus haud sane securi animi index*, Sen. *Ag.* 128 (n).

331 quoque: to be taken with *nolentem*: “momentous plans give one away even against one's will.” For the thought cf. Sen. *Contr.* 2.5.2 *sive isti aliquid excidit, sive magna consilia non bene vultus textit*.

332 quantae rei: obj. gen. with *ministri* (cf. *consili . . . minister* 325–26).

333 nostra . . . occule: Atreus' last words sound almost like an afterthought; one can imagine an actor delivering them as he exits, with hardly a glance in the attendant's direction. The harsh staccato sound of the *t*'s and *c*'s matches the baldness of the syntax. [E's *occulles* is adopted by many editors. The imperative, however, seems more idiomatic, and *tu* might have prompted the scribe of E or an ancestor to expect a finite verb. For *tu* adding emphasis to an imperative—“as for you” or “for your part”—cf. Verg. *Aen.* 3.388 *signa tibi dicam, tu condita mente teneto*, 4.50 *tu modo posce deos veniam*.]

334–35 ista . . . fides: the attendant effusively answers the plain *occulle* with the grandiloquent *in pectore . . . claudet* (cf. Sall. *Cat.* 10.4 *aliud clausum in pectore, aliud in lingua promptum habere*). This eagerness to please, along with the quite unbelievable claim that loyalty weighs more heavily with him than fear, rounds off a grimly humorous, but also pathetic, portrait of total submission.

CHORUS II

A meditation on kingship that is both an interlude in the surrounding action and an implicit commentary on it.

The Chorus registers the report of a settlement between Atreus and Thyestes (336–38), then reflects on the mad lust for power that drives them to mutual destruction (339–43). Against this misguided pursuit of dominion the Chorus sets its own definition of a king: free from fear and the other passions (344–48), as impervious to the attractions of glory and riches (350–57) as to the threat of violence (358–64), safe above all circumstances, meeting fate gladly and accepting death without complaint (365–68). Kings may band together from the far corners of the earth, but reason's rule is secure (369–80); it needs no arms to defend it, for it lies within the gift of each individual (381–90). The ode ends with the Chorus's wish for an untroubled, retiring existence (391–403).

Although the Chorus is ignorant of the true state of affairs between Atreus and Thyestes, its reflections still provide a background against which the action of the play is to be understood. The portrait of the true king stands between scenes dominated by Atreus and Thyestes, and its implications extend both backwards and forwards.¹ This dual reference is underlined by the ode's arrangement: the generalizing central section (344–90) is preceded by thoughts of revenge and reciprocal crime that recall the scene just past (339–44) and followed by an ideal of tranquil withdrawal (391–403) that closely matches Thyestes' sentiments in the scene to come. The ode is thus both a subtle bridge-passage and a stable criterion for judging the remoteness of both Atreus and Thyestes from true kingship.

The relevance of the ode extends beyond its dramatic setting; the apostrophe to those who long for rule (*cupidi arcium* 342) has a meaning for Romans as well as Argives. Indeed much of the ode is overtly Roman in outlook, from the use of *Quiri'es* (396) to represent the political dimension of a community to the vision of distant lands and peoples as they would strike the imagination of a Roman in the mid-first century A.D. (368–79). Roman coloring of this extent can hardly be the result of accident or inadvertence; it leaves no doubt that the ode's utter rejection of the striving for power is meant to apply to Seneca's own world.

The notion of the *sapiens* as the only true king is a familiar article of Stoic belief,² but much of the imagery with which Seneca here elaborates that thesis has its origins in Augustan poetry. The trappings of wealth, for example, are described in terms that strongly recall Horace's *Odes*,³ and Horace is also the source for the colorful procession of foreign opponents who cannot threaten the true king's composure (369–79).⁴ The most important Roman antecedent of Seneca's ideal king, however, is the figure praised by Vergil in a famous passage of the *Georgics*:

felix qui potuit rerum cognoscere causas
atque metus omnis et inexorabile fatum
subiecit pedibus strepitumque Acherontis avari. . . .
illum non populi fasces, non purpura regum

¹ Specific connections between the ode and other parts of the play are suggested in the notes on 338, 343, 345, 346, 347, 348–49, 358–62, 361–62, 365, 366, 367–68, 381, 390, 393, 394, 401, 402–403.

² See on 344.

³ See notes on 347, 350–57, 360–62, 369–79, 381–87. On Horatian echoes in the choral odes of other plays see Fautham on *Tro.* 1020–21, my note on *Ag.* 91–107, J. Spika (cited above, p. 17 n. 83).

⁴ Seneca has, however, fundamentally changed the import of Horace's lines; see note *ad loc.*

flexit et infidos agitans discordia fratres,
aut coniurato descendens Dacus ab Histro,
non res Romanae periturae regna. . . . (G. 2.490-92, 495-98)

The germ of a considerable part of the ode can be seen here,⁵ but some of Seneca's revisions of Vergil are at least equally significant. The focus has been redefined: for Vergil "dominions that will pass away" are only one among the world's allurements, but Seneca makes *regnum* the central issue. How is a mere individual to retain a measure of control in this vast world? His response mutes the Vergilian tone of triumph: fear and passion are not decisively "ground underfoot" (*subiecit pedibus*), but "put off" or "laid aside" (*postuit* 348), suggesting a burden or encumbrance gratefully escaped. Most revealing of all, fate (i.e., death) is not overcome but willingly met (367 *occurrit . . . libens*). Seneca's final answer to the question of kingship is a characteristic paradox that owes nothing to Vergil: true dominion lies in the acceptance of powerlessness, in the readiness to die.⁶

The style of the ode is in keeping with its mood, sober and grave. The short glyconic lines, mostly end-stopped, convey a feeling of pensive calm; there is none of the linguistic virtuosity of the first chorus, and in particular the basic statements of principle are nearly devoid of verbal adornment (cf. 348-49, 365-68, 380, 390). Against this subdued background, the shift to the first person singular in the coda (391-403) brings a welcome infusion of warmth. Discreetly sensual imagery portrays *otium* and *quies* as appealing, even pleasurable (*me dulcis saturat quies* 393, *leni perfruar otio* 394), while a finely judged control of rhythm gives the passage a gentle, even flow that perfectly matches its content. This is the play's closest approach to a positive statement of values; the course of the action will overshadow the Chorus's hope for tranquil peace, but the beauty with which these lines evoke that ideal remains deeply satisfying.⁷

Meter: Glyconics (see above, p. 31).

336-38 The Chorus shows no awareness of the plot that the audience has just heard Atreus devise; it reacts only to the "public" report that Atreus has invited Thyestes to return from exile and be reconciled with him. [Seneca often treats his Chorus as "absent" between odes, an aspect of dramatic technique on which he differs markedly from the practice of fifth-century Greek tragedy, cf. *HSCP* 82 (1978), 223-28.]

336 *regia*: on the prominence given to the "house" throughout the play, see above, 45.

337 *antiqui . . . Inachi*: Inachus was an Argive river-god and legendary first king of Argos. (The river is a poetic equivalent for "Argos" at *HO* 139.) The allusion may owe something to Horace, for whom Inachus is a proverbially ancient figure, cf. *C.* 2.3.21 *prisco natus ab Inacho*, 3.19.1.

338 *composuit*: the sense intended by the Chorus is "has settled," on the analogy of *componere litem* or *bellum*; to the audience, though, *componere* might also suggest "place in opposition," as in *NQ* 5.18.6 *quae nos dementia exagitat* [cf. 339] *et in mutuum componit exitium*? The uses of *componere* in 433 and 694 are clearly double-edged.

339-43 The Chorus turns from the immediate situation (as it misconceives it) to brood

⁵ In addition to the obvious points of contact, Vergil's juxtaposition of kingly purple and fraternal strife (*purpura regum, infidos agitans Discordia fratres* 495-96) may have influenced the opening lines of the ode (339-47).

⁶ The point is made explicitly in *Ag.* 604-10, a passage itself indebted to these lines of the *Georgics*: cf. 607-608 *qui vultus Acherontis atri, / qui Styga tristem non tristis videt*.

⁷ The ode was highly regarded by poets and critics of the sixteenth and seventeenth centuries, cf. C. J. Herington in *CHLL*, 527.

on the habitual aggression of the Tantalids. The shift is marked by the change to the present tense in *exagitat* 339. The present also points to the ironic sense of the lines, since this *furor* is in fact at work even now.

[Lines 336-38 were bracketed by Richter as an interpolation, on the grounds that they are inconsistent with 339-43 and anticipate the Chorus's reaction to the apparent reconciliation at 546-59. The first point is countered by the interpretation sketched above: 336-38 refer to the latest development, 339-43 to a recurring pattern of behavior. Nor do 336-38 conflict with 546-59; here the Chorus speaks in vague terms of a settling of hostilities, while in the later passage it responds specifically to the scene it has just witnessed. On the other hand, without 336-38 a listener could easily assume that 339-43 refer to Atreus' scheme, and so be surprised by the Chorus's ready acceptance of the false reconciliation. There is also a structural argument for retaining 336-38, although it cannot be pressed very hard: with the lines in place, but with 388-89 bracketed, the ode falls into two main sections of 33 lines, each of which ends with a form of *morior* (336-68 *nec queritur mori*, 369-403 *ignotus moritur sibi*). The lines were also defended by Zwierlein, *Rezitationsdramen* 79, but he admits more incoherence in Seneca's technique than I think is necessary.]

339 *vos*: in the first instance Atreus and Thyestes, the *fratres* of 338, but a broader application soon becomes obvious (see on 342).

340 *alternis dare sanguinem*: "to shed blood by turns." Since neither Atreus nor Thyestes has physically harmed the other, the Chorus is either thinking of earlier episodes in the family saga (as at 139-48) or using *dare sanguinem* in a generic sense, like *stringere ense* in 26. (The Chorus's words could also apply ironically to events still in the future, for example, Aegisthus' murder of Agamemnon, cf. 43-44.)

alternis: adverbial, cf. Ovid *F.* 6.484, 486; a variation on, e.g., *alterna vice* 25, 133.

dare sanguinem: this sense of *dare* ("to cause to be emitted") may have been applied to blood on the analogy of *dare lacrimas*. The closest antecedents of Seneca's phrase are in Ovid, cf. *F.* 2.666 *quantum patriae sanguinis ille dedit*, *Met.* 15.423 (Troy) *per . . . decem potuit tantum dare sanguinis annos*. (On periphrases with *dare* see on 1057 below.)

342 *nescitis*: the Chorus may still be thinking of Atreus and Thyestes, but Seneca wishes to include all those who long for power.

arcium: the *arx* is the fortified citadel of a town, like the Acropolis in Athens or the Capitol in Rome. By the early Principate *arx* had become a standard term for a tyrant's "castle," cf. Sen. *Contr.* 1.7.16 *vidi filium unum in arce, alterum in adulterio*, Sen. *Ag.* 77-78 *quas non arces scelus alternum / dedit in praeceps* (n). The palace of the Tantalids is located *in arce summa* (641, see note).

343 *quo iaceat loco*: *quo . . . loco* raises a question to which the rest of the ode develops the answer; note *tuto positus loco* near the mid-point (365) and, specifically, *obscuro positus loco* (394) in the final section.

iaceat: *iacere*, like English "lie," can mean simply "to be situated" (cf. *OLD* s.v., #11b), but Seneca may be evoking as well a more restricted sense, "to lie low/in obscurity," cf. Ovid *Met.* 11.747 *tunc iacet unda maris*, Sen. *Brev. vit.* 9.1 *omnia quae ventura sunt in incerto iacent*. This sense is also implied in Thyestes' picture of carefree meals consumed *humi iacentem* (451). The contrast is with the *arx*, literally as well as figuratively elevated, cf. 392 *aulae culmine lubrico*, *Clem.* 1.19.6 *in altum editas arces*.

344 *regem*: using the concept "king" to symbolize ultimate control and felicity, the Stoics claimed that only the *sapiens* was truly a king, Cic. *Acad.* 2.136, Hor. *S.* 1.3.125, *Epist.* 1.1.107, Sen. *Ag.* 610 *par ille regi, par superis erit, Epist.* 108.13 *ipse* (sc. Attalus the Stoic) *regem se esse dicebat*.

344–47 The general statement that riches (*opes*) do not make a king is illustrated by three examples, each of which relates to the action of the play. The trappings of high position are listed in studiously neutral language, perhaps to show that they exercise no appeal for the true king; contrast the highly colored images of luxury elaborated by Thyestes in 455–69.

345 *vestis Tyriae color*: hypallage for *vestis* (gen.) *Tyrius color* (= *purpura*). Purple garments were a universal mark of royal status in the ancient world, cf. Pease on Verg. *Aen.* 4.134. (The close connection makes possible Horace's vivid *purpurei . . . tyranni, C.* 1.35.12.) Thyestes later accepts Atreus' invitation to put on clothing appropriate to a king (525–26), and at his fateful meal he reclines on coverlets of purple and gold (909).

346 *frontis nota regia*: i.e., the diadem, originally an Eastern emblem of kingship, which became a sign of royalty for Greeks and Romans after Alexander, cf. Nisbet-Hubbard on Hor. *C.* 2.2.21. In Roman eyes the diadem was an odious symbol of despotism; even Julius Caesar felt compelled to decline it on the famous occasion when Mark Antony "thrice presented him a kingly crown, / which he did thrice refuse" (*Julius Caesar* 3.2.96–97). Thyestes' ambition is not made of such stern stuff; he refuses Atreus once (531–32), but accepts when the offer is pressed (544). [The MSS of Seneca unanimously read *regiae*, and *regia* is found only in a citation of 342–52 by Lactantius Placidus, a late antique commentator on Statius. Since Seneca is insisting on the irrelevance of external emblems to genuine kingliness, *regia nota* seems much more apt than *regia frons*; cf. also 701 below *regium capiti decus, Ag.* 8, etc.]

347 *auro nitidae trabes*: gilded roof-beams, a sign of conspicuous wealth frequently denounced by Roman moralists, cf. Prop. 3.2.12 *nec camera auratas inter eburna trabes*, Sen. *Pha.* 497–98 *nec trabes multo insolens / suffigit auro*. The detail recurs in the description of the *domus Pelopia*, cf. 646–47. [E reads *fores* for *trabes* in A and Lactantius. The reading is not impossible, cf. Verg. *G.* 2.461 *foribus domus alta superbis*, but it is not as well paralleled as *trabes* and lacks a telling connection with the later action (901–902 *fores / templi relaxa* would be the closest link).]

348–68 This section begins and ends with positive statements (348–49, 365–68), which frame a triad of negative examples (350–57 attractions of high status, 358–62 natural forces, 363–64 military threats). Each of these negative segments is further subdivided (3-2-2 respectively), and each adopts a different temporal perspective (*movet* 352, *concutiet* 358, *domuit* 364). The opening and closing lines are themselves linked and contrasted (*posuit* 348, *positus* 365; *posuit* vs. *videt . . . occurrit . . . queritur*); the conclusion details the lasting results of the action named at the outset.

348–49 *rex . . . pectoris*: freedom from fear is a cardinal point in Stoic-Epicurean moralizing, cf. Verg. *G.* 2.490–92 *felix qui . . . metus omnis et inexorabile fatum / subiecit pedibus*, Sen. *Const.* 9.2 *sapiens . . . nescit nec in spem nec in metum vivere*. At first 348 sounds like a statement of this view, but the next line makes an unexpected addition: "the evils of a dreadful heart" seems too strong a description for the other emotions from which the *sapiens* ought to be free (hope, joy, and sadness, cf. Verg. *Aen.* 6.733 with Austin's note), and seems instead to imply the sort of furious drive for revenge seen in Atreus. (Both *pectus* and *dirus* have already figured in references to his passion, cf. 250, 253–54, 260–61.) That connection suggests a possible second meaning for 348, "a king is one who has laid aside terror," that is, who does not, like Atreus, use fear as a basis for

governing (cf. 207–208, and for *metus* = "intimidation" cf. *OLD* s.v., #1e).

posuit: "put off" or "lay down," cf. 519 *ponatur omnis ira*.

350–57 The first and third items appear together in Hor. *C.* 1.1.7–10: *hunc* (sc. *tuat*) *si mobilium turba Quiritium / certat tergemini tollere honoribus; / illum, si proprio condidit horreo / quidquid de Libycis verritur areis*. Horace's poem has influenced this ode at several points, cf. 360–62, 393, 396.

350 *impotens*: "uncontrolled," usually of persons or their *animus*, but also of, e.g., *fortuna* (*Ag.* 247, 593) and *furor* (*Ag.* 801, *Med.* 851).

351–52 *numquam stabilis favor / vulgi praecipitis: praeceps* ("rushing headlong") is a characteristic "Silver" heightening of an earlier epithet, i.e., *mobilis* as applied to the fickle populace, cf. Horace's *mobilium turba Quiritium* (*C.* 1.1.7), Livy 24.31.14 *experti . . . quam vana aut levi aura mobile vulgus esset*, Ovid *Tr.* 1.9.13 *mobile sic sequitur Fortunae lumina vulgus*. Seneca's wording suggests the violence of popular feeling as well as its mutability, cf. Phaedr. 5.1.3 *ut mos est vulgi, passim et certatim ruit*. Other versions of the theme in *HF* 169–71, *Pha.* 488–89.

352 *movet*: "sway," "attract," cf. Ovid *Met.* 10.615 *non me movet ipse, sed aetas*.

353–55 The notion of "mineral wealth" is expressed in Roman terms: Spanish mines were Rome's main source of gold, cf. Fordyce on Catullus 29.19. Mining was often held up as a symptom of degenerate greed (cf. Ovid *Met.* 1.138–40), but here the focus is on the enormous quantity of gold produced (*quidquid*).

353 *Occidens*: by a bold personification "the West" is said to mine the earth; even Lucan substituted a milder form of words in imitating the line, *quidquid fodit Hiber* ["the Iberian"], *quidquid Tagus expulit auri* (7.755). The result is to present this wealth on the largest possible scale; compare Tac. *Agr.* 30.5 (a denunciation of Roman greed) *ambitiosi, quas non Oriens, non Occidens satiaverit*. (Similarly "All the perfumes of Arabia will not sweeten this little hand," *Macbeth* 5.1.50–51.)

354 *Tagus*: the modern Tejo, which passes through Toledo and empties into the Atlantic at Lisbon. Its gold deposits were a well-known item of geographical lore, especially popular with Spanish writers, cf. Mela 2.86, 3.8, Sen. *HF* 1325, Luc. 7.755 (quoted above), Mart. 7.88.7, 10.17.4, Juv. 3.55, 14.299, Otto s.v. *Tagus*. Seneca's wording is closest to Ovid *Met.* 2.251 *quod . . . suo Tagus amne vehit, fluit ignibus aurum*; he is in turn the model for Martial 6.86.5 *possideat Libycas messes Hermumque Tagumque*.

355 *claro . . . alveo*: the river-bed gleams with light reflected by the gold it contains; for this use of *clarus* cf. Acc. 211 R² *agnum inter pecudes aurea clarum coma*, Ovid *Met.* 13.704 *claram . . . auro gemmisque coronam*. The next section of the ode also describes light reflected by precious objects under water (371–73).

356–57 The coloring remains Roman: Libya supplied Rome with wheat as Spain did with gold. "All the grain harvested in Libya" is a hyperbole already used by Horace, cf. *C.* 1.1.9–10 (quoted on 350–57 above), cf. also *S.* 1.1.45–46 *milia frumenti tua triverit area centum; / non tuus hoc capiet venter plus ac meus*.

Libycis . . . messibus: apparently an abl. of time or circumstance, "at the Libyan harvests" or "when the wheat is harvested in Libya."

357 *fervens*: the threshing-floor may be "blazing" because it is in Libya (cf. Prop. 4.9.46 *Libyco sole perusta coma*), because the threshing takes place at mid-day (cf. Verg. *G.* 1.298 *medio aestu*, Varro *R.r.* 1.51.2), or because of the heated activity of the

threshing itself (compare *fervidis . . . rotis* Hor. C. 1.1.4). The use of graphic language that does not resolve itself into clear images is a hallmark of Senecan style.

358–62 Horatian coloring is especially strong in these lines, cf. C. 3.1.25–28 *desiderantem quod satis est neque / tumultuosum sollicitat mare / nec saevus Arcturi cadentis / impetus aut orientis Haedi*, 3.3.1–6 *iustum et tenacem propositi virum / . . . non vultus instantis tyranni / mente quatit solida neque Auster, / dux iniqui turbidus Hadriae, / nec fulminantis magna manus Iovis*. Here this picture of *constantia* takes on an ironic dimension, since Atreus has used similar terms to depict Thyestes' fearless pursuit of power (290–92).

358–59 *cadens / obliqui via fulminis*: double hypallage for *fulmen cadens obliqua via*, cf. Verg. *Aen.* 6.268 *ibant obscuri sola sub nocte per umbram*, Bell 317–18. This wording produces a more vivid picture by focusing on the lightning's downward path; at the same time the repeated *c*'s in *concutiet cadens* may suggest the crash of thunder.

359 *obliqui*: “zigzag,” of lightning in *Cons. Marc.* 18.3, Luc. 1.153–54.

360 *Eurus*: the proper name for particularizing effect; *Eurus*, strictly speaking the East wind, often represents a typically stormy wind, cf. Hor. C. 1.28.25–26 *quodcumque minabitur Eurus / fluctibus Hesperitis*, *Epod.* 16.54 *aquosus Eurus*, Ovid *Met.* 15.603 *ubi trux insibilat Eurus*.

rapiens: “violently taking hold of,” cf. Ag. 475–76 *rapiunt . . . pelagus . . . adversus Euro Zephyrus et Boreae Notus*, OLD s.v., #14c, where fire is the active force.

361–62 *saevo . . . Hadriae*: the Adriatic fits more naturally in a Roman than in an Argive frame of reference; Horace made it a byword for destructive violence, cf. C. 1.33.15 *fretis acrior Hadriae*, 2.14.14, 3.9.23 *iracundior Hadria*, 3.27.18–19.

These lines are remarkable for their profusion of adjectives: *saevus*, *ravidus*, and *ventosus*, with *tumor Hadriae* suggesting *tumidus Hadria* (see note below). The prominent epithets might hint at a correlation between the terrors of nature and raging human passions: *ravidus* and *tumere* have already been applied to Atreus (255, 258), *saevus* is used several times of him or his projected crime (196, 314, 715, 726, 743), and *saevire* and *tumere* appear together at 737 *Atreus saevit atque ira tumet*. (All other uses of *tumere* and *tumidus* in the play are also clustered around these two points: of the sea in 291, 577, and 960, and of the “swollen faces” of kings in 609.)

361 [*ravidus*: E's *ravidus* seems preferable to A's *rapidus* both for the parallel with *ravidus* in 255 and also because *rapidus* would weakly repeat *rapiens* in 360. The words are regularly confused in manuscripts (see my note on Ag. 484).]

362 *tumor Hadriae*: nearly equivalent in sense to *tumidus Hadria*, but more forceful; the noun + gen. construction implies that the Adriatic can almost be defined by its *tumor*, cf. Cic. *Mil.* 3 *P. Clodi furor* (= *P. Clodius furiosus*), Verg. *Aen.* 4.88 *minae . . . murorum* (= *muri minantes*), 2.235–36 *rotarum . . . lapsus* (= *rotae labantes*), Bell 219.

363–64 *non lancea militis / non strictus . . . chalybs*: threats of war are symbolized by spear and sword. The *lancea* is usually wielded by the enemies of Rome (cf. [Caes.] *BG* 8.48.5, Verg. *Aen.* 12.375, Livy 10.26.11, 22.6.4, Tac. *Germ.* 6.1), but *militis* makes the picture more generic; similarly *chalybs* (= “steel”), originally connected with the Chalybes of Armenia (cf. Fordyce on Verg. *Aen.* 8.421), seems here only an elegant substitute for *ferrum* = *ensis*, cf. Luc. 7.517–18 *sceleris sed crimine nullo / externum maculant chalybem*.

365 *tuto positus loco*: unwittingly echoed by Atreus, who has a very different concept

of safety: *iam tuto in loco / versantur odia* (493–94).

366 *infra se videt omnia*: the *sapiens* was traditionally said to look down on all human concerns, cf. Cic. *Rep.* 1.28, *Tusc.* 3.15 *res humanas despicere atque infra se positas arbitrari* (a state boldly appropriated by Seneca's Medea, *fortuna semper omnis infra me stetit*, 520).

367–68 *occurritque . . . mori*: the ultimate freedom from fear, and so the ultimate rule (cf. 348), consists in the readiness to die. This too is a tenet of Thyestes' professed belief, cf. 442 *‘pater, potes regnare’ ‘cum possim mori.’*

occurrit . . . fato: the true *rex* goes to meet *fatum* as it approaches; for this use of *occurrere* (not attested before Seneca) cf. *Epist.* 76.20, Luc. 4.479–80 *nec gloria leti / inferior, iuvenes, admoto occurrere fato*, Stat. *Theb.* 1.640. [The same idea is more epigrammatically phrased at *Tro.* 1146, where Polyxena's *animus* is *leto obvius*; with less restraint, Oedipus' eyes are said to rush to meet their own blinding, *vulneri occurrunt suo* (*Oed.* 946).]

suo libens / fato: the spilling over of the phrase into the following line may reflect the eagerness with which the *rex* meets destiny.

libens: so Seneca describes Socrates drinking his hemlock, *venenum laetus et libens hauriet* (*Prov.* 3.13) or Priam receiving the death-blow from Pyrrhus, *penitus actum* [sc. *ferrum*] *cum recepisset libens* (*Tro.* 49). [So also perhaps Cassandra at Ag. 973 (n).] The word denotes ready acceptance of fate, not the longing for death that Seneca stigmatizes as *libido moriendi* (*Epist.* 24.25).

368 *nec queritur mori*: best taken literally (“who makes no complaint at dying”) rather than as litotes for (e.g.) *gaudet mori*; compare Ag. 608 *qui Stygia tristem non tristis videt*.

369–79 The geographical frame of reference is patently Roman: the names mentioned represent the northern and eastern limits of the empire at the time of Augustus. This “global” aspect of Seneca's writing has been stressed by Herington, “Senecan Tragedy,” 437–38 (= 185–86), cf., e.g., *Pha.* 54–72, Ag. 64–70, *HF* 1323–29. It can be clearly grasped by comparing Ovid's account in *Met.* 7.220–33 of Medea gathering her magic herbs—a purely Thessalian landscape with one excursion as far as Anthedon in Boeotia—with the Senecan counterpart in *Med.* 705–30, where Medea harvests her crop from Sicily to the Caucasus and from Spain to the Danube.

Here the Roman coloring has a specific source, Hor. C. 4.15.21–24 *non qui profundum Danuvium bibunt / rumpent edicta Iulia, non Getae, / non Seres infidique Persi, / non Tanain prope flumen orti*. While echoing Horace's phrases, Seneca pointedly alters their application: in Horace Roman power, symbolized by the *edicta . . . Iulia* and the *custos rerum Caesar* (17), guarantees the *otium* of peoples, whereas for Seneca that security belongs exclusively to the isolated *sapiens*. (See above, p. 137.) This perspective has also influenced the treatment Seneca gives these far-off peoples: they are made to seem exotic rather than formidable, unable either to tempt or intimidate the true *rex*.

369 *Reges*: not a “true” king (as at 348), but those who in fact hold power (as in Ps. 2.2 “the kings of the earth rise up, and the rulers take counsel together”).

convenient: “band together” (OLD s.v., #1d). The subjunctive is jussive-concessive (AG 440); so too *certet* in 376.

370 *qui . . . Dahae*: “who rouse the scattered Dahae” (i.e., for warfare), cf. Verg. *Aen.* 10.71 *gentes agitare quietas*, Luc. 2.643–44. The Dahae are *sparsi* because they are

nomadic, cf. Luc. 7.429 *vetitos errare Dahas*. The Romans knew them as mounted archers (cf. 603 below), and from Augustan times onward they represent the romantic lands at the fringe of the empire, cf. Verg. *Aen.* 8.728 *indomiti . . . Dahae*, Livy 35.48.5, 49.8, Luc. 2.296 (*Roma*) *motura Dahas . . . clade Getasque*.

agitant: perhaps a counterpart to *exagitat furor* in 339; throughout the ode tranquil inactivity is upheld against violent agitation.

371-73 qui . . . tenent: "who hold in their power the waters of the Indian Ocean and the sea stained red over a wide expanse by brightly-shining gems." Both clauses refer to the *Mare Rubrum*, first by simple periphrasis (*rubri vada litoris*), then by naming the precious stones for which it was famous (cf. Prop. 1.14.12, [Tib.] 4.2.19-20, Petr. *Sat.* 55.6.9). For this doubling technique cf., e.g., Ovid *Met.* 1.62 *vesper et occiduo quae litora sole tepescunt*; in such phrases the connective (*et*, as in 372) is "epexegetical," almost equivalent to "i.e."

371 rubri . . . litoris: the Indian Ocean stands for "the East" in Augustan writers, cf. Hor. *C.* 1.35.31-32 *examen Eois timendum / partibus Oceanoque rubro*, Verg. *Aen.* 8.686 *victor ab Aurorae populis et litore rubro*.

372 gemmis: a generic term for a precious stone (cf. Fedeli on Prop. 1.14.12), here probably not "pearls" (Miller) but "rubies" or other stones of reddish hue, whose reflection turns the sea blood-red. Seneca appears to be offering a "gloss" on the name *Mare Rubrum*.

373 sanguineum: here "stained red," elsewhere of the staining agent, cf. Verg. *Aen.* 12.67-68 *Indum sanguineo . . . violaverit ostro / si quis ebur*, Pliny *NH* 22.48 *manus . . . inficit sanguineo colore*.

374-75 aut . . . Sarmatis: "or who throw open the ridges of the Caspian to the bold Sarmatians," i.e., the rulers of Armenia, who rely on the Causacus mountain range for protection against the marauding Sarmatians to the north.

Caspia . . . iuga: cf. *HF* 1206 *rupes . . . Caspiae*, of the rocks in the Caucasus where Prometheus was chained. In *Tro.* 1105-1106 the Caspian region suggests barbarism (*quae Caspium tangens mare / gens iuris expers*), but here it seems only to represent the remote northeast, as at Verg. *Aen.* 6.798-99 *Caspia regna / responsas horrent divum et Maotica tellus*.

375 Sarmatis: the Sarmatae (or Sauromatae) were nomads (*vagus Sarmata*, *Pha.* 71) who ranged through what is now Soviet Georgia and the Ukraine. Ovid frequently uses *Sarmaticus* and *Sarmatis* of his place of exile on the Black Sea, stretching fact considerably to increase pity for his hardships. Seneca's geography is more precise here and also at *HF* 539 where the Sarmatians are associated with Scythia (cf. ps-Sen. *HO* 157-58).

376 certet: continuing the thought begun with *convenient* in 369 (see note); *certare* here = "contend in battle" (*OLD* s.v., #2).

376-77 Danuvii . . . ingredi: the Danube belongs to Seneca's Horatian model (*C.* 4.15.21), but the picture of foot-soldiers crossing the frozen river comes from Ovid's description of the Black Sea in *Pont.* 4.10.32 *hic freta vel pediti pervia reddit hiemps* (a poem which also mentions the Danube, 57-58). Latin poets were intrigued by the picturesque possibilities of frozen bodies of water, cf. Verg. *G.* 3.360-62, Sen. *HF* 533-41, Luc. 5.436-41, Val. *Fl.* 4.218-29.

378-79 et . . . nobiles: the catalogue ends with its most remote constituent. The Seres, inhabitants of the southwest part of modern China, were known to the Greeks and

Romans as traders, not as a military power. In the role of imagined opponents, the Chinese with their bolts of silk cut an odd, even droll figure. Seneca may be shifting the ground of argument from power to wealth, but he may also intend this section to end with a "threat" that seems almost insubstantial (*quocumque loco iacent*), implying the impotence of all forces opposing the rule of reason.

378 et: sc. *et certent*.

quocumque loco iacent: most obviously a reference to the remoteness of the Seres, but also perhaps an allusion to scholarly disagreement about their location. [On the "limited and speculative" information about the Seres transmitted by Greek and Roman geographers, cf. J. Ferguson, "China and Rome," *ANRW* 2.9.2 (1978), 582-85.]

379 vellere: the fleecy raw silk deposited by silkworms on the leaves of mulberry and other bushes, but thought by the Romans to be a natural plant product, cf. Verg. *G.* 2.121 *vellera . . . ut foliis depectat tenuia Seres*, Silius 6.4 *Seres lanigeris repetebant vellera lucis*.

Silk garments were often denounced by Roman moralists as extravagant or, because diaphanous, as indecent, cf. Smith on Tib. 2.3.53, Prop. 1.2.2, 4.8.23, Petr. *Sat.* 119.21, Sen. *Ben.* 7.9.5 *video sericas vestes, si vestes vocandae sunt, in quibus nihil est quo defendi aut corpus aut denique pudor possit*, Tac. *Ann.* 2.33.1 with Furneaux's note.

380 mens . . . possidet: the simple counterpart to the long concessive clause 369-79: kings may assemble, etc., (but) *mens bona* retains control of its dominion. (For *regnum* in this sense cf. Cic. *Rep.* 1.28.) The contrast requires a strong sense for *possidere* ("exercise control over"), for which cf. Ovid *Ars* 2.35 *possidet et terras et possidet aequora Minos*, Sen. *Ag.* 258 *maritima possidens paelix domum*.

mens . . . bona: "good sense," nearly equivalent to *ratio*, which Seneca uses only in dialogue sections: *mens bona* here signifies more than simply a "sound mind," as in the conventional wish for *bona mens, bona valetudo*, cf. Petr. *Sat.* 88.8, Juv. 10.356. There was a Roman cult of *Mens Bona*, cf. Prop. 3.24.19, Ovid *F.* 6.241-48, but Seneca is referring to a purely individual state of mind.

381-90 These lines address an implied question, e.g., "how is this *regnum* acquired?" Three forms of aggression are presented in increasingly elaborate terms (a type of *tricolon abundans*, see on 267-68), but are all rejected in favor of the plain answer *hoc regnum sibi quisque dat* 390. [On 388-89 see note *ad loc.*] The content and triadic structure of 381-87 recall Hor. *C.* 1.22.1-4 *integer vitae scelerisque purus / non eget Mauris iaculis neque arcu / nec venenatis gravida sagittis, / Fusce, pharetra*.

381 equis: as employed by Atreus as an instrument of terror, cf. 184-85, 554.

382-84 nil . . . fugas: the Parthian cavalry tactic of launching barrages of arrows while riding away from the enemy was devastatingly effective against the Romans at Carrhae in 53 B.C. (cf. Plut. *Crass.* 24.6); it also gave generations of Latin poets an occasion for wit and paradox. Ovid's entries are, not surprisingly, the most outrageous, cf. *Ars* 1.211, 3.786 *ut celer aversis utere Parthus equis* (i.e., in lovemaking), also (e.g.) Hor. *C.* 1.19.11-12, 2.13.17-18, Prop. 2.10.13-14, 3.9.54, Ovid *RA* 155, 224, Sen. *Oed.* 118-19, *Med.* 710. In keeping with the mood of this ode, Seneca treats the commonplace with conspicuous restraint.

382 inertibus: "unwarlike," because not wielded in face-to-face combat; *inertia tela* is an oxymoron for which my closest parallel is Ovid *Met.* 13.694 *demisso per inertia vulnera telo* (the wounds are *inertia* because inflicted by an unmilitary instrument, a weaving-shuttle).

385–87 *admotis* . . . *rotantibus*: “no need of siege machines drawn up to flatten walls, whirling boulders far and wide.” The last image of violent assault is the most extended, set off by artful word-order (the framing hyperbaton *admotis* . . . *machinis*) and choice diction (see on *sternere*); line 387 is not needed for sense, but adds vivid detail.

385 *admotis*: a technical term for “bringing up” troops or machinery, cf. Livy 30.8.1 *Vticae oppugnandae intentum iamque machinas admoventem muris*, Cic. *Cluent.* 36.

386 *sternere*: inf. of purpose with *admotis*; the usage is archaic, revived in Augustan poetry, cf. Verg. *Aen.* 1.527–28 *non . . . Libycas populare penates / venimus* with Austin’s note, Fedeli on Prop. 1.1.12.

machinis: the generic term is more elevated than, e.g., *balista* (Ovid *Met.* 11.509, Sen. *Pha.* 535).

387 *longe*: for magnifying effect, like *late* in 373.

saxa rotantibus: a verbal echo of Verg. *Aen.* 10.362–63 (of a river) *qua saxa rotantia late / intulerat torrens*, a passage also in Seneca’s mind at *NQ* 3.27.7 *devolutus torrens altissimis montibus . . . saxa revolutis remissa compagibus rotat*.

388–89 [Line 389 is missing in the A manuscripts, and both lines were bracketed by Leo, supported by Zwierlein in *Gnomon* 38 (1966), 684—although Professor Zwierlein now informs me that he has accepted the lines; the best defense is by Seidensticker, 106 n. 76. The absence of 389 in A is not an argument against its authenticity: scribes were often puzzled by sets of similarly-worded lines, and many sound verses were omitted as a result, cf., e.g., Ovid *Met.* 1.326, 481. The case for deletion rests on language and relation to context. As transmitted the lines contain an inexplicable shift in tense from *metuit* to *cupiet*. The only plausible emendation is to read *metuet* for *metuit* (Bentley); the future tenses are justified as “gnomic” by Seidensticker, who compares, e.g., Hor. *Epist.* 1.16.65–66 *nam qui cupiet, metuet quoque; porro / qui metuens vivet, liber mihi non erit umquam*. But the combination of *est* with *metuet/cupiet* is still awkward; the inverse sequence would seem more natural, as at *Ag.* 608–10 *qui . . . audet . . . vitae ponere finem, / par ille regi, par superis erit*. Furthermore, the use of *nihil* in 388–89 seems rather flat after its effective repetition in the “tricolon abundans” of 381–87. Finally, 388–89 virtually repeat the point of 348–49 in a less interesting form. If interpolated, the lines might be the work of a reader who did not see that *hoc regnum* in 390 looks back to *regnum* in 380.]

390 *sibi quisque dat*: in implied contrast to the false *regnum* that the audience will see Thyestes accept from Atreus (529, 540–42). Seneca here adapts to the pervasive imagery of dominion a common idea of Roman moralizing, that virtue is within the reach of every individual, cf. Hor. *Epist.* 1.18.112 (*Iuppiter*) *det vitam, det opes; aequum mihi animum ipse parabo*, Sen. *Epist.* 41.1 *bonam mentem quam stultum est optare cum possis a te impetrare*, Juv. (?) 10.363 *monstro quod ipse tibi possis dare*.

391–403 The Chorus now moves from the general to the highly personal. Although this section begins and ends with images of a life that is rejected (391–92, 401–403), the prevailing tone is positive for the first time in the ode; the only traces of the negative phrasing prominent earlier are *nullis nota Quiritibus* (396) and *nullo cum strepitu* (399), which denote welcome absences rather than imposing threats. The tranquillity that the Chorus longs for is reflected in the calm, measured pace of the lines; the progress from static *quies* and *otium* (393–95) through smoothly flowing years (397–99) to death in humble old age (400) is handled with masterful restraint.

391–93 The technique of prefacing one’s own opinions or values with those of others is

common in early Greek poetry and traditional in later periods; modern scholars have given it the name “priamel” (German for “preamble”), cf. Nisbet-Hubbard on Hor. C. 1.1 (pp. 2–3), W. H. Race, *The Classical Priamel from Homer to Boethius* (Leiden, 1982). Here it appears in abbreviated form, confined to the choice between power and its absence. The opening lines of Tibullus’ first elegy are similar in form and to a degree in content: *divitias alius fulvo sibi congerat auro . . . me mea paupertas vita traducat inerti* (1.1.1–5).

392 *aulae culmine lubrico*: “on the slippery pinnacle of power”; *aulae* is appositional genitive (i.e., the *aula* is the *culmen*), cf. Caes. B.G. 1.60.5 *auxilia legionum*, AG 343d, K–S 1.418–19.

aulae: in Augustan poetry, e.g., Hor. C. 2.7.10, an *aula* is any grand (and so enviable) house, but here it specifically refers to the palace of a ruler or powerful figure, cf. *Ag.* 81 (n).

lubrico: cf. Sen. *Epist.* 94.73 *cogitat enim varios casus et in sublimi maxime lubricos*, Luc. 5.249–51.

393 *me*: emphatic (“for my part”); at the end of a priamel, cf. Sappho 16.3 LP $\epsilon\gamma\omega$ $\delta\acute{\epsilon}$, Hor. C. 1.1.29, 1.7.10.

As a rule, personal statements by Senecan choruses are in the plural, cf. 621, 875–81 below, *Oed.* 124–25, 980–84, *Tro.* 378–79, etc. Of the exceptions two seem to carry no particular weight (*Ag.* 332 *velim*, *Pha.* 355 *quid plura canam?*) and a third is difficult to assess (in *Ag.* 656 *vidi* probably represents a heightening of emotion after *vidimus* 612, 627 and *duximus* 628); in the other three instances, though, there is an unmistakable sense of strong personal feeling, cf. *HF* 192–201, *Oed.* 882–91. It can hardly be accidental that the subject of all three passages is the longing for a peaceful, inconspicuous existence.

dulcis: with *saturet*, *dulcis* retains its full sensual meaning; *quies* is satisfying in the same way as fresh water (Ovid *Pont.* 2.7.73) or succulent fruit (Ovid *F.* 2.256).

saturet: Seneca could have written, e.g., *placeat*, but *saturet* makes the Chorus’s wish a contrast to the Tantalid appetites for power and revenge (see on 2 *avido*, 26 *modus*, 256 *nullum est satis*). Thyestes, who abandons *quies* for the *aula*, experiences a hideous perversion of *satietas*, cf. 913 *satur est*, and see on 955–56 *saturas . . . vestes*.

quies: especially in conjunction with *otium* (395), *quies* suggests lack of engagement in political life, cf. Cic. *De or.* 3.56, see also on 198 above. The preference for *quies* has Epicurean overtones, cf. Lucr. 5.1129–30 *ut satius multo iam sit parere quietum / quam regere imperio res velle et regna tenere*.

394 *obscuro . . . loco*: “in an unobtrusive station”; compare Thyestes’ similar wish, *liccat in media mihi / latere turba* (533–34), and the combination *obscura quies* at *Pha.* 1126.

395 *perfruar*: *per-* strengthens the basic sense, suggesting “to enjoy completely/ thoroughly,” cf. Cic. *Att.* 3.17.3 *tantum velim fortuna det nobis potestatem ut incolumes amore nostro perfruamur*.

396 *nullis nota Quiritibus*: an overt rejection of Roman political ambitions, cf. Verg. G. 2.498 *res Romanae* (see above, p. 138), Hor. C. 1.1.7 (see on 350–57). *Quirites* appears only here in the tragedies.

397 *aetas per tacitum fluat*: a remarkable adaptation of Verg. *Aen.* 9.30–32 *ceu altus / per tacitum Ganges . . . / cum refluit*. Seneca combines Vergil’s *per tacitum* with the metaphor of time as “an ever-rolling stream” (see Nisbet-Hubbard on Hor. C. 2.14.2),

and gives a commonplace a new meaning; instead of the imperceptible slipping away of years (compare, e.g., Ovid *Tr.* 4.10.27 *tacito passu labentibus annis*), the emphasis here is on the tranquillity of life as actually lived; *per tacitum* denotes the medium through which time flows as well as the manner of its passage.

398–99 cum . . . dies: the absence of even a slight sense-pause at the end of 398 momentarily quickens the tempo, as the focus shifts to the end of life (*cum transierint . . . dies*).

399 nullo cum strepitu: perhaps based on Ovid *Tr.* 3.7.35–36 *damnosa senectus / quae strepitus passu non faciente venit*, but with *strepitu* continuing the water-metaphor of 397, cf. Verg. *G.* 2.492 *strepitum . . . Acherontis avari*, Ovid *Met.* 3.568–69 *torrentem . . . modico strepitu decurrere vidi*.

400 plebeius: emphatic, suggesting “even in my old age let me remain a man of the people”; *plebeius* meaning “common,” “ordinary” (*OLD* s.v., #2b) is often a term of approval with moralists, cf. Lucr. 2.36, Sen. *Pha.* 11.39, Petr. *Sat.* 119.8, sometimes linked with *privatus*, as in Cic. *Sest.* 77, Luc. 5.538–39.

401 mors gravis incubat: “death weighs heavily,” i.e., is an oppressive prospect; the metaphor inverts the traditional wish for the dead, *sit tibi terra levis*, cf. *Pha.* 12.80 *gravis . . . tellus impio capiti incubet*. For *incubare* see on 155, *Epist.* 95.74 *gravior ipsius felicitas incubat*.

402 notus nimis: “all too well known,” cf. Verg. *Aen.* 9.471–72 *ora virum praefixa movebant / nota nimis miseris*.

402–403 omnibus . . . sibi: for the phrasing cf. *Med.* 654 *omnibus verax, sibi falsus uni* (sc. Mopsus).

403 ignotus . . . sibi: a memorable phrase, not attested earlier; Ovid has the positive equivalent, *Ars* 2.501 *qui sibi notus erit*, and Seneca ascribes *ignoratio sui* to the inebriated (*Epist.* 83.21) and the feeble-minded (*VB* 5.2).

Several choral odes in Seneca end with a *sententia*, cf. *Pha.* 354–57, *HF* 590–91, 1134–37, *Ag.* 865–66, *Oed.* 992–94. Here, as in *Tro.* 407–408, the element of cleverness is kept in balance, and the verbal twist clinches rather than breaks the mood of the ending.

The man who is “all too well-known to others, but unknown to himself” is an ironically apt description of Thyestes, not yet aware of the susceptibility to power and wealth which Atreus has seen only too clearly (cf. 288–91). When Thyestes discovers the truth about himself and his brother, the words *illi mors gravis incubat* take on a gruesomely literal appropriateness (cf. 1000, 1051 *premor . . . natis*).

ACT III (404–545)

This act comprises two scenes (404–90, 491–545), with the focus in each on the character of Thyestes. Thyestes presents himself as a proponent of the simple life, reluctant to exchange the peace of obscurity for the anxieties of power and deeply suspicious of Atreus’ intentions in the proposed reconciliation. (Accius may also have portrayed Thyestes as a figure with pretensions to wisdom; see above, p. 42.) From the outset, however, Seneca hints that Thyestes may be less than fully committed to the ideals he professes (see note on 404), and as the first scene progresses his attraction to the life of wealth and influence is more and more openly suggested (see on 446–70). Throughout this act Seneca surrounds Thyestes’ language with ironic second meanings, creating a masterful

portrait of a man who literally does not know his own mind (cf. 403). The clearest impression Thyestes makes, though, is of a fatal weakness of will; he twice allows his judgment to be overruled, first when his son Tantalus (perhaps significantly named) persuades him to go ahead with the planned meeting with Atreus, and then when, after a brief resistance, he accepts Atreus’ offer of a share in the kingdom. At the end of the act Thyestes is obviously in Atreus’ power; Atreus’ final words, a double-edged reference to sacrificial offerings (545), look forward to the mock-ritual executions of the following act.

The movement of both scenes, in which good intentions are ultimately overcome, closely parallels that of the prologue (cf. in particular 90–105), while the beginning of each scene contrasts the energy of Atreus with Thyestes’ passivity and lack of control. Thyestes’ opening soliloquy leads to no firm resolution, as Atreus’ does, but only to a bemused conflict of mind and body (419–20); the intervention of young Tantalus is needed to get the scene moving again. In the second scene the dramatic initiative passes even more clearly to Atreus: Thyestes is held in suspension while Atreus calmly observes his intended victim and thinks himself into his assumed role of the forgiving brother (491–507).

404–20 Thyestes rejoices at the sight of his native land, but his mood changes suddenly when he recalls that he will have to see Atreus again (412). The speech is far removed from the conventional remarks of homecoming travelers in drama (on which cf. *Ag.* 392a–394a [nn]); it depicts in miniature the conflicting impulses in Thyestes’ character. In two sections of nearly equal length, Thyestes first expresses delight at returning to “wealthy Argos” (*Argolicas opes* 404) and then renewed longing for “escape to the woods” (*silvestres fugas* 412). At the exact center of the speech stands Atreus (412, midway through the 9th line in a speech of 17 lines), whose image thwarts Thyestes’ hopes and makes him turn back toward the safety of exile.

404 Optata: Thyestes’ first word reveals that he is not a true *sapiens*, since he is still subject to hope. (For the link between hope and fear in Seneca’s thinking cf. *Epist.* 5.7; it is precisely Thyestes’ lingering hopes that make him susceptible to anxiety.)

Argolicas opes: Thyestes consciously means only “wealthy Argos” (*opes Argolicae* = *Argos opulentum*, cf. Prop. 3.9.25 *hostes Medorum* = *Medi hostiles*), but the audience comes gradually to see that the literal sense “longed-for wealth of Argos” (with *optatas* supplied from *optata*) more accurately represents Thyestes’ feelings.

405 miseris . . . bonum: Atreus also uses a lead-in phrase with *maximus* in his opening words (176–77). The differences in style and content are telling; see above, p. 44.

miseris: modifies *exulibus*.

406 tractum soli natalis: *natale solum* is Ovidian, cf. *Met.* 7.52, perhaps 8.184, *Pont.* 1.3.35; the combination with *tractus* (“region,” “district”) is apparently new and seems cumbersome, perhaps deliberately so.

patrios deos: i.e., the statues or images of the gods greeted by entering characters in ancient drama, cf. Pl. *Bacch.* 172–73, Sen. *Ag.* 392a–94a *delubra et aras caelitum et patrios lares . . . supplex adoro* (ii).

407 si sunt tamen di: “if there actually are gods,” a remarkably skeptical statement for an opening speech; the closest parallel in Seneca is Jason’s *cri de coeur* at the end of *Medea* (1027) *testare nullos esse qua veheris deos* (and see Costa *ad loc.*). In Stoic terms Thyestes’ lack of faith could be linked to his failure to abide by his principles, cf., e.g., *Epist.* 73.16 *deus ad homines venit, immo . . . in homines venit; nulla sine deo mens bona est*. Paradoxically, Thyestes’ last words in the play profess belief in divine vengeance (1110–11 *vindices aderunt dei* etc.)—a claim that rings hollow in its dramatic context,

and that may be further weakened by the memory of Thyestes' original agnosticism.

si . . . **tamen**: introduces a proviso, cf. Ovid *Pont.* 3.4.3–4 *ut . . . suo faveas mandat, Rufine, Triumpho, / in vestras venit si tamen ille manus.*

407–408 Cyclopum . . . decus: i.e., the walls of Mycenae, built of enormous blocks of stone and therefore said to have been the work of the Cyclopes, cf. Bond on Eur. *Her.* 15, Roscher s.v. "Kyklops" 1687–89. The motif is rare in Latin; besides this passage I know only of *HF* 997–98. The conflation of Argos and Mycenae has precedent in Greek tragedy, see again Bond on *Her.* 15, my edition of *Agamemnon*, pp. 160–61.

408 labore . . . humano: "the work of human hands" (abl. of comparison with *manus*), with *labor* standing by synecdoche for the product of effort, cf. *OLD* s.v., #4, Verg. *Aen.* 7.248 *Iliadum . . . labor vestes*. Thyestes' bland reference to "greater than human effort" makes an interesting counterpart to the lines in the previous act (267–68) where Atreus' own *animus* produces a crime *supra . . . fines moris humani*.

decus: here a "source of distinction" (*OLD* s.v., #2); of a city's walls, cf. Livy 26.48.5 *praecipuum muralis coronae decus*, Sen. *Tro.* 15 *alta muri decora*.

409 celebrata iuveni stadia: "the racing-track thronged by the young men"; *iuveni* is collective singular, cf. Luc. 7.37–38 *te mixto fesset luctu iuvenisque senexque / iniususque puer*, Silius 4.220.

409–10 nobilis . . . tuli: a clear echo of Hor. *C.* 1.1.3–6 *sunt quos curriculo pulverem Olympicum / collegisse iuvat . . . palmaque nobilis / terrarum dominos evehit ad deos*. Thyestes prides himself on the victory that Horace declined to pursue; the reversal of attitude will not have escaped an audience that has just heard the Chorus subscribe to the Horatian viewpoint and even echo the next lines of the same ode (see on 350–57).

nobilis: "renowned," in pointed opposition to the Chorus's wish *plebeius moriar senex* (400).

paterno . . . curru: the allusion to Pelops recalls the conditions under which *his* victory was won (cf. 139–43), casting an even darker shadow over these lines; see also on 660–62.

411 occurret . . . occurret: the repetition suggests excitement, as Thyestes warms to his fantasy of a triumphant reception.

populus occurret frequens: Thyestes thinks the people will greet him as a benefactor or even a ruler, cf. Pacuvius 187 R² *ibo et edicam frequentes ut eant gratatum hospiti*, Verg. *G.* 4.216 (the bees around their *rex*) *circumstant fremitu denso stipantque frequentes*.

populus . . . frequens: in a Roman context the words would denote a large citizen assembly, cf. Cic. *Phil.* 1.32, Sall. *Jug.* 73.7, Livy 7.6.7.

412 sed nempe et Atreus: "yes, but so will Atreus." With *nempe* Thyestes reminds himself of an obvious fact that he has allowed to escape his notice; compare *Tro.* 743–44 *spiritus genitor facit? / sed nempe tractus*, in dialogue *Tro.* 325, 340, ps-Sen. *HO* 437.

repete silvestres fugas: this urge to flee clearly recalls the prologue (68–82); like Tantalus, Thyestes longs for a state that would normally be considered unbearable (cf. 417 *quae putant cuncti aspera*).

silvestres: not just descriptive ("in the forests"), but implying a life of deprivation, cf. *Pha.* 461 (the ascetic Hippolytus) *truculentus et silvester*.

fugas: "places of refuge/exile" (= *perfugia*), cf. Prop. 2.16.40, Ovid *Pont.* 1.2.128 *ut*

propior patriae sit fuga nostra roga.

413–14 mixtam . . . vitam: "an existence shared with wild beasts [*OLD* s.v. *misceo*, #9] and like theirs in style." Thyestes is thinking not just of the hardships of animal life but also of the innocence sometimes attributed to it, cf. *Pha.* 913–14, *Epist.* 95.31 *non pudet homines . . . gaudere sanguine alterno et bella gerere cum inter se etiam mutis ac feris pax sit*, probably Verg. *Aen.* 4.550–51 *sine crimine vitam / degere more ferae*, Mayor on Juv. 15.159. Here too Thyestes speaks more truly than he knows: the life he has returned to is also both "shared with wild beasts" (i.e., Atreus, cf. 546, 721) and "like theirs," in that Thyestes himself is hunted like an animal by Atreus, cf. 286–87, 491–503.

414–15 clarus . . . auferat: "there is no reason why [*non est quod*] this bright gleam of power should dazzle my eyes with its deceptive radiance." Thyestes speaks of power as if it were a precious stone (cf., e.g., Ovid *Pont.* 3.4.23 *nitor argenti . . . et auri*), perhaps an indication of the luxury it implies for him (cf. 455–69).

non est quod: a prosy, perhaps colloquial expression (often in the form *nihil est quod*), of which Seneca is noticeably fond (six uses in *De brevitate vitae* alone), cf. *OLD* s.v. *sum*, #6d.

fulgore: *fulgor* stands for the "flashiness" of a luxurious life in *Epist.* 94.74 *tunc laudant otium lene et sui iuris, odio est fulgor et fuga a rebus adhuc stantibus quaeritur*. (The whole passage is relevant to Thyestes' situation.)

auferat: "carry off for itself" and hence "capture" (*OLD* s.v., #4b), but with a strong suggestion of "mislead" (*ibid.*, #13), cf. *Epist.* 94.74 *secunda rectum auferunt*; the subjunctive is characterizing, cf. AG 535a, K-S 2.278. The combination *auferre oculos* first appears in a mannered line of Ovid (?), *Her.* 12.36 *abstulerant oculi lumina nostra tui*. The idea of being "carried off" (suggesting Thyestes' basic passivity) returns in *abductus* 437.

416 cum . . . aspice: i.e., while power has its specious attraction, concentration on the hateful figure of Atreus (*dantem*) should keep Thyestes from accepting his offer. This attempt at firmness finds expression in a *sententia*, with symmetrically balanced nouns and verbs—*quod datur dantem, spectabis aspice*: neat enough, but no match for the rhetorical power of Atreus. (In fact it is Atreus who looks carefully at Thyestes, cf. 505 *aspice*.)

417–20 As often after a *sententia*, the thought moves in a new direction. Here the effect is especially telling: the confidence that Thyestes has tried to summon (414–16) vanishes, and he faces his dilemma in movingly direct and simple terms.

417 modō: temporal, "a short while ago" or "just now."

417–18 inter . . . laetusque: a paradox stated again in 446–49 with the terms reversed.

418 fortis . . . laetusque: "resolute and cheerful," a favorite Senecan description of the *sapiens*, cf. *Epist.* 30.3 *hoc philosophia praestat, in conspectu mortis hilarem <esse> et in quocumque corporis habitu fortem laetumque*, 54.3, *Prov.* 5.8. The terms are causally linked, since the confidence of an undivided mind brings with it a general sense of well-being, cf. *Tranq.* 16.3 *dicamus 'tanto fortior, tanto felicitior.'*

419 revolvor: probably middle ("I return"), cf. Ovid *Met.* 10.335 *quid in ista revolvor?*, but Thyestes' alienation from himself could justify taking it as a true passive.

haeret: "is stuck" (i.e., in perplexity), cf. *Med.* 309, *OLD* s.v., #9. The combination *animus haeret* is not simply more elevated than *haereo*, but also stresses the sense of

detachment from self that several of Seneca's emotionally divided characters experience, cf., e.g., *Tro.* 642-44, *Med.* 926-28, 939-44, *Ag.* 132-40 (n).

420 *moveo nolentem gradum*: this conflict resembles the state that Atreus wishes to impose on all his subjects, *quod nolunt velint* (212); Thyestes fittingly encounters it as soon as he has returned to Argos and so placed himself under Atreus' jurisdiction. (Thyestes will again suffer his body's resistance when he is about to drink the blood of his sons, 985-86.) See also above, p. 47.

421-28 Thyestes has been communing with himself, and his sons have not heard any of the previous speech. One of them now remarks on their father's strange behavior. Thyestes' next words (423-28) are also addressed to himself, and not until 429 does a true dialogue begin. This combination of soliloquy with comments on the soliloquizing character by others on stage is alien to Greek tragedy, although there is something approaching it in Euripides' *Hecuba* 726-51, where Hecuba soliloquizes while Agamemnon vainly tries to get her attention. More exact analogies are found in New Comedy, cf., e.g., Pl. *Trin.* 843-69 or *Ter. Ad.* 299-320, where Sostrata and Canthara comment on the agitated manner of their slave Geta, but do not overhear his monologue. Compare in particular 421 *pigro (quid hoc est?) genitor incessu stupet* and *Ad.* 305 *me miseram, quidnam est quod sic video timidum et properantem Getam?* (See further *HSCP* 82 [1978], 238-39.) The closest parallel of all, however, is in *Macbeth* 1.3.142, where, after Macbeth's soliloquy beginning "Two truths are told . . ." Banquo says to the other lords on stage "look how our partner's rapt." Both Macbeth and Thyestes become oblivious to their surroundings because of their troubled fascination with the prospect of rule.

As Atreus had foreseen (300-302), Thyestes' children are easily gulled by the offer of reconciliation. Seneca portrays young Tantalus as frankly attracted by power (440-44) and naively convinced that it can be accepted without risk; his misguided certainty makes him the perfect foil for his wiser, but weaker, father.

421 *Pigro . . . incessu*: perhaps a counterpart to the *pigrae manus* that Atreus reproaches in himself (268).

422 *vultum . . . versat*: "turns his face this way and that," cf. Ovid *Tr.* 3.9.21 *dum quid agat quaerit, dum versat in omnia vultus*; perhaps referring specifically to opposite sides of the stage, representing Argos and the forests.

se . . . tenet: *se tenere* stresses volition; it is not "to be uncertain," but "to keep oneself in uncertainty," "to remain uncertain," like *se tenere intra silentium*, "to remain silent," Pliny *Epist.* 4.17.8, or *se tenere in servitio*, "to keep oneself in subjection," Livy 4.35.6.

423 *anime*: the usual indication of a soliloquy in Seneca, see on 192.

423-24 *consilium . . . facile*: it may be significant that the audience cannot at first tell which *consilium* is meant; is the "simple [*facile*] course of action" returning to exile or accepting Atreus' offer? Only Thyestes' further questions show that the former is intended.

424 *torques*: "twist," i.e., by subjecting it to close scrutiny, cf. *Ben.* 4.11.5, Tac. *Hist.* 1.85.3 *versare sententias et huc atque huc torquere*; compare also Sen. *Brev.* 12.4, *Epist.* 100.2, where *torquere* refers to excessive complexity in speech, etc.

424-27 Thyestes' language becomes unusually pointed as he tries to argue himself into doing what he knows is right: each segment of the question *credis . . . metuis . . . fugis* contains a striking conjunction of noun and adjective (*res incertissimae-frater*; *mala-mansueta*; *aerumnas-bene collocatas*), and this elaborate structure is then "capped" with the paradox *esse iam miserum iuvat*.

425 *fratri atque regno*: the uncertainty of power is a commonplace (cf., e.g., *Tro.* 1-4, *Ag.* 57-76), but only a Tantalid would place brothers on the same level (cf. 40 *fratrem expavescat frater*).

426 *mansueta*: "tamed," perhaps suggesting a wild beast that has been subdued (*victa*) and made gentle; *malum mansuetum* is attested only here and in Livy 3.16.4; compare also Ovid *Tr.* 3.6.23 *mansuetior ira*.

426-27 *aerumnas . . . bene collocatas*: "well spent misfortunes," an oxymoron (cf. *VB* 7.6 *honestas miserias*) suggesting that Thyestes' time in exile, though unpleasant, was profitable in that it taught him a true appreciation of external riches. For *bene/male collocare* = "to make good/bad use of" (perhaps a metaphor drawn from investing money), cf. Sen. *Epist.* 93.5, Martial 1.113.3.

427 *iam*: "by now," as in 305 (which this line recalls).

428 *teque eripe*: reminiscent of Hector's warning to Aeneas in Verg. *Aen.* 2.289 *'teque his ait eripe flammis'*. The echo might imply the gravity of the danger that Thyestes is confronting.

430 *visa*: with causal force (i.e., Thyestes turns back "at the sight of" his homeland), cf. Ovid *Met.* 1.490 *Phoebus amat visaeque cupit conubia Daphnes*. There may be a significant echo of *male . . . visas domos* in 3.

430-31 *sinum / subducis*: a graphic (and very Roman) image of rejecting good fortune. The *sinus* is the upper part of the toga, the folds of which were often used for carrying or hiding small objects, cf. Hor. *C.* 2.18.27, Suet. *Cal.* 46, Quint. 7.1.30, Tac. *Ann.* 1.40; in *VB* 23.3 Seneca describes the small-minded man hiding the gifts of fortune "as though keeping a prized possession safely in his pocket" (*intra sinum*). Here the implied image is of someone pulling his toga closely about him so as not to receive the *bona* being showered upon him by Fortune; compare the more explicit picture in *Epist.* 74.6 *nam qui aliquid virtute melius putat aut ullum praeter illam bonum, ad haec quae a Fortuna sparguntur sinum expandit et sollicitus missilia eius expectat*. (The *missilia* are small gifts thrown to the crowds by the emperor, cf. Suet. *Cal.* 18.2, *Nero* 11.2.)

431 *frater . . . redit*: Atreus is returning to normal brotherly affection; the "brother" in him is again dominant. (Seneca's *Medea* speaks of herself in similar terms [927-28], *ira discessit loco / materque tota coniuge expulsa redit*.)

431-32 *redit . . . reddit*: probably deliberate sound-play, cf. Verg. *Aen.* 4.271 *qua spe Libycis teris otia terris*, Juv. 3.127-28 *si curat nocte togatus / currere*.

432-33 *laceratae . . . artus*: a densely-packed and ominous phrase: *componere* here has a medical sense of "setting" broken bones (cf. Celsus 8.10.2), but it both looks back to the Chorus's belief that the brothers have "composed" their differences (338) and forward to the moment when Atreus carefully "arranges" the children before killing them (694); *laceratae domus* is also bitterly ironic, foreshadowing the dismemberment of Thyestes' sons (cf. 60-61) and their "rending" by their father (cf. 277-78).

433 *te . . . restituit tibi*: Tantalus means only that Atreus will restore Thyestes to his own kingdom, but his words imply an identification between Thyestes and power that is close to being true. In its immediate context the statement is ironic in a different way: the thought of seeing Atreus has had just the opposite of the effect Tantalus speaks of, dividing Thyestes from himself, cf. 419-20, 436-37.

434 *Causam . . . ignoro*: here Thyestes closely resembles the figure spoken of by the Chorus, *nimis notus omnibus . . . ignotus sibi* (402-403).

ipse: with *ignoro*.

436 *membra . . . labant*: epic phrasing, used by Vergil of an exhausted fighter (*Aen.* 5.432) and of Turnus made weak-kneed by terror (*Aen.* 12.905).

437 *alio . . . quam quo nitor*: *alio* and *quo* are adverbial, "in another direction than the one in which I am struggling (to go)."

abductus feror: the theme of futile resistance (above, p. 47) in an extreme form; Thyestes speaks like a helpless victim, perhaps from a wish to see his actions as forced on him rather than chosen (cf. 488–89, 542 *regni . . . impositi*).

438–39 *sic . . . refert*: word-order and phrasing precisely reflect the struggle between Thyestes' rational determination to flee and his equally strong desire to be rich and powerful again; Phaedra uses comparable terms of her efforts to resist passion (181–83), but presents the battle as already lost. (For other sea-similes of this kind cf. Ovid *Met.* 8.470–72, Sen. *Med.* 939–42, *Ag.* 139–40 [n].)

438 *concitatam*: "spurred," with an implied metaphor from horse-riding, cf. Livy 30.25.8, 37.11.10, Curtius 4.3.2.

remige et velo: to use both oars and sails is to make a supreme effort, as Aeneas' men do when escaping Charybdis (*Aen.* 3.563); cf. also Cic. *Fam.* 12.25.3 *ventis remis in patriam omni festinatione properavi*, Ovid *Met.* 3.663, Otto s.v. *remus*. The wording here is closest to Ovid *Met.* 6.445 *veloque et remige portus / Cecropios intrat*.

440 *Evince quidquid obstat*: "overcoming all obstacles" is the act of a courageous hero (cf. *HF* 558 *evincas utinam iura ferae Stygis*), but Tantalus, with unconscious irony, applies it to suppressing one's better judgment.

441 *reducem*: modifying *te* understood.

vide: the counterpart of Thyestes' charge to himself in 416 *dantem aspice*.

442–44 The divided lines present the opposing viewpoints in the most highly concentrated form possible. (On stichomythia see above on 204–19; on this passage cf. Seidensticker, 104–109.) The exchange shows that Thyestes has a clear grasp of the principles he will soon betray.

442 *pater*: the vocative lends urgency to this phase of the dialogue.

Cum possim mori: "(yes,) since I have the ability to die." Thyestes here sees *regnum* in the same terms as the Chorus, cf. 365–68.

443 *Nulla . . . nihil*: Thyestes interrupts his son and finishes the sentence on his own terms, a sign of firm control, cf. *Med.* 171 *'Medea'—'Fiam.'* This thought too is an echo of the previous ode, cf. 342–49.

Nulla: "of no importance/value," cf. *OLD* s.v., #1f, Livy 6.18.8 *vindex vester . . . nullus repente fui*.

444 *Natis . . . duos*: i.e., leaving his kingdom to his children would bring no benefit, since power cannot be shared and would only provoke strife between the heirs. Thyestes' decisiveness here contrasts with his failure in the next scene to dispute Atreus' claim *recipit hoc regnum duos* (i.e., himself and Thyestes).

Non . . . duos: a virtual axiom in ancient views of absolute power, cf. *Ag.* 259 *nec regna socium ferre nec taedae sciunt* (n); Seneca himself was cited as a rare exception, see above, p. 5 and n. 24.

445 *Miser . . . potest*: "does anyone who can be happy prefer being wretched?" Tantalus has not heard 425–28 and so invites his father to explain what seems a perverse preference for "misery" (cf. 427) over good fortune.

446–70 Thyestes' fullest exposition of his beliefs and also Seneca's most subtle depiction of this divided character. The speech may appear at first a mere string of commonplaces, a eulogy of the simple life such as can be found in nearly every Latin writer from Lucretius to Juvenal; its arrangement and style, however, suggest a more complex attitude. Tantalus' original question is fully answered in the opening section, which culminates in 454 *malam bonae praeferre fortunam licet*. Both logically and rhetorically this line has the force of a conclusion, and it should perhaps seem surprising that Thyestes then launches into a long description of the *bona fortuna* he has rejected. The progress of thought in this section—"I may not have A or B or C, but I *do* enjoy X and Y and Z"—is traditional (see on 455–69), but the negative and positive sides of the picture are grossly unbalanced, and there is also a clear difference in style between them: what Thyestes says about the advantages of poverty, both at 449–52 and 468–69, sounds vague and pallid when compared to the vivid detail he lavishes on the life of luxury. The gusto with which Thyestes enumerates the trappings of wealth seems a clear sign that he does not find this existence as distasteful as he claims.

Denunciation of wealth was a standard theme of Hellenistic popular philosophy, enthusiastically adopted by Roman poets from the late Republic onward, cf. Nisbet-Hubbard on Hor. *C.* 2.18 (pp. 288–89). This was also a set topic in schools of declamation, cf. S. F. Bonner, *Roman Declamation* (Berkeley, 1949), 61; J. de Decker, *Iuvenalis declamans* (Ghent, 1912), 144–51. Its treatment by Seneca's teacher Papius Fabianus is preserved in Sen. *Contr.* 2.1.11–13, and is close to Thyestes' speech in several details. Elsewhere in Seneca cf. *Pha.* 483–525, *Epist.* 122.5–9.

446 *Mihi crede*: Thyestes strikes a superior tone of wisdom earned by experience (like the *praeceptor* of Ovid's *Ars Amatoria*, cf., e.g., 1.66, 2.259).

446–47 *magna . . . dura*: neuter pls. as substantives, "great things" (= the state of being prominent, etc.) and "harsh conditions"; cf. Verg. *G.* 4.176 *si parva licet componere magnis*.

446 *falsis . . . nominibus*: i.e., if the terms '*magna*' and '*dura*' were scrutinized, it would be clear that they were being misapplied; for this type of argument by redefinition cf. *Oed.* 1034–36 *iacet ferro meus / coniunx—quid illum nomine haud vero vocas? / socer est*, Ovid *Met.* 5.524–26 *si modo nomina rebus / addere vera placet, non hoc iniuria factum, / sed amor est*. In his prose works Seneca often attacks what he regards as misconceptions based on faulty definition—*falsa nomina* or *falsae opiniones*—, cf. *Ben.* 1.5.5, *Epist.* 90.34, 94.6, 33, 110.8, 119.12.

447 *excelsus*: of "lofty" position cf. *Ag.* 58–59 *in praecipiti / dubioque locas nimis excelsos* (n, and pp. 182–83 for the commonplace that those in high places lead anxious lives). By contrast, Atreus in his triumph glories in being *caelitum excelsissimus* (911).

448–49 *ipsum . . . lateris*: "the sword I carried at my own side"; that is, the means needed for safety were themselves causes for worry. The detail is balanced by 468, *tuta sine telo est domus*.

450 *obstare nulli*: "to get in nobody's way" (i.e., because the lowly have no ambitions that might conflict with those of others).

capere securas dapes: the stress (here and in 452) on not worrying about what one eats has, of course, an ironic appropriateness for Thyestes.

451 *humi iacentem*: i.e., rather than reclining on high couches (as Thyestes himself does later, cf. 909); compare Lucretius' beautiful picture of simple refreshment enjoyed *prostrati in gramine molli* (2.39). The phrase also works metaphorically, opposing those at "ground level" to the "heights" of luxury (*excelsus*), cf. on 343 *iaceat*, 456 *humilis*.

scelera . . . casas: the *casa* is a humble dwelling; the sentiment is the same as in Juvenal's memorable phrase *rarus venit in cenacula miles* (10.18).

452 *mensa . . . angusta*: probably an echo of Hor. C. 2.16.13–16 *vivitur parvo bene, cui paternum / splendet in mensa tenui salinum, / nec levis somnos timor aut cupido / sordidus aufert*. Seneca is characteristically more extreme in his categories: Horace's *tenuis* implies "simplicity rather than indigence" (Nisbet-Hubbard *ad loc.*), whereas *angustus* can suggest actual poverty, as in Hor. C. 3.2.1 *angustam . . . pauperiem pati*, Juv. 3.164–65 *haud facile emergunt quorum virtutibus obstat / res angusta domi* ("slow rises worth, by poverty oppressed" Johnson).

scyphus: strictly defined as a two-handled vessel of large capacity, *scyphus* is a generic term for a drinking-cup as early as Cicero, cf. *Fam.* 7.22, *Tusc.* 1.97. At *Pha.* 208 a *vilis scyphus* stands for plain living (corresponding to *mensa . . . angusta*), but here the emphasis is signaled by *tutus*, marking the contrast of carefree poverty and anxious wealth. [In both places *scyphus* is a conjecture for *cibus*; here the change seems justified to avoid repetition with 450 *capere . . . dapes* and to obtain a closer antithesis to the drinking in 453.]

453 in *auro*: *aurum* stands by metonymy for *pocula ex auro facta*, and golden goblets are a standard emblem of luxury, cf. Ag. 878 (n), *Pha.* 518–19 *sollicito bibunt / auro superbi*. Thyestes' next words (*expertus loquor*) are cruelly ironic, since he is shortly to drink something more dreadful than poison from a silver goblet (913).

454 *licet*: "one may," i.e., there are reasonable grounds for this choice. [Heinsius' *libet* would make the statement more personal, but Thyestes, although using himself as an example, is arguing a universal proposition.]

455–69 In stating a preference for the simple life, it was customary first to list the comforts one thereby lacked, then the blessings that outweighed these losses (a type of "priamel," on which see 344–47 and note *ad loc.*); cf. Lucr. 2.24–33, Verg. G. 2.461–74, Hor. C. 2.18.1–4, Sen. *Epist.* 86.9–10, *Tranq.* 1.5–7, *Culex* 58–97. In all these passages there is either a close balance of negative and positive statements or else a clear weighting toward the positive. (The passage from *De tranquillitate animi*, too long to cite in full, is especially worth consulting on this point.) Thyestes' speech is therefore conspicuous for the length of its catalogue of absent pleasures, which makes the two lines on the benefits of poverty seem perfunctory. [There is a similar imbalance in Ovid's account of the Golden Age in *Met.* 1.89–100, perhaps meant to imply the unreality of that idealized state.]

Many of the items in Thyestes' list are staples of the Roman iconography of excess, but one or two carry more specific associations. For example, not even the most abandoned hedonist in declamation oratory competed with Jupiter for divine honors (463–64); here at least the life Thyestes is describing must suggest that of a Roman emperor. (It may be relevant that the same paragraph in Suetonius' life of "Caligula" [22] records his enormous extension of the imperial palace [cf. 455–56] and the institution of his own divine cult.)

456 *imminentem*: "overhanging" (i.e., *civitati*), with clear connotations of menace and oppression, cf. *Tro.* 1085, *Oed.* 228 (and note 642–43 below, especially *urbem premit*). [This is Bentley's correction of the transmitted *imminentem*, which adds little to the previous phrase (*vertice alti montis impositam*) and which fails to account for the fear felt by the city below.]

456 *humilis*: the town is "low-lying" in relation to the *palazzo* on the hill-top, but also "humble" in status; it trembles at the house on the hill because of its inordinate size. The whole picture is strikingly similar to the account of the *domus Pelopia* in 641–45, a resemblance that suggests Thyestes is drawing on his own experience in sketching the life of the powerful.

457 *altis . . . tectis*: "on the lofty ceilings" (abl. of place); the reference is to ivory inlay on the *lacunaria*, or ornamental panel-work, cf. Hor. C. 2.18.1–2 *non ebur neque aureum / mea renidet in domo lacunar*, Sen. *NQ* 1 pr. 8 *lacunaria ebore fulgentia*.

458 *excubitor*: the highly specific word might make a Roman audience think of the imperial palace, cf. Suet. *Claud.* 42.1, *Nero* 8.

459 *non classibus piscamur*: i.e., I do not employ a fleet to catch fish for my dinner—an original (and delightfully hyperbolic) addition to the commonplace.

et: connects *piscamur* and *fugamus*, both governed by *non* (see on 774 below).

459–60 *retro . . . mole*: i.e., by driving piles into the sea-bed to serve as foundations for building, a practice often decried by moralists as unnatural, cf. Hor. C. 3.1.33–34, Sen. *Contr.* 2.1.13 (Fabianus) *litoribus quoque moles iniungunt congestisque in altum terris exaggerant sinus*, Sen. *Epist.* 89.21 *nec contenti solo nisi quod manu feceritis, mare agetis introrsus*, 122.8, Nisbet-Hubbard on Hor. C. 2.18.21.

460–61 *nec . . . gentium*: the reference is to the gourmet's fondness for imported delicacies, but *tributum gentium* recalls the tax levied by Rome on its provinces and thus implies the misuse of public wealth. Seneca is almost certainly echoing a phrase of the declaimer Argentarius quoted in his father's collection of *Suasoriae* (6.7): *popina tributo gentium instruitur*, referring to the lavish dinners of the "Second Triumvirate." In *Cons. Helv.* 10.5 Seneca applied the point to the gluttonous "Caligula," who despite great effort *vix tamen invenit quomodo trium provinciarum tributum una cena feret*.

460 *improbum*: "remorseless," unsparing in its demands, cf. Austin on Verg. *Aen.* 4.386. Seneca may be refashioning Vergil's comparison (at *Aen.* 2.356–57) of the Trojans to ravenous wolves, *quos improba ventris / exegit caecos rabies*; by having *improbus* modify *venter* Seneca makes the organ seem to exert a will of its own. (Compare Fabianus *ap.* Sen. *Contr.* 2.1.11 *an, ne quid ventri negetur libidinique, orbis servitium expetendum est?*)

461 *gentium*: "whole nations," like *populi* in 648 below; cf. *Clem.* 1.8.5 (Seneca to Nero) *loqui non potes nisi ut vocem tuam quae ubique sunt gentes excipiant*.

mihi: "for my benefit," "to feed me."

462 *ultra Getas . . . et Parthos*: Roman terms for "at the ends of the earth"; for the frame of reference, cf. 369–79, 383–84.

463–64 *non ture . . . arae*: aspiring to divine honors is a form of megalomania found in myth, e.g., in Ovid's story of Niobe, which has influenced Seneca's wording (cf. *Met.* 6.171–72 *cur colitur Latona per aras, / numen adhuc sine ture meum est?*). But Roman audiences would surely have thought as well of their own emperors, most notably Gaius, who had replaced the head of a statue of Jupiter with his own likeness (cf. *excluso Iove*) and had styled himself *Iuppiter Latiaris* (Suet. *Cal.* 22).

464–65 *nulla . . . silva*: roof-top gardens are mentioned as early as Cicero (*frag.* F. 5.78), but were more common—or at least more often denounced—in the early Principate, cf. Sen. *Contr.* 5.5 in *summis culminibus mentita nemora*, Sen. *Epist.* 122.8 *non vivunt contra naturam qui pomaria in summis turribus serunt?*, with Summers's note.

465 nutat: *nutare* of swaying tree-tops can describe a placid (Verg. *Aen.* 9.682) or an ominous motion (*Aen.* 2.629). Here Thyestes pictures trees moving in a gentle breeze, but the darker meanings predominate in the Messenger's description of the palace grove (654–55). Cf. also Sen. *Epist.* 122.8 *silvae in tectis domuum ac fastigiis nutant*.

465–66 nec fumant . . . stagna: “nor do I have smoking pools, heated by many hands.” Since water could only be heated by manual labor, an abundant supply of hot water was an advertisement of wealth, cf. Sen. *Epist.* 86.9 (of baths in simpler times) *non suffundebatur aqua nec recens semper velut ex calido fonte currebat*.

manu . . . multa: collective singular, cf. Hor. *C.* 1.5.1 *multa . . . in rosa*, Luc. 2.454 *multo milite*, K-S 1.67–70.

466 stagna: *stagnum* of a bathing-pool or basin is not attested before Seneca, and may imply enormous size; the *calentia stagna* of *Epist.* 122.8 are large enough for simulated sea-storms. (In Tac. *Ann.* 15.64.4 Seneca commits suicide by entering a *stagnum calidae aquae*.)

466–67 nec somno . . . datur: the perversity of drinking by night and sleeping by day is the subject of *Epist.* 122. The topic was usually handled with sardonic wit (cf. Mayor on *Juv.* 8.11, Sen. *Contr.* 3.1), but Thyestes' language is noticeably free of mockery or invective. Thyestes himself, of course, will invert day and night by over-indulgence in food and drink; the irony may explain why this item ends the catalogue of luxuries.

467 Baccho: by metonymy for “drinking,” cf. 973 *satias . . . me . . . Bacchi tenet*.

iungenda: predicative with *datur*: “night is given to drinking to be joined to it,” cf. *gestandus . . . venit* 7; *iungere* suggests that the union is not a natural one, cf. *Oed.* 54 *iuvenes . . . senibus iungit* (*sc. pestis*), a subtlety missing from the otherwise close parallel in *Just. Epit.* 12.13.7 *cum diei noctem perviligem iunxisset*.

468 non timemur: not being feared (and therefore hated) is one of the advantages of low status, cf. *Epist.* 105.4. Thyestes means the plural as generalizing (“we lowly people”), but an audience could see irony in its application to Thyestes himself, who is very much feared by his brother (cf. 40, 289–93, 314–16).

469 rebus . . . quies: another statement whose relevance to Thyestes is open to dispute: Atreus denies that his brother can accept *quies* (199).

[*magna*: this is E's reading; A has *alta*, which has good Senecan parallels (cf. *Ag.* 596 *pax alta*, *Clem.* 1.1.8 *securitas alta*), but which is for that reason more likely to be the result of interpolation (to which A is more prone than E). The banal antithesis *parvis-magna* suits the level of Thyestes' rhetoric. See also Leo, *Obs.*, 39.]

470 immane . . . pati: “to be able to do without a kingdom is itself an enormous kingdom.” This attempt at a ringing *sententia* falls short of conviction. The choice of *pati* is revealing, a sort of “Freudian slip”: by saying that he can “manage” or “get along” without a kingdom, Thyestes shows that he—unlike the Chorus, cf. 343, 380, 390—regards power as a positive good. For this sense of *pati* cf. *OLD* s.v., #6; in the other examples cited (Sen. *Contr.* 2.2.4, Sen. *NQ* 3 *pr.* 6) the things done without are clearly of great worth, a *vir* and a *patria*. [Lucan gives the idiom a characteristically sharp point: at 5.314 he urges Caesar *disce sine armis / posse pati*—“learn how to get along without warfare,” implying that in Caesar's warped view war is a desirable thing.]

471–90 From this point on Thyestes gradually gives ground. His replies lack the firmness he showed earlier (442–44): he does not, e.g., dispute any of his son's gnomic assertions, however dubious (cf. 474–75, 487, 489–90), nor does he question Tantalus' faith in the gods (471, 489–90), even though it conflicts with his own skepticism (407). It is as

though his long speech has weakened rather than strengthened his resolve, by reminding him of the comforts he has lacked in exile.

471–72 Nec abnuendum . . . nec appetendum est: the balanced phrases strike a judicious tone, cf. Cic. *Sen.* 72 *illud breve vitae reliquum nec avidè appetendum senibus nec sine causa deserendum*. Both manner and content resemble Seneca's defence of his own wealth, cf. *VB* 23.2 *patrimonio per honesta quaesito nec gloriabitur nec erubescet*, 3 *mag-nas opes, munus fortunae* [cf. 430–31] *fructumque virtutis, non repudiabit nec excludet*.

473 errat . . . dolus: see on 282 above.

474–75 Redire . . . amor: Tantalus keeps up the knowing, gnomic tone. His appeal to the claims of kinship is plausible in general terms (cf. 549–59), but in this context it is clearly false: Atreus has formally banished *Pietas*, cf. 249–50 *excede, Pietas, si modo in nostra domo / unquam fuisti*.

476–82 Thyestes reacts incredulously to the suggestion that there could be love between himself and Atreus. It was common to lend emphasis to an assertion by claiming either that it will remain true as long as the universe obeys its laws or else that the operations of nature will cease before it is invalidated. (For examples see Smith on *Tib.* 1.4.65–66, Nisbet-Hubbard on *Hor. C.* 1.29.10.) The device is found here in an appropriately abnormal form: instead of supporting an assurance of undying love, or fame, or gratitude—cf., e.g., Prop. 1.15.29–30, 2.15.31–34, Verg. *Ecl.* 1.60–64, *Aen.* 1.607–10, Ovid *Pont.* 4.5.41–44—, Thyestes uses it to bolster a conviction of perennial hatred (similarly *Med.* 401–407). The cosmic scale of the impossible events (*adynata*) traditionally invoked also takes on a new significance: nature's laws *will* be overturned before the play is over, precisely because of an ostensible *foedus* between Atreus and Thyestes.

Thyestes lists two groups of *adynata*, first a miscellaneous set of unnatural phenomena (476–80 *terris*), then a trio of unthinkable unions (480–82 fire-water, death-life, sea-wind). It may be oversubtle to suggest that Thyestes is meant to sound long-winded, but these lines certainly lack the power of Medea's thrilling speech beginning *dum terra caelum media libratum feret* (401–407).

476–77 aetherias . . . pontus: the circumpolar constellations *Ursa Major* and *Minor* (= the two “bears” or *Arcti*) were never “drenched by the sea”; compare *Med.* 405 *dum siccas polus / versabit Arctos*, where *siccus* corresponds to *aetherias*. The Chorus foresees this *adynaton* coming to pass in 867–74 below.

477 Arctos: fem. pl.

477–78 Siculi . . . unda: “the ravaging waves of the stormy Sicilian sea.” The strait between Italy and Sicily at Messina was notoriously dangerous, cf. 577–87 below, *Epist.* 14.8. For *Siculus aestus* cf. *Stat. S.* 1.3.97–98 *si . . . Siculos . . . per aestus / sit via*, *Th.* 10.623 *repercussum Libyco mare . . . ab aestu*. The somewhat vague combination *unda aestus* (gen.) is, to my knowledge, without parallel, but Ovid (?) *Her.* 21.41–42 [*navem*] *quam . . . Boreas propellit, aestus et unda refert* comes close, especially if *aestus et unda* is taken as a hendiadys. [I owe special thanks to Otto Zwierlein for help with this note.]

480 ante: carries on the construction begun with *prius* (476).

cum flammis aquae: an especially popular *adynaton*, cf. Otto s.v. *aqua* 1, Luck on Ovid *Tr.* 1.8.4.

481–82 fidem / foedusque: Thyestes' certainty that Atreus is incapable of *fides* and *foedus* is proven true in the final scene, cf. 1024 *hoc foedus? haec est gratia? haec fratris fides?*

482 Quam . . . times?: Tantalus challenges his father to define the danger he fears: *fraus* is here a specific act of deception (*OLD* s.v., #5), and *tamen* alludes to the shift in the argument ("granted that Atreus may hate you, what precisely are you afraid of?").

483 timori . . . modum: for other denials of *modus*, cf. 26, 255, 1051–52.

484 tantum . . . odit: "his potential (i.e., for harm) is as great as his hatred"—implying that neither has any limit. (An interesting extension of a common experience, that of being able to do something normally beyond one's powers when driven by a strong emotion. Ovid had invoked it to explain miraculous feats of agility, cf. *Met.* 4.528 *vires insania fecit*, 11.731, but Seneca goes further: Atreus' boundless *ira* and *odium* free him from all normal human restraints, and so in a perverse way he becomes omnipotent. See also on 885.)

485–86 vos . . . timendum: Thyestes' concern for his children may be quite genuine (cf. 975, 996), but by shifting the ground of his resistance from himself to them he also makes it easier to follow Tantalus' advice (488). By contrast, Atreus refuses to let concern for his own children deflect him from his purpose, 321–30.

486 cautus: emphatic, introducing Tantalus' new point ("are you afraid of being taken in, seeing that you are on your guard?"). [All Mss read *captus*, and *cautus* is a conjecture of Madvig. *Decipi captus times?* can only mean "are you afraid of being deceived, having been caught already?"; this would in fact describe Thyestes' situation, but there is no way for Tantalus to utter this sentiment. Madvig's emendation removes the problem and gives *cavendi* in 487 a needed point of reference.]

487 Serum . . . malis: to parry Tantalus' stress on wariness (*cautus*), Thyestes points out that prudence comes too late for one who has gone as far as he has. The thought is impeccably commonplace (cf. Publ. Syr. 684 *sero in periculis est consilium quaerere*), but the conclusion Thyestes draws is the opposite of that implied by conventional morality: he sees the contradictions of his position but uses them as a pretext for abandoning the fight. (See again at 964.) [Line 487 is given to Thyestes by S² and several late Mss, to Tantalus by E PCS¹. The attribution to Thyestes has been supported by Zwierlein, *Philologus* 113 (1969), 264–65, who well states the arguments in its favor: 487 does not suit the confident Tantalus, and the echo *cautus-cavendi* should accompany a change of speaker, as consistently in this dialogue, cf. 472–73 *rogat / rogat*, 475–76 *amor / amat*, 482–83 *times / timori*, 484 *potest / potest*, 484–85 *in te / pro me*.]

488 eatur: see on 330 (and for the abruptness of Thyestes' announcement, cf. 542, *HF* 1295).

testor: "I declare" (by some public word or action, here by saying so openly).

489 vos sequor, non duco: a pathetic attempt to salve his conscience, which convicts him of failure to fulfill a parent's role as guide and model. Thyestes' willingness to "follow" links him with Tantalus as seen in the prologue, cf. 100 *sequor* (Tantalus' last word). [For "leading" and "following" as metaphors for a proper set of moral priorities, cf. *Ben.* 6.43.3.]

Respiciet: "will regard with favor," cf. *Ag.* 407 (n).

490 bene cogitata: "what has been well devised," by which Tantalus means the course of action he has urged on Thyestes, but which surely makes an audience think of Atreus' "well-devised" plot (cf. 320 *cogitas*, the only other appearance of the verb in the play).

perge: Tantalus re-enacts the exhortation of the Fury to his namesake (23).

non dubio gradu: reversing *nolentem gradum* in 420.

491–511 Atreus enters, catches sight of Thyestes and his sons, and delivers a long aside before addressing Thyestes in 508. The speech is a brilliantly original crossing of two dramatic conventions, the entrance-monologue of late Euripides and New Comedy (see on 404–20) and the "eavesdropping aside" of New Comedy, in which a character makes unnoticed remarks about his own intended actions or about the behavior of other characters on stage before a dialogue begins (cf., e.g., Men. *Dysc.* 149–52, Ter. *Ad.* 450–53). Seneca reverses the usual pattern of having a character already on stage comment aside on a new arrival and extends the aside to a length unparalleled even in Plautus. The result may be "untheatrical" by certain standards, but it is undeniably effective, displaying both Atreus' absolute control of the situation and his keen enjoyment of his power over Thyestes. The speech is also a pointed counterpart to Thyestes' opening monologue: while Thyestes' internal conflict withdrew him from his surroundings, Atreus remains intensely aware of every detail in the scene he is manipulating, from the pounding excitement of his own heart to the matted tangle of Thyestes' beard. [On asides in New Comedy, cf. D. Bain, *Actors and Audience* (Oxford, 1977), 105–34; in Seneca, Zwierlein, *Rezitationsdramen*, 63–67, also *HSCP* 82 (1978), 241–46.]

491 Plagis . . . fera: the hunting metaphor has been foreshadowed at 286–87.

tenetur: "is caught," cf. *Tro.* 630, *Med.* 550 (also in an aside); see on 973.

492 una: adverbial, "together."

generis invisi indolem: "the promise of this hateful race"; *indoles* often denotes an individual's "potential" or "capacity" (cf. *indoles virtutis* in Cic. *Cael.* 39 etc., *pro indole* "as a sign of promise," Quint. 12.6.3), and so *indoles generis* refers to the children who represent the race's potential for continued existence, cf. *Pha.* 869 *per . . . natorum indolem* ("by the promise of our children"). The language shows Atreus' characteristic skill and invention: the abstract (*indoles*) for the concrete (*liberi*) singles out the aspect of the children that most concerns him (see on *tumor Hadriae* 362); while *invisi* clashes with the normal positive overtones of *indoles* to produce an effective oxymoron (as one might speak of the "healthy growth" of a noxious weed).

493 cerno: points the parallel with Thyestes' first speech, cf. *cerno* 407.

tuto in loco: perhaps meant to be contrasted with *tuto positus loco* in 365.

494 versantur odia: *versari* here seems to mean "live/dwell/pass one's time" (*OLD* s.v., #10), cf. 280–81 *tam diu cur innocens / versatur Atreus?*, Ovid *Pont.* 1.2.15 *hostibus in mediis interque pericula versor*. Its use with *odia* is a remarkably strong personification, a sign of the way Senecan characters regard their emotions almost as living things; see on 496, 1056, *Med.* 953 *ira, qua ductis, sequor*. The echo of 280–81 might even suggest that Atreus thinks of his *odia* as nearly synonymous with himself, a notion taken up later, cf. 713.

494–95 venit . . . venit: this form of emphatic repetition (called *anadiplosis* or *epanalepsis*) conveys particularly strong emotion: savage joy, as here and *Ag.* 1011 *tam iam iuvat vixisse post Troiam, iuvat*, or deep bitterness, as in Andromache's ironic command at *Tro.* 901–902 *celebrate Pyrrhi, Troades, conubia, / celebrate digne* (with *digne* a pointed addition like *totus* here). Other examples in Canter, 157–58.

495 et totus quidem: "yes, and all of him," referring to the children; for Atreus "Thyestes" includes his offspring (note *iunctam parenti* 493, implying an inseparable bond). For *totus* compare *Tro.* 613–14 *nunc advoca astus, anime, nunc fraudes, dolos, / nunc totum Ulixem*.

496 vix . . . vix: Atreus' eagerness recalls Ovidian descriptions of characters scarcely able to contain erotic feelings, cf. *Met.* 2.862 (Jupiter) *vix iam, vix cetera differt*, 4.350 (Salmacis) *vix . . . moram patitur, vix iam sua gaudia differt*. The prospect of bloodshed gives Atreus the intense pleasure usually derived from sex.

vix tempero animo: "I can scarcely restrain my *animus*," a remarkable expression. In this sense *temperare* is most often combined with a reflexive pronoun (*mihi, sibi*, etc.) or a word denoting a part of the body (*linguae, manibus, oculis*, etc.). In the only other instance of *temperare animo* I have found, Curt. 5.9.9 *haud mirum est Dareum non temperasse animo, animus* means something like "temper" or "anger"; the closest parallel of all is perhaps Livy 5.45.7 *vix temperavisse animis quin extemplo impetum facerent*, where *temperare animis* is "to restrain oneself" (so too Vell. Pat. 2.34.2). This could be its meaning here, but there might be some point in taking *animo* as analogous to *manibus* or *linguae*, i.e., as naming the part of Atreus that is barely under control (cf. 267-68).

vix dolor frenos capit: the image of "reining in" emotions is common, cf. *Ag.* 207 (n), but here the metaphor takes on an unexpected life: having cast his *dolor* in the form of a hunting dog straining at the leash, Atreus elaborates the idea in a formal simile.

capit: "endures," "accepts," cf. 255.

497-503 The most striking instance of a typical feature of Senecan tragedy, the use of "epic" similes in dramatic speech; for other examples cf. *Ag.* 138-40, *Pha.* 181-83, *Med.* 382-84, 940-42, *Tro.* 572-76, 794-98. These similes, especially those in which characters describe their own feelings, have often been criticized as far-fetched or unnatural (cf., e.g., Zwierlein, *Rezitationsdramen*, 118 n. 17, Fantham on *Tro.* 672-76), but none is without dramatic point, and the most successful are well adapted to the character who delivers them. With Atreus, the length and detail of the simile reflect the control of language he shows throughout; one might suggest that the heaping up of descriptive phrases (*longo . . . loro, presso . . . ore, lento . . . odore, tacito . . . rostro, cervice tota*) demonstrates the conscious pleasure he takes in imagining the scene. (At another level it is apt for Atreus to liken himself to an animal, since he is later compared to a ravenous tiger and lion, cf. 707-11, 732-36.)

Seneca may have derived some touches from the well-known similes of hunting dogs in Verg. *Aen.* 12.749-57 and Ovid *Met.* 1.533-38, but his simile is closer to a passage of Ennius' *Annales* (340-42 V²) in which an army impatient to meet the enemy is compared to a dog that has scented its prey: *veluti si quando vinculis venatica velox / apta solet si forte ferax ex nare sagaci / sensit, voce sua nictit ululatque ibi acute*.

497 sagax: "keen-scented," as in Ennius; cf. also Silius 3.295-96 *Umbra . . . sagax*.

498 UMBER: perhaps suggested by Vergil's *viduus UMBER* in *Aen.* 12.753. Umbrian dogs were renowned for their scent but were not given to attacking animals larger than themselves (cf. Grattius *Cyn.* 171-73); hence this dog barks for its master when it smells the prey close at hand. [In a simile influenced by these lines, Lucan speaks of dogs who do not bark even when the prey is found, but who signal by silently tugging on their leashes, 4.437-44.]

499 scrutatur: "probes"; Seneca is fond of this strongly graphic word, cf. *Tro.* 812 with Fantham's note.

dum: "as long as," with generalizing indicatives *sentit, pererrat*; it is balanced by *cum* 501.

499-500 lento . . . odore: "by its lingering smell"; *lento* of things slow to fade or cease, cf. Ovid *Her.* 2.9 *spes quoque lenta fuit*, Sen. *NQ* 4B.4.3 *bruma lentas pluvias habet et tenues*.

500 päret: "it obeys" (i.e., it does not try to get free of the leash).

501 pererrat: the verb suggests diligently traversing an area, cf. Verg. *Aen.* 5.441, 11.766, Ovid *Met.* 3.6, 9.645.

fuit: either generalizing perfect ("when the prey is closer") or an example of *esse* as a verb of motion, almost = *venire* ("when the prey has come nearer"), cf. Lewis and Short s.v. *sum* I.B.6; in this case *propior* would be the equivalent of, e.g., *in amicitiam* or *in conspectum* in Cic. *Caec.* 66, Suet. *Aug.* 16.

502 cervice tota: i.e., with all the strength in its neck.

503 morantem: seen from the animal's perspective.

seque retinenti eripit: perhaps an adaptation of Ovid *Met.* 1.537-38 *ipsis / morsibus eripitur* (a hare plucking itself from a hound's mouth).

retinenti: abl. of separation ("from the one holding it back"), cf. Livy 29.32.5 *sequentibus se eripuit*.

504 cum sperat ira sanguinem: just as the imagery of *vix dolor frenos capit* (496) anticipates the hunting-simile, so *sperat sanguinem* carries that language back into the actual situation.

nescit: *nescire* often denotes an absolute impossibility, cf. Hor. *AP* 390 *nescit vox missa reverti*, Sen. *Ag.* 113 *qui redire nescit cum perit pudor*. Atreus relishes the difficulty of the role he is about to assume.

505 aspice, ut: "just look at how . . ."; the construction is found in elevated poetry (cf., e.g., Verg. *Ecl.* 4.52), but here the tone of amused disgust gives it a colloquial flavor, cf. Pl. *Most.* 855 *quin tu illam aspice ut placide accubat*, Ovid *Ars* 1.315 *aspice ut ante ipsum teneris exsultet in herbis* (also illustrating exasperated address to a purely imaginary listener).

505-506 ut multo . . . coma: i.e., *ut coma, gravis multo squalore, obruat maestos cultus*. A small masterpiece of parody: the droll hyperbole in *obruat* and the arch symmetry of the word order reveal Atreus' scorn for the moral pretensions symbolized by Thyestes' hirsute appearance. (The closest parallel is in Seneca's warning to Lucilius in *Epist.* 5.2 against pseudo-philosophers who parade their ascetic habits: *asperum cultum et intonsum caput et neglegentiorum barbam et indictum argento odium et cubile humi positum* [cf. 451] *et quidquid aliud ambitionem perversa via sequitur evita*.)

505 gravis: perhaps an anticipation of the more sinister "heaviness" that afflicts Thyestes later, cf. 781, 910, etc.

506 squalore . . . coma: almost a "Golden Line" (abCBA, with *squalore* relating to *coma* through *gravis*), see on 10 above.

obruat: Thyestes' hair "obliterates" his features, cf. Lucilius 597 *squalitate summa ac scabie . . . obrutam*; similar hyperbole is popular in rhetorical prose, cf. Sen. *Contr.* 1.1.18 *obrutus sordibus*, Sen. *Epist.* 14.13 *obrutus sputis*, ps-Quint. *Decl.* 6.18 *ares impexis obrutae comis*.

maestos: "gloomy" or "woebegone," from ethical rigor or (more probably) lack of decent food; compare Marcus Aper's caricature of the orators of the past in Tac. *Dial.* 23.3 *maesti et tnculti illam ipsam quam iactant sanitatem non firmitate sed ieiunio consequuntur*.

507 *iaceat*: Thyestes' beard "droops" rather than being neatly combed; compare *iacere* of unkempt hair, *Pha.* 804, ps-Ovid *Her.* 15.73.

praestetur fides: *praestare fidem* usually means "be true to one's word," "fulfill one's promises" (cf. *Pha.* 1142-43 *nec ulli praestat velox / Fortuna fidem*), and refers to the reconciliation that Atreus must now seem to go through with; but Atreus also means to say "let a show of *fides* be made, let a believable performance be given" (*fides* = "believability," cf. Cic. *De or.* 2.156 *imminuit . . . et oratoris auctoritatem et orationis fidem*). It is a signal that his next words will be spoken "in his part" of the devoted brother.

508-11 Atreus' change of "voice" as he turns to Thyestes is reflected in his language, which becomes studiously plain. He is making a considerable effort to say only the "right" things, but even so he cannot resist the temptation to indulge in *double entendre*.

509 *expetitos*: "eagerly sought," in two senses.

510 *sanguis ac pietas*: a sort of hendiadys, "respect for the claims of blood" (for *sanguis* = "kinship" cf. Ovid *Met.* 9.466 *nomina sanguinis odit*, Val. Max. 2.1.7 *tantum religionis sanguini et adfinitati . . . tributum*). Atreus appears to be acting just as young Tantalus had predicted (cf. 474-75), but *sanguis* inevitably recalls 504 *sperat ira sanguinem*. The sacral overtones of *colatur* ("be worshiped") may also carry ironic force, since Atreus will in fact turn his bloodshed into a ritual act, cf. 689, etc.

512-21 Thyestes is completely taken in by Atreus' show of good will; he abandons all attempts to defend his past actions, and abjectly begs for Atreus' forgiveness. Seneca's character-portrayal is here very acute: Thyestes' uneasy awareness of his past crimes makes him particularly susceptible to Atreus' ploy, and blunts the suspicions he had expressed shortly before (473).

512 *Diluere . . . fores*: "if you were not as you are, I would be able to explain away everything." Thyestes has come prepared to rebut Atreus' charges against him. The language recalls the courtroom: *diluere crimen* is to "dilute" the force of an accusation (*OLD* s.v., #4), and is one of the many legalisms introduced into poetry by Ovid, cf. *Am.* 2.2.37, *RA* 695 *nec peccata refer, ne diluat*. See also on *causa* 514, *pedibus intactae manus* 518.

cuncta: like *omnia* in 513, the sweeping term conveys Thyestes' effusive tone.

513 *admisi*: "committed" (with an implied notion of wrongdoing), cf. Ovid *Pont.* 4.14.23 *sed nihil admisi* ("I've done nothing wrong").

514 *causam*: in the legal sense, "my case," cf. *OLD* s.v., #4, Ovid *Met.* 13.190 *difficilem tenui sub iniquo iudice causam*.

515 *hodierna pietas*: Thyestes means "the *pietas* you have shown today," but an audience might take the words as suggesting "this *pietas* that lasts only for today."

515-16 *est . . . nocens / . . . est nocens*: Thyestes sums up his feelings of guilt in a somewhat ponderous *gnome*. For the figure of speech—*conversio*—in which the same word ends successive lines, cf. 207-208 *quos cogit metus / laudare, eosdem reddit inimicos metus*, Canter 158-59.

517 *lacrimis agendum est*: "tears are called for," surely a pointed contrast to Atreus' earlier rebuke to himself *questibus vanis agis?* (179).

518 *pedibus intactae manus*: Thyestes' hands are "untouched by feet" because he has never been a suppliant before, cf. Andromache in *Tro.* 691-93 *quam . . . nullius pedes / novere dextram pedibus admoveo tuis*.

519 *quosdam . . . amici*: "some of the accused sometimes prostrated themselves before the

jury (Asconius in *Scaur.* p. 28 C, cited by Fantham on *Tro.* 691). Thyestes' gesture might recall this practice, but it conforms even more closely to another Roman ritual, the obeisance shown to emperors by client kings, cf. Suet. *Nero* 13.2 on Nero's reception of Tiridates in 66: *primo ad genua admisit adlevatumque dextra exosculatus est* (see also on 521-22, 599). Thyestes' next line strengthens this association, since client kings often sent their sons to Rome as pledges of loyalty, as in the case of Phraates IV of Parthia, who entrusted his four sons to Augustus, cf. Josephus *AJ* 18.42.

519 *omnis ira . . . tumor*: these words are similarly coupled in Verg. *Aen.* 8.40-41 *tumor omnis et irae / concessere deum*.

ponatur: = *deponatur*, cf. 348 *posuit*, Ovid *Met.* 8.474 *in . . . vices ponit positamque resuscitat iram*.

519-20 *ex animo tumor . . . abeat*: an unwitting inversion of Atreus' real state of mind, cf. 267-70 *nescioquid . . . animus . . . tumet . . . hoc, anime, occupa*.

520 *eramus*: properly used of scraping or paring away excess growth, but here apparently a synonym for *deletus* or *sublatus*, cf. *Epist.* 104.20 *omnem ex animo erade nequitiam*. (Applied to *tumor*, however, *eradere* might retain some of its basic meaning, cf. Celsus 8.10.7 *ut, si quid pingue est, eradatur*.)

obsides fidei: "pledges of my good faith," cf. Cic. *Fam.* 10.17.3 *Apellam . . . quo obside fidei illius et societatis in re publica administranda uterer*.

[*fidei accipe*: scanned *fīdei āccīpe*; for *fidei* (always an anapestic fifth foot) see also 764, *HF* 370.]

521 *frater*: the simple vocative at the end of the speech is a sign of Thyestes' guileless trust.

521-22 *A . . . pete*: Atreus makes the gracious response that also signifies his acceptance of Thyestes' plea, cf. Hom. *Il.* 24.515, Suet. *Nero* 13.2 (see on 518).

523 *senum praesidia*: if the *senes* are himself and Thyestes, Atreus is imagining a harmonious future in which Thyestes' children will look after both of them. This seems to have more point than taking *senum praesidia* as an implied generalization ("young men are the protectors of the old").

tot iuvenes: Atreus lingers for a moment over the number of Thyestes' children, savoring his revenge in advance.

524-26 *squalidam . . . meis*: changes of clothing often portend disaster in tragedy, especially when the new garments are rich or exotic, cf. Eur. *Ba.* 912-76, Sen. *Tro.* 883 *depono cultus squalidos, festos cape*, Ag. 881-83. (The "tapestry-scene" in Aesch. *Ag.* 908-74 presents a variant of this motif.) Here the proffered regalia symbolize the temptation to power that Thyestes cannot in the end resist.

525 *oculis . . . nostris parce*: "show some consideration for my eyes"; Atreus wryly suggests that Thyestes in his present state is painful to look at. (Seneca similarly uses *auribus parcere* of avoiding offensive or rebarbative language, cf. *Ben.* 2.11.6, *NQ* 2.2.4.)

525-26 *ornatus . . . pares meis*: "adornments equal to my own" (*ornatus* acc. pl.); *ornatus* appears only here in the tragedies, *ornare* three times in *Thyestes*, always with negative overtones (54, 464, 684).

526 *laetus*: inoffensive in its context, but perhaps paralleling 277-78 *liberos . . . /*

gaudens . . . *laceret*; Atreus' revenge is not complete unless Thyestes actively participates in it, cf. 285–86, 1065–68.

imperi: the syncopated form of the genitive singular (-i for -ii), which had fallen out of common use by the end of the Augustan age, is used thereafter for metrical convenience, cf. Ag. 155 *coniugi*.

527 capesse: more than a high-flown synonym for *cape*, since *imperi capesse partem* must recall *rem publicam capessere*, “to enter public life” (cf. *OLD* s.v. *capesso*, #8b, Cic. *Sest.* 23 *rem publicam capessere hominem bene sanum non oportere*). Thyestes' situation evokes that of a Stoic or Epicurean deciding whether to embark on a public career.

laus: “praiseworthy action,” “grounds for praise,” cf. Ovid *Pont.* 4.8.89 *unde tuas possim laudes celebrare recentes*.

528 paternum . . . **decus**: probably not just “ancestral dignity” (Miller) or “royal birth-right” (Watling), but the ancestral diadem, the *regium capiti decus* of 701, cf. *HF* 257, Ovid *Met.* 9.690 *regale decus*. Atreus here extends the diadem, which remains suspended between the brothers until Thyestes accepts it at 542.

529 habere . . . **dare**: a plausible facsimile of a Senecan *gnome*, comparable to his advice to Nero that granting life is superior to taking it (*Clem.* 1.5.6 *vita enim etiam superiori eripitur, numquam nisi inferiori datur*); the distinction between *casus* and *virtus* is also authentically Senecan, cf. *VB* 24.1 (*consilium* vs. *casus* and *impetus*), *Epist.* 29.3 (*casus* vs. *ars*). Atreus' glib dictum is undermined, however, by the earlier passages it recalls: 36 *fluctu* . . . *regnum casus assiduo ferat*, which places all external *regnum* in the control of chance, and 390 *hoc regnum sibi quisque dat*, which makes it impossible for anyone to receive true *regnum* at another's hands.

530–31 Di . . . **rependant**: another irony that remains hidden from Thyestes: his last words in the play will invoke divine punishment on Atreus for his crimes, 1110–11.

531–33 Thyestes gives two reasons for declining Atreus' offer: that the poverty of his chosen way of living (*squalor* . . . *noster*) is incompatible with royal status, and that the guilt of his past actions (*manus infausta*) makes him unsuited to rule. These are noticeably less forceful arguments than the ones Thyestes used earlier with his son, 442–44.

531 regiam capitis notam: cf. 346.

532 squalor: a preference for *squalor* is among the ascetic practices criticized in *Epist.* 5.4 *hoc contra naturam est, torquere corpus suum et faciles odisse munditias et squalorem adpetere et cibis non tantum vilibus uti sed taetris et horridis*.

532–33 manus . . . **refugit**: Thyestes again speaks of his body as if it were independent of himself (cf. 419–20), perhaps because he does not wholeheartedly shun the prospect of rule.

533 infausta: probably thinking of his adultery with Aerope, Thyestes claims that he would bring evil fortune on the sceptre by accepting it.

533–34 liceat . . . **turba**: “allow me to be lost in the crowd” (*media turba* = the common people), cf. *Clem.* 1.8.1 *condicio eorum qui in turba* . . . *latent*, Ag. 103–104 *felix mediae quisquis turbae / sorte quietus* (n).

534 Recipit . . . **duos**: a flat contradiction of Thyestes' own conviction (444) and of all ancient thinking on the subject; it is astonishing (and revealing) that Thyestes allows it to pass.

535 Meum . . . **tuum**: Thyestes means that he does not need to share formally in governing the kingdom, since his closeness to Atreus gives him all he could desire; the underlying principle is that of the proverbial *κοινὰ τὰ τῶν φίλων*. (For a similar argument cf. Creon in *Oed.* 687–93.) Considering the prior relations between the brothers, though, the remark is a serious blunder: Atreus is bound to interpret it as meaning “I regard the kingdom you now rule as belonging to me,” cf. 289 *regna nunc sperat mea*.

536 Quis . . . **abnuit?**: Atreus uses in a crisper form the same arguments as young Tantalus (cf. 430–31, 445, 471).

influentis: transferred from *dona* (hypallage, see on 255 above). In *Epist.* 50.5 Seneca denies that *mens bona* can “pour in” by chance, *illud desperandum est, posse nobis casu tantum bonum influere*.

537 Expertus . . . **effluent**: since Thyestes clearly meets the specified condition (*expertus*, cf. 453 *expertus loquor*), the universal form of his statement exerts added pressure on him to abide by its terms.

538 gloria: ostensibly the renown won by a generous act, but Atreus is thinking ahead to a different sort of glory, cf. 1097 *nunc parta vera est palma*.

539 Tua . . . **mea**: Thyestes means that, by offering a share of power, Atreus has already earned his praise (*peracta est* = “is complete”), but that his own praise is still to be won (*restat*), that is, the praise that will follow his resolute indifference to wealth and power. Once again, though, Thyestes unwittingly incriminates himself: Atreus can understand him to mean “your glory is finished, but mine is still to come,” with *gloria* standing for the position of king, and *peragere* in the sense “complete the tenure of,” like *peragere consulatum* (*OLD* s.v. *peragere*, #5b).

540 respucere . . . **mih**: this line has all the appearances of a decisive statement. The language is forceful (*respucere, certum est*), and by departing from the stichomythic pattern Thyestes seems to imply that there is nothing more to be said. To dramatize Thyestes' fundamental lack of strength, Seneca makes his change of mind seem an instantaneous abandonment of a deeply-felt conviction.

respucere: of scornful rejection, *Epist.* 13.12 *robore animi evidentem quoque metum respucere*, Ag. 390 (n).

certum: “fixed,” “unalterable,” cf. Ovid *Met.* 9.684 *certa sua est Ligdo sententia*.

541 Why does Atreus' threat to resign his own share of power affect Thyestes so strongly? The answer is perhaps suggested by 535: Thyestes hopes for the comforts of high status without its responsibilities, and so he is shaken by the prospect of having to resume a life of poverty.

Meum . . . **tuam partem**: perhaps a mocking echo of Thyestes' words, cf. 535 *meum* . . . *tuum*, 539 *tua* . . . *gloria* . . . *mea*.

542 regni nomen: “the title of dominion,” rather than the thing itself (*OLD* s.v. *nomen*, #16), an ironic echo of Thyestes' assertion that high position only attracts through *falsa nomina* (446).

impositi: with *feram*, *impositi* suggests a burden Thyestes has agreed to shoulder, cf. Ovid *Met.* 15.820 *impositum feret unus onus*, of Augustus assuming responsibility for the Roman empire.

543 iura . . . **servient** . . . **tibi**: Thyestes means that “the laws will be under your command” (cf. Ovid *Met.* 15.831 *pontus quoque serviet illi*, again of Augustus), but one cannot

help recalling that for Atreus *cura iuris* is a mere *privatum bonum* (216–18) and that the ultimate effect of his rule is to be the extinction of all law (*ius . . . omne pereat* 48).

mecum: i.e., *et ego tibi serviam*, another statement that carries a meaning not evident to Thyestes.

544 vincla: *vinc(u)lum* can be used of headgear, cf. Ovid *F.* 4.870 (a garland), Sen. *Pho.* 471 (a helmet), *Tro.* 273 (a diadem). Atreus, however, is well aware of the *double entendre* in his words (*gerere vinc(u)la* = “to be bound by chains,” cf. Ovid *Met.* 4.681) and knows that by getting Thyestes to accept the diadem he has made him helplessly captive.

545 ego . . . dabo: a final specimen of Atrean wit. The exit to perform a sacrifice is common in Senecan drama (cf. *HF* 514–15, *Med.* 299–300, *Ag.* 583–85, 802–807), and Atreus seems to be mimicking the prescribed formula while giving it a gruesome new meaning: the “sacrifice” he means to offer will have Thyestes’ sons as its victims, cf. 685–95, 712–16, etc. (Similarly loaded phrasing in *HF* 899, *Med.* 39.)

destinatas victimas: “designated offerings”; *destinare* of a sacrificial victim, cf. Verg. *Aen.* 2.129 *me destinat arae* (Sinon on his fictitious escape from the Greeks).

superis dabo: not merely a deception: the victims will be offered to Atreus’ *ira* and Atreus himself, cf. 712–13, but their deaths make Atreus think he has attained the status of a god, see on 885, 911.

CHORUS III

The ode begins with astonished relief at the apparent reconciliation of the brothers. Comparing the city’s sudden calm with its recent state of near-panic, the Chorus is led to reflect on the mutability of human affairs; its closing words urge restraint on kings and detachment from the moment on all of humanity.

The Chorus’s deluded optimism, playing against the edgy ironies of the previous scene, heightens the suspense preceding the Messenger’s grisly report. In this function it resembles several choral odes in Sophocles which express a hope that is immediately crushed by news of catastrophe (cf. *Ajax* 693–717, *Trach.* 633–62, *Ant.* 1115–54, *OT* 1086–1109).¹ Seneca’s handling of the ode differs from Sophocles’ in an important respect. Both dramatists exploit the ironic potential of the situation,² but Sophocles’ Chorus maintain their cheerfulness to the end, thus increasing the shock of the following reversal, while the mood of this ode grows progressively darker as the grim past gives place to the unknown future, until at last the Messenger’s report seems a natural extension of the Chorus’s own thoughts.³

At no point, in fact, does Seneca’s Chorus abandon itself entirely to happiness; words denoting “fear” and “dread” recur with almost obsessive regularity throughout, suggesting

¹ This type of stasimon is virtually unique to Sophocles (cf. R. W. B. Burton, *The Chorus of Sophoclean Tragedy* [Oxford, 1980], 31), and appears only here in Seneca (although *Ag.* 310–404 is remotely similar); there may have been an ode of this sort in Sophocles’ *Thyestes*.

² For Sophocles cf., e.g., *OT* 1094–95, and for Seneca see notes on, e.g., 546 *credat hoc quisquam?*, 559 *negantes*, 614.

³ This link has its verbal equivalent in the Messenger’s echoing of the Chorus’s last words: *res deus nostras celeri citatas / turbine versat—quis me per auras turbo praecipitem vehet?* (621–23).

that anxiety has been suppressed rather than allayed.⁴ Even in the opening sections, memories of danger outweigh the celebration of deliverance (552–57 vs. 558–59, 561b–71 vs. 560–61a, 573–76), and the long sea-simile also gives more prominence to the raging storm than to the calm that succeeds it (577–87 vs. 588–95).⁵ By these unbalanced proportions the Chorus nicely illustrates its own maxim: *dolor ac voluptas / invicem cedunt; brevior voluptas* (596–97).

In its thoughts on change and unpredictability the Chorus seems markedly less confident than in its previous ode. There it dwelt on the security conferred by *mens bona* (380), but the picture of human life in the closing lines of this ode (607–22) implicitly rules out seclusion and self-sufficiency. If “every kingdom is under the sway of a more oppressive power” (612), can the dominion of the *sapiens* (390) be exempt? The question is answered by the unobtrusive yet climactic *nostras* (621): we are all caught up in the whirlwind, and only by renouncing attachment to the present can we hope to withstand its blast.

The ode is more interesting for the complexity of its movement and the intricacy of its design than for the power of its poetry. The unvarying hendecasyllables do not escape monotony,⁶ there are several inert adjectives,⁷ and the elaborate storm-simile, though justified by its structural importance, seems to lose focus and to decline into preciousness.⁸ The ode’s best things are its sharply observed details: a wife’s alarm at the sight of her husband transformed into a soldier (564), the night guard nervously crouching at his post on the ramparts (570–71), and—perhaps most memorable—counting the fish that swim in the clear water beneath one’s idling boat (593).

Meter: Sapphic hendecasyllables (see above, p. 32).

546–48 The Chorus is reacting to Atreus’ aside in 491–507; not having overheard his words, the Chorus attributes his long “silence” to amazement at the sight of his brother.

546 Credat: potential subjunctive (AG 447.3), with implied condition (“would anyone believe this [without having seen it]?”). The irony may seem too blatant to be effective, but the question reminds the audience that Thyestes *has* accepted this “unbelievable” show of *pietas*; it may also suggest that even the Chorus finds it difficult to give it full credence (cf. 640).

ferus: a strong term of condemnation, cf. *Ben.* 7.19.5 *non tantum malus sed ferus, sed immanis*. It is apt for Atreus, who is several times described in bestial images, cf. 497–503, 707–11, 732–36.

⁴ Cf. 562 *pallidae*, 563 *timuit*, 570 *pavidus*, 571 *anxiae*, 572 *timor* (but see note *ad loc.*), 573 *minae*, 580 *timuere*, 582 *metuit*, 587 *tremente*, 590 *timuit*, 595 *timuere*, 600 *tremuere*, 603 *minanti*, 604 *anxius*, 605 *metuit*, 610 *expavescit*, 611 *minatur*.

⁵ The respective lengths of the simile’s components are even more lopsided than they at first appear, since in the “calm” section 588–95 four of the eight lines actually look back to the storm (590–91, 594–95).

⁶ Note, for example, the eleven instances of the *-ere* form of the perfect, all at the same point in the line: 554, 562, 563, 573, 577, 580, 588, 592, 595, 600, 601.

⁷ Cf., e.g., 552 *magnis . . . causis*, 573 *saevi . . . ferri*, 608 *ius . . . magnum*.

⁸ See notes on 582–85, 586–87. Extended similes in Senecan lyrics often seem to wander from their subject, cf. *Ag.* 64–72 (n. on 57ff.), *Pha.* 764–72.

547 *nec potens mentis*: "unable to control his mind" (*mentis* obj. gen.), cf. *Ag.* 126 *consili impotens* (n). *Livy* 9.14.5 *suarum impotens rerum*. The description ironically fits Thyestes better than the calculating Atreus, cf. especially 919 *nec satis menti imperat*.

548 *haesit*: "stopped dead" (*OLD* s.v., #10); perhaps an echo of *Verg. Aen.* 3.597 *paulum aspectu conterritus haesit*.

549-59 The power of *pietas* is first stated in general terms (549-51), then illustrated by Mycenae's recent escape from civil war (552-59); the key term neatly frames the section, occupying the same metrical position in its first and last lines. The signs of imminent conflict correspond closely to the measures ordered by Atreus in his opening speech (180-90). From the Chorus's perspective, however, they sound much more real than they did earlier; in fact the ode seems to presuppose that Mycenae was poised to repel an armed attack by Thyestes and his supporters.

550 *externis*: "in the case of strangers," "where strangers are concerned," dat. of reference (AG 376). In Roman terms *externus* could suggest wars against foreign opponents as distinct from civil war (cf. *Cic. Leg. agr.* 2.90 *domesticis externisque bellis*, *Ovid Am.* 1.8.41-42 *nunc Mars externis animos exercet in armis / et Venus Aeneae regnat in urbe sua*); Roman associations are overt later, cf. on 560-61, 565-66.

551 *quos . . . tenebit*: the thought is similar to that in 474-75, but the implicit metaphor in *tenere* ("hold fast") sounds like an ironic echo of 491 *plagis tenetur . . . fera*.

552 *cum*: generalizing *cum* in a temporal clause with the verb in the indicative (AG 547).

magnis . . . causis: compare Atreus' statement of his grievances (178-79): *post tot scelera, post fratris dolos / fasque omne ruptum*.

553 *gratiam rupit*: *gratia* here almost = *amicitia* (*OLD* s.v., #2). The metaphor in *rupit* (for which cf. *Hor. Epist.* 1.3.31-32 *an male sarta / gratia nequiquam coit et rescinditur?*) echoes *fas . . . ruptum* in 179 (see note *ad loc.*).

cecinitque bellum: cf. 187 *bellicum . . . canat*.

554 *leves . . . turmae*: cf. 184-85 *sub nostro sonet / Argolica tellus equite*; *turma* is a technical term for a squadron of cavalry (used by Vergil for Roman coloring at *Aen.* 11.599).

leves: "fleet," cf. *Pho.* 545 *equitatu levi*.

frenis sonuere: a variation on *frenos sonantes* or *frena sonantia* in Augustan writers (cf. *Verg. G.* 3.184, *Ovid Met.* 2.121), with a characteristic displacement of the graphic term (*sonare*).

555 *hinc illinc*: "on this side and that," cf. *undique* 183.

agitatus ensis: "brandished," cf. 183-84 *strictum . . . micare ferrum*.

556-57 *quem . . . recentem*: a conventional picture of Mars, cf., e.g., *Verg. Aen.* 12.332-33 *sanguineus Mavors clipeo increpat . . . bella movens* (and note 713 *crebros ictus*).

557 *sanguinem . . . recentem*: "fresh bloodshed," implying that Mars' appetite needs to be constantly assuaged with new victims.

558 *manibus . . . iunctis*: *Pietas* links the kinsmen's hands in friendship, cf. *Luc.* 1.117 *armatas manus* (of Caesar and Pompey) *excusso iungere ferro*. The phrase may ironically foreshadow Atreus' real actions, cf. 685 *post terga iuvenum nobiles revocat manus*.

559 *ducit ad pacem*: the wording implies a procession with *pax* at its end-point, as if it were an altar or temple, cf. *Verg. G.* 2.146-48 *albi . . . greges . . . Romanos ad templum deum duxere triumphos*.

negantes: concessive, "even though they withheld their consent." The Chorus credits *Pietas* with the power to overcome the combatants' own wills, but its words unwittingly correspond to the true situation, in which Atreus rejects the claims of *Pietas* while going through the motions of reconciliation.

560-76 Mycenae's recent danger and sudden rescue.

560-61 *Otium . . . fecit?*: a clear echo of *Verg. Ecl.* 1.6 *deus nobis haec otia fecit*; by opposing *otium* to the *arma civilis . . . belli*, Seneca makes explicit a connection that remains below the surface of Vergil's poem. Vergil's confident assertion has become a question, another instance of uncertainty about the gods' activities or even existence, cf. 127-32, 407.

562 *crepuere*: "rattled," "clattered," cf. *Verg. G.* 2.540 *impositos duris crepitare incuribus enses*, *Ovid Met.* 1.143 *crepitantia concutit arma* [sc. *bellum*]. (Both passages refer, directly or by allusion, to civil war at Rome.)

563 *pallidae . . . matres*: an obvious reworking, in plainer language, of *Verg. Aen.* 7.518 *trepidae matres pressere ad pectora natos* (as war breaks out between the Trojans and Latins).

564 *armato*: emphatic; the wife does not normally see her husband in armor (cf. *Juv.* 6.154 *armatis . . . nautis* of the Argonauts), and so fears for his safety.

marito: "on behalf of her husband," dat. of advantage (AG 376), cf. *Verg. Aen.* 2.729 *me . . . pariter comitique onerique timentem*.

565-66 *cum . . . quietae*: the sword is "unwilling" because the rust that has dulled its edge makes it hard to grasp; it does not "follow" the hand in the sense of fitting itself neatly to the hand's grip. (This sense of *sequi* is not common, but cf. *Verg. G.* 3.564-65 *olentia sudor / membra sequebatur*, where it means "follow the course of.") The personification is unusual and striking; in this play even inanimate objects are forced to act against their will. (See on 100 *sequor*, above, p. 47).

566 *vitio*: "through the harmful effects of," cf. *Epist.* 109.7 *sunt . . . quidam quibus morbi vitio mel amarum videatur*. Rusting weapons are normally seen as welcome signs of peace, cf. *Verg. G.* 1.493-95, *Tib.* 1.10.49-50, but the overtones of *vitio* suggest instead the view of a soldier for whom warfare is the norm.

567-71 In 565-66 the focus shifts from the fearful onlookers to the male citizen-soldiers, whose preparations for attack are sketched in four brief scenes. The three infinitives at the same point in successive lines (*stabilire, renovare, cohibere*) deftly suggest concurrent activities directed to a common goal. [This picture of bustling effort may owe something to Aeneas' first view of Carthage in *Aen.* 1.423-28 *pars ducere muros / molirique arcem et manibus subvolvere saxa, / pars optare locum tecto et concludere sulco . . . hic portus alii effodiunt, hic lata theatris / fundamenta petunt*, etc.]

567-68 *labentes . . . situ quassas*: like its defenders' weapons, Mycenae's walls and watchtowers have been allowed to fall into decrepitude; this emphasis makes the struggle to restore them sound plausibly urgent, but it jars slightly with the impression given earlier (cf., e.g., 339-42) of a city long accustomed to strife.

568 *situ quassas*: almost a paradox, since *quater* usually denotes violent battering

(e.g., of ships by storm-winds, Verg. *Aen.* 1.552, Ovid *Tr.* 5.11.13), whereas *situs* implies slow decay and is more naturally coupled with, e.g., *labi* (Columella 12.3.5, Sen. *Oed.* 817–18). The juxtaposition suggests imperceptibly gradual decline ending in sudden collapse; a good example of “Silver” compression.

569 claustris: the iron bolts that secure the doors, cf. Verg. *Aen.* 7.185 *portarum ingentia claustra*. Seneca’s wording recalls Hor. *Epist.* 2.1.155 *claustra . . . custodem pacis cohibentia lanum*, but with an inversion of sense, since bolting the doors of Janus’ temple was a sign that Rome was at peace.

570 certabat: “was struggling/striving,” apparently without the usual sense of competition, cf. Ovid *F.* 1.213 *quaerere ut absumant, absumpta requirere certant*.

pinnis: sc. *murorum*, the parapets on top of the ramparts; *pinna* in this sense belongs to Roman military language (cf. Caes. *BG* 5.40.6, Livy 40.45.3, etc.) and was used by Vergil for its Roman associations at *Aen.* 7.158–59 *primas . . . in litore sedes / castrorum in morem pinnis atque aggere cingit*; cf. also *Tro.* 1070.

pinnis: dat. with *incubabat*, cf. Ovid *Met.* 6.431–32 *tecto . . . profanus / incubuit bubo*.

571 noctis vigil: a poetic equivalent for *vigil nocturnus* (for which cf. Pl. *Am.* 350, Pliny *NH* 10.46), on the analogy of *vigil castrorum* ([Caes.] *BG* 8.35.4) or *vigil urbis* (*Eleg. in Maec.* 1.14).

incubabat: like the watchman who delivers the prologue to Aeschylus’ *Agamemnon*, this guard crouches on the roof-top but does not dare fall asleep.

[**noctis:** the manuscripts divide between *noctis* (E) and *nocti* (A); *nocti* would be governed by *incubabat* in a different sense (“was brooding over the night”), and *pinnis* would then be abl. of place. The objection to *nocti* is that it does not cohere well with *incubabat*; as the examples in *OLD* s.v. *incubare* #5 show, the verb in this sense implies either jealous possessiveness or threatening hostility (cf. also 733 below), neither of which applies to the fretful watchman.]

572 [I have bracketed this line, which appears in all the manuscripts, because it seems intrusive. A *gnome* at this point breaks the close focus of the section, which is concerned with the recent dangers of Mycenae, and also loosens the link between 567–71 and 573–76 by creating a premature sense of closure. (This structural damage can be seen in editions that begin a new section at 573 instead of the correct place, 577.) For other intruded gnomic statements cf. 388–89 above, *Tro.* 1143–44, *Oed.* 100, *Ag.* 934.]

timor ipse: logically *ipse* seems to cohere with *bello* rather than *timor* (“fear of war is worse than war itself,” cf. *HF* 706 *ipsa . . . morte peior est mortis locus*); for a similar displacement, cf. Ovid (?) *Her.* 12.61 *hinc amor hinc timor est; ipsum timor auget amorem*.

573–76 The counterpart to the previous scenes of anxious exertion and an elaboration of the *otium* mentioned in 560.

iam: balances *modo* 561.

minae: cf. 338 *fratrum . . . minas*.

574–75 iam . . . strepentis: an inverted echo of Hor. *C.* 2.1.17–18 *iam nunc minaci murmure cornuum / perstringit auris, iam litui strepunt*. (Horace’s stanza also contains a triple *iam*, as in 573–75.)

classicorum . . . litui: Roman poets often pair military musical instruments, cf. Hor. *C.* 1.1.23–24 *lituo tubae / permixtus sonitus*, 2.1.17–18 (just quoted), Ovid *Met.* 1.98 *non tuba derecti, non aeris cornua flexi*. With typical exuberance Lucan describes a complete brass ensemble: *stridor lituum clangorque tubarum / non pia concinuit cum rauco classica cornu* (1.237–38, cf. 7.476–77).

576 revocata: perhaps suggesting an exile invited to return (cf. *OLD* s.v., #3b); the personification of *pax* would then balance its “concretizing” in 559 (see note).

577–95 Despite its great length, this simile is fairly simple in thought: when the Sicilian sea grows stormy, Scylla roars and sailors fear Charybdis, the Cyclopes fear for their forges, and Laertes thinks Ithaca might be submerged; but when the winds fall, the sea lies open for sport and leisure. The primary interest of the passage (and also its main weakness) lies in its fullness of detail.

577 ex alto: “from their depths,” cf. Sen. *NQ* 6.24.3 *terram ex alto moveri*, perhaps Caes. *BG* 3.12.1 *cum ex alto se aestus incitavisset*.

578 Bruttium . . . pontum: Bruttium is the ancient name of the southernmost region of Italy (modern Calabria); *pontus Bruttius* is an (apparently unique) equivalent for *mare Siculum* (see note on 477–78).

Coro: the northwest wind, a favorite in Senecan storm-scenes, cf. *Ag.* 484 (n).

579–81 Scylla . . . Charybdis: the proper names neatly frame this brief section, and the verbs they govern also form a matched pair (*resonat, revomit*).

579 Scylla . . . cavernis: based on Verg. *Aen.* 3.431–32 *vasto vidisse sub antro / Scyllam et caeruleis canibus resonantia saxa*. Seneca’s changes heighten an already harrowing image of frenzy: Scylla herself, rather than the dogs that teem around her, produces a roaring noise, in furious protest at the battering of her cave by the winds (*pulsatis . . . cavernis*).

580 in portu: either the sailors remain in harbor rather than face the sea churned up by Charybdis, or else Charybdis makes even the water in the harbor violent enough to cause fear. [Instead of *in portu* the A manuscripts read *in tutum*, which makes no sense. I have considered *inflatum*, describing the sea swollen when Charybdis spews forth the water it has sucked in; cf. Verg. *Aen.* 3.422 *fluctus rursus . . . sub auras / erigit alternos et sidera verberat unda*, and for *inflare* of water swelled by additional water cf. Livy 23.19.4, 40.33.2. The change would produce a more vivid image, and would also introduce an effective link between this section and the later line *ponite inflatos tumidosque vultus* 609. (Some later Mss. read *intortum*, which conveys a similar sense and is even closer to the A reading.) Emendation is not, however, clearly required, and the parallel between the sailors cowering in harbor and the citizens of Mycenae inside their walls might favor retaining *in portu*.]

timuere: generalizing perfect, cf. also 592 *patuere*.

581 rapax: cf. on 360 *rapiens*; the epithet is applied to Scylla in Ovid *Met.* 7.63.

haustum revomit: the metaphor recalls Ovid *Met.* 13.731 *vorat haec raptas revomitque carinas*, *RA* 740 *hic vomit epotas dira Charybdis aquas*, but it may carry added point in a Thyestean context; *haurio* appears twice in the last act in connection with Thyestes’ feast, cf. 985, 1105.

582–85 The emotional coherence of the scene begins to waver; the image of the Cyclopes concerned for their blast-furnaces is droll rather than moving or awe-inspiring,

and the diction displays its artifice so openly as to rule out empathy.

582 parentem: Homer's Cyclopes were children of Poseidon (cf. *Od.* 1.30–33, 9.412, also, e.g., Eur. *Cycl.* 21, Gell. 15.21) and *Neptunus* often = *mare* (*OLD* s.v., #2a), so "the Cyclops fears his parent" is a mannered way of saying that he fears the turbulent sea. Such expressions are often playful, as when Ovid describes Diana as *fraternis languida flammis* in *Met.* 2.454 (*frater* = Apollo, often identified with the Sun-god), but here the child's fear of its parent is another instance, though a remote one, of the distortion of family ties (cf. 40–41 *expavescat . . . natus . . . patrem*). [To make this point Seneca conflates Homer's sheep-teuding Cyclopes, descended from Poseidon, with the forge-workers of Hephaestus/Vulcan who appear first in Hesiod, *Theog.* 139–40.]

583 in: with *rupe* (= *residens in rupe ferventis Aetnae*); the wide displacement is another sign of mannered writing.

rupe . . . residens: an echo, perhaps unconscious, of a very different scene involving the Cyclops Polyphemus, cf. Ovid *Met.* 13.786–87 (Galatea speaking) *latitans ego rupe meique / Acidis in gremio residens*.

ferventis: "blazing," because of the fires within the mountain.

584 ne . . . violetur: dependant on *metuit* in 582; "he fears his parent, (afraid) that," etc.

violetur: a strong word, suggesting that it would be a profanation for the fire to be put out, as if Vulcan's fire were a sacred trust, like the flame guarded by the Vestal Virgins at Rome. (These associations might be strengthened by *aeternis* in 585, since the fire sacred to Vesta was called an *ignis aeternus*, cf. Livy 5.52.7, 26.27.14, Verg. *Aen.* 2.296–97.)

585 aeternis: probably "ever-burning," on the analogy of *aeternus* used of ever-flowing streams (Ovid *Met.* 15.551, *Am.* 3.6.20) or ever-falling snows (Manil. 3.358).

586–87 The scale of the imagined storm suddenly becomes vastly greater, and its effects are said to be felt on Ithaca, at the other side of the Ionian sea. (Perhaps an anticipation of the universal destruction envisaged in the final chorus; compare *mergi . . . posse* 586 with the stronger assertion *merget condens omnia gurgis* 868.)

sua . . . regna: explained by *Ithaca tremente*; the small island is the whole of Laertes' "kingdom" (the ironic force of *regna* was probably suggested by Verg. *Aen.* 3.272 *effugimus scopulos Ithacae, Laertia regna*).

586 pauper: Laertes is "poor" because Ithaca lacks the land and fertile soil needed to support large-scale farming or animal husbandry, cf. Hor. *Epist.* 1.7.41–42.

587 Laertes: father of Odysseus, in advanced old age when Odysseus returns home after his twenty-year absence at Troy and elsewhere (*Od.* 24.233); since the Trojan War is at least a decade in the future at the time of this play, Seneca's chronology is here unexceptionable.

Ithaca tremente: circumstantial abl. with causal force, balancing *Coro feriente pontum* in 578.

588–89 si . . . pelagus: a reminiscence of Hor. C. 1.12.30–32 *concidunt venti fugiuntque nubes / et minax, quod sic voluere* [sc. Castor and Pollux] *ponto / unda recumbit*. Seneca typically makes Horace's language less graphic (*pelagus recumbit* for *ponto / unda recumbit*), but he enlivens the scene with the hyperbolic *mittis stagno*, based on Verg. *Aen.* 8.89 (of the Tiber) *mittis . . . in morem stagni placidaeque paludis*. He omits Horace's reference to divine causation and (perhaps pointedly) notes that the winds' own

(*stuae*) powers have failed; nothing in the simile lends itself to any view of divine providence or anger.

588 ventis: dat. of reference (AG 377), producing a more elevated phrase than, e.g., Livy 26.39.8 *venti vis omnis cecidit*.

589 recumbit: the image of relaxed reclining forms a neat contrast to the earlier scenes of anxious watchers perched on high places, 570–71 *pinnis . . . incubabat*, 583 *rupe . . . residens in Aetnae*.

590–92 The essential distinction is between the *navis . . . fuis speciosa velis* and the *ludens cumba*: seas that were once too rough even for large sail-driven ships now lie open to small pleasure-craft. Compare *Med.* 365–67 *non . . . quaeritur Argo; / quaelibet altum cumba pererrat*. [Other modern texts place the comma after *secare* in 590 and make *speciosa* modify *alta*; *fuis speciosa velis* would then describe the sea "studded with bellying sails, a beauteous sight" (Miller). This arrangement produces an awkward disproportion: *navis* is unqualified while *alta* is given two descriptive phrases that do not fully cohere with each other. (If the waters are *strata* and safe even for small rowboats, there is probably not enough wind to make sailing practical; note also *mitius stagno* in 589.) The punctuation given here is that of most editions before Leo, restored to prominence by Zwierlein in *Gnomon* 41 (1969), 768.]

590 secare: originally a metaphor from ploughing, *secare aequor*, etc., is often simply an elegant term for sea-travel, cf. *Ag.* 430 (n).

591 fuis . . . velis: "with sails unfurled," cf. Sil. 2.25 *fundentem vela carinam*. [If *speciosa* is taken with *alta*, *fuis . . . velis* will mean "with scattered sails," i.e., sailing ships scattered across the surface of the sea, cf. Manil. 4.382 *fusas . . . per aequora terras* (i.e., islands).]

speciosa: "splendid," "impressive," an apt description of a large sailing-ship, cf. Ovid *Met.* 3.20–21 *speciosam cornibus altis / . . . frontem*.

592 strata: "made smooth" (*sterno*), cf. Verg. *Aen.* 8.87–89 *ita substitit unda . . . ut . . . sterneret aequor aquis*, Hor. C. 1.9.10.

ludenti . . . cumbae: the *cumba* as a pleasure-craft was usually found on secluded lakes, cf. Ovid *Tr.* 2.329–30 *non ideo debet pelago se credere, si qua / audet in exiguo ludere cumba lacu*, Sen. *Epist.* 51.12 (of Baiae, the elegant resort-town on the Bay of Naples) *tot genera cumbarum variis coloribus picta et fluitantem toto lacu rosam*, Juv. 12.80–81 *interiora petit Baiarum pervia cumbae / tuti stagna sinus*. For the open sea to be accessible to a *cumba* is an effective hyperbole.

593 vacat . . . pisces: a charming detail, implying both the clarity of the unruffled water and the leisure of the boatman who does not have to watch the sea for storms. (Cf. Cic. *Att.* 2.6.1 *fluctus numero*, in an account of complete *otium*.)

vacat: "there is time to," cf. Ovid *Met.* 5.333–34 *'sed forsitan otia non sint, / nec nostris praebere vacat tibi cantibus aures?'*

mersos . . . pisces: cf. Ovid *Met.* 5.587–89 *aquas . . . perspicuas ad humum, per quas numerabilis alte / calculus omnis erat*.

mersos: balances *mergi* in 586; the storm no longer threatens to engulf the land, and only the sea's natural inhabitants are covered by its water.

595 Cyclades . . . motae: the Cyclades were proverbially stormy (cf. Hor. C. 1.14.20 with Nisbet-Hubbard *ad loc.*), and so *motae* suggests "shaken," "disturbed" (see on 264

moti Lares). But Seneca is almost certainly alluding as well to the legend that Delos, the chief island of the group, had no fixed position in the sea until Leto gave birth there to Apollo and Diana, cf. Ovid *Met.* 6.189–91, Sen. *Ag.* 384–91 (n); there is probably a similar allusion in Verg. *Aen.* 8.691–92 *pelago credas innare revulsus / Cycladas*. The Cyclades would therefore have particular reason to fear stormy seas, since in the past they had literally been “moved” by them; *motae*, coming last in its clause, has a pointed causal force. This is the only striking *sententia* in the ode; it has been carefully placed to create the sense of an ending before the most important transition.

596–606 Until now the Chorus has opposed present relief to the threats of the recent past. Now both past and present are seen as phases in a continuing cycle, and immediate happiness begins to seem only a prelude to renewed misfortune. The shift is signaled in 596–98, whose short phrases contrast sharply with the elaborately detailed scenes that precede; at this distance the anxieties and pleasures that recently filled the mind appear simply as *dolor* and *voluptas*, mere specks in the vast expanse of time.

597 breviar voluptas: these stark words have the ring of a hard-won truth; the absence of verbal ornament only adds to their authority.

598 ima . . . summis: a more conventional statement, with time (*hora*) in a role usually played by *Fortuna*, cf. Hor. *C.* 1.34.12–13 *valet ima summis / mutare*, Tac. *H.* 4.47.3 *instabilis fortunae summaque et ima miscentis*.

permutat: probably suggested by Hor. *Epist.* 2.2.171–73 *tamquam / sit proprium quidquam puncto quod mobilis [= levis] horae / . . . permutet dominos*.

599–601 The king who serves as an exemplar of unstable felicity bears a strong resemblance to a Roman emperor; compare the words Seneca puts in the mouth of Nero at the start of *De clementia* (1.1.2): ‘*Egone ex omnibus mortalibus placui electusque sum, qui in terris deorum vice fungerer?*’ [cf. 607] *Ego vitae necisque gentibus arbiter*; [cf. 608] . . . *ex nostro responso laetitiae causas populi urbesque concipiunt*; [cf. 600] . . . *haec tot milia gladiatorum, quae pax mea comprimit, ad nutum meum stringentur*; [cf. 601] . . . *quos reges mancipia feri quorumque capiti regium circumdari decus oporteat*, [cf. 599] *quae ruant urbes, quae oriantur, mea iuris dictio est*.

599 qui . . . fronti: “who bestows a diadem on another’s brow,” as Roman emperors did with client kings (and as Atreus has just done with Thyestes), cf. *Clem.* 1.1.2 above, Suet. *Nero* 13.2 (quoted on 518).

600 genu . . . gentes: a generalized form of the obeisance made by client kings, cf. Suet. *Nero* 13.2 *primo . . . admisit ad genua*.

601 cuius ad nutum: “at whose beck,” cf. Cic. *Or.* 24 *ad eorum arbitria et nutum totos se fingunt*, Hor. *Epist.* 2.2.6, Sen. *Clem.* 1.1.2 above.

posuere: “lay aside” (gnomic perfect), cf. 348, 609. In *Clem.* 1.1.2 Seneca gives Nero the opposite claim, that he can unleash warfare on a vast scale (*haec tot milia gladiatorum . . . stringentur*).

602–603 Medus . . . Indus . . . Dahae Parthis: the geographical frame of reference—thoroughly Roman and imperial—recalls that of the previous ode, especially 369–84: 370 (*Dahae*), 371–73 (*Indi*), 374–75 (*Medi*), 383–84 (*Parthi*).

602 Phoebi propioris Indus: *Phoebi propioris* is gen. of quality (AG 345); by metonymy (cause for effect), it denotes the Indian’s dark skin, thought to be caused by his nearness to the sun. The connection is explicit at *Oed.* 122–23 *Phoebus . . . flamma propiore nudos / inficit Indos*. (This explanation was traditionally applied to the Ethiopians, cf. [Aesch.] *PV*

808–809, but the Indians and Ethiopians were regarded as neighbors, and Ovid speaks of the Indians as *positi sub ignibus*, *Met.* 1.778 with Bömer’s note.) *Phoebi propioris Indus* is thus a precious equivalent for, e.g., *decolor Indus* in Ovid *Ars* 3.130, Sen. *Pho.* 345, etc.

603 Dahae . . . minati: “the Dahae who threaten the Parthians with cavalry”; the same construction in 611 *hoc vobis dominus minatur*.

equitem: collective singular, as in 185 above.

604–606 This thought, crystallized in Shakespeare’s “uneasy lies the head that wears a crown,” is a specific form of the common ancient belief that the powerful and successful have most to fear from fortune’s changes (cf. Fantham on *Tro.* 253, my edition of *Agamemnon*, p. 182 and nn. on 71–76). Sometimes only vicious rulers are said to suffer from this anxiety (cf. [Aesch.] *PV* 224–25, Sen. *Clem.* 1.7.3 *crudele regnum turbidum tenebrisque obscurum est . . . ne eo quidem qui omnia perturbat, inconcusso*), but that is not this Chorus’s point; the king only feels with particular keenness the uncertainty that attends all human affairs.

604–605 moventes / cuncta: *cuncta* is obj. of *moventes*, which modifies *casus* and *tempus* (“chance and time, which keep everything in motion”).

605 divinat metuitque: “foresees and fears,” a hendiadys for “nervously anticipates”; Seneca may have been recalling Ovid *Met.* 11.694 *hoc erat hoc, animo quod divinante timebam*.

605–606 casus / mobiles rerum: “swiftly changing fortunes/outcomes of events”; for *casus* cf. *OLD* s.v., #4b, Cic. *Tusc.* 1.91 *propter incertos casus*, Livy 30.30.11 *incerta casuum*.

606 mobiles: cf. *Pha.* 1141–42 *volat ambiguus mobilis alis / hora*. There is a distinction between *moventes* (604) and *mobiles*: the *casus* are themselves restlessly changing and also the cause of change in all things.

dubium: “unreliable,” cf. 292.

607–22 The Chorus moves from reflection to exhortation, addressed first to kings (607–14) and finally to all human beings (615–16 *nemo*, 618 *omne fatum*).

607–608 Vos . . . vitae: Roman coloring is here very strong, since by Seneca’s time it was a tenet of imperial ideology that the emperor was chosen by the gods and specifically by Jupiter; cf. *Clem.* 1.1.2 (quoted above on 599–601), J. Rufus Fears, *Princeps a dis electus* (Rome, 1977).

maris atque terrae / . . . necis atque vitae: the resounding parallel phrases may echo (with a touch of irony) the grandiose claims made by rulers.

607 rector maris atque terrae: not a traditional epithet for Jupiter, who is usually called *rector* of the sky (*r. caeli* 1077 below, *r. Olympi* *HF* 205, Ovid *Met.* 2.60) or of gods (and men), cf. Verg. *Aen.* 8.572, Ovid *Met.* 2.848, Sen. *HF* 517, *Pha.* 880. The polar expression *maris atque terrae* suggests the entire world, with a possible glance at the sea-imagery of 577–95.

609 ponite . . . vultus: an interesting metaphor, which treats the *vultus* as an artificial covering (like a mask) that can be removed at will. Its opposite is *induere vultus*, “putting on” an appearance other than one’s own, cf. Ovid *Met.* 2.425, 8.854, *Am.* 3.14.27, Sen. *Ag.* 707. There may be an echo of 519–20 *ponatur omnis ira et . . . tumor / erasus abeat* and 348 *rex est qui posuit metus*. (Elsewhere cf. *Tro.* 399 *spem ponant avidi, solliciti metum*.)

inflatos tumidosque: each word in itself describes the arrogance brought about by success (*tumidus*, e.g., *Tro.* 301, *HF* 384; *inflatus*, *Cic. Off.* 1.91, *Livy* 6.11.6); the combination is conspicuously orotund, matching the state described. (Similar parodic intent is evident in *Ag.* 247–48 *superba et impotens flatu nimis / fortuna magno spiritus tumidos daret.*)

610–14 It is impossible not to hear these lines as an unconscious comment on the situation of Atreus, yet nothing in the play supports belief in a higher power that will oppress him as he does others. (Certainly Thyestes' appeals to the gods for vengeance in the final scene do not carry much weight, see on 1110.) Seneca surely meant this passage to have an impact beyond its immediate context, as an appeal for restraint addressed to rulers everywhere (especially Rome). But the failure of the Chorus's view to account for what the audience is about to experience is also important: it suggests that the evil generated by Atreus' passions is so great that it exceeds the limits of what, in a well-ordered universe, ought to be possible.

610 a vobis: "at your hands," cf. *Cic. Phil.* 2.116 *quae est . . . vita dies et noctes timere a suis?*

minor: substantive, "a subordinate/inferior," cf. *Pla.* 543 *factus praeda maiori minor.*

expavescit: perhaps an echo of 40 *fratrem expavescat frater.*

611 hoc vobis . . . minatur: for the syntax see on 603.

612 omne . . . est: a bleaker version of the view stated by Horace in *C.* 3.1.5–6 *regum timendorum in proprios greges, / reges in ipsos imperium est Iovis.*

graviore: "more oppressive," cf. *Prop.* 1.5.19 *grave servitium*, *Tac. Ann.* 1.10.5 *Livia gravis in rem publicam mater, gravis domui Caesarum noverca.*

613–14 quem . . . iacentem: an adaptation of an old commonplace, that good fortune can be wiped out in a single day (cf. *Ag.* 626 [n]), but the stress on the day's arrival and flight (*fugiens*), along with the change from plural (*vos, vobis*) to singular (*quem, hunc*), makes one suspect an unintentional allusion to Thyestes. The wording of 614 at least permits this sense: this day *will* flee at the sight of Thyestes *iacens*, i.e., reclining at his horrid feast (cf. 909 *resupinus . . . incubat*).

614 iacentem: the primary meaning is "fallen," "prostrate" (generally "low" in contrast to *superbus*); see on 343, 451. For the possible ironic sense "reclining" (previous note), cf. *Ag.* 879 *sublimis iacet*.

615–16 Nemo . . . lassis: cf. *NQ* 3 *pr.* 7 *itaque secundis nemo confidat, adversis nemo deficiat; alternae sunt vices rerum.* A link with Thyestes is suggested by the reminiscence of Atreus' question *numquid secundis patitur in rebus modum, / fessis quietem?* (197–98).

615 secundis: sc. *rebus* (so too with *lassis* 616).

616 desperet meliora: "lose hope of better things," cf. *Cic. Att.* 7.20.1 *pacem . . . desperavi*, *Sen. Suas.* 2.12 *cenant . . . tamquam crastinum desperent.*

lassis: "unfortunate," "depressed," like *res fessae*, 198 above. [Several editors have printed *lapis*, found in some late manuscripts, but there is no doubt that *lassis* is correct: *res lassae* is well attested both elsewhere in Seneca and in other authors, cf. *Cons. Pol.* 16.6, *Ben.* 6.25.4, *Verg. Aen.* 2.114 (where *lapis* is an ancient variant), *Ovid Pont.* 2.2.49, 2.3.93, *Tr.* 5.2.41 with Luck's note. The change of *lassus* to *lapis* is very common; manuscripts of Seneca attest *lapis* even where sense rules it out, cf. *HF* 803, *Oed.* 593. The same arguments apply at 658 below.]

617–21 This view of human affairs makes no attempt at philosophical exactness; it is certainly alien to orthodox Stoicism, in which all that happens belongs to a providentially ordered "chain of causes" (cf. *Oed.* 980–92; F. H. Sandbach, *The Stoics* [London, 1975], 79–82). The Chorus is offering not so much an explanation of events as a response to their apparent unpredictability; the profusion of agents named (*Clotho, Fortuna, deus*) conveys a sense of helplessness before the forces that seem to control human lives.

617 miscet: a traditional pursuit of *Fortuna*, cf. *Sall. Cat.* 10.1 *saevire Fortuna ac miscere omnia coepit*, *Sen. NQ* 3 *pr.* 7 *nescit enim quiescere, gaudet laetis tristia substituere, utique miscere.*

haec illis: i.e., *lassa secundis*.

Clotho: one of the three Fates (the others being Atropos and Lachesis), mentioned in *Plato Rep.* 617c. In *Sen. Oed.* 986 Lachesis is the embodiment of the destined order of events, the Stoic *series rerum*. [The Greek names for the Fates do not appear in Latin before the late poetry of Ovid, cf. *F.* 6.757 (text uncertain), *Ibis* 241, 243, *Tr.* 5.10.45, then *Cons. Liv.* 239, *Sen. Apoc.* 4.1 and Flavian writers.]

617–18 prohibet . . . / stare: a variation on epithets of *Fortuna* like *levis* or *mobilis*, which attribute to the goddess the motion she symbolizes.

618 [Fortunam: it is often hard to be sure whether to write *Fortuna* or *fortuna* (i.e., whether or not a reference to a personified figure is intended). Here I have opted for the personal form because of the absence of a qualifying term (in contrast to *omne fatum*].)

rotat: like *miscet* in 617, an action often performed by *Fortuna* herself, cf. *Ag.* 72 (n); *rotare* implies a circular motion, perhaps alluding to the image of "Fortune's wheel," for which cf. Nisbet on *Cic. Pis.* 22, Smith on *Tib.* 1.5.70.

fatum: "individual destiny," cf. *Verg. Aen.* 6.759 *te tua fata docebo*, 7.294 *fatis contraria nostris / fata Phrygum*; at *Oed.* 980, on the other hand, *fatis agimur* means "we are driven by destiny."

619 tam: with *faventes*.

habuit: gnomic perfect ("no-one has ever had").

621–22 res . . . nostras . . . versat: the ode concludes with its only statement in the first person (*nostras*). When it sings of *res nostrae*, the Chorus is consciously thinking of all human beings (including, by implication, the audience), but the words apply with special point to the Chorus as citizens of Mycenae, who are about to learn that their world has indeed been turned upside down.

621 deus: for unspecific *deus* in statements of mutability cf. *Oed.* 989, Menander *Aspis* 417–18 (quoting the tragedian Carcinus) *ἐν μᾶ γὰρ ἡμέρα / τὸν ἐδτυχῆ τίθησι δυστυχῆ θεός*.

celeri citatas: Seneca enjoys this pleonastic combination, cf. *Ag.* 913 *celeris concitus*, *Pho.* 403 *concita celerem gradum*, *Epist.* 99.7 *cogita brevitatem huius spatii per quod citatissimi currimus*.

622 turbine: the primary sense is probably "spindle," evoking the picture of the Fates weaving the threads of destiny, cf. *Catullus* 64.314 *tereti versabat turbine fusum*, *Cons. Liv.* 164 *celeri turbine Parca neat*. But there is also a play on *turbo* = "whirlwind," an image connected with rapid changes of fortune (cf. *Luc.* 2.243–44 *virtutis . . . fides quam turbine nullo / excutiet Fortuna tibi*, *Sil.* 5.54); this sense is echoed in the first words of the Messenger, *quis me . . . turbo praecipitem vehet?*

ACT IV (623-788)

A Messenger relates to the Chorus Atreus' murder and dismemberment of Thyestes' sons. The atrocity takes place in a mysterious grove deep within the palace, a region of infernal sights and sounds where the very laws of nature seem suspended (650-82). Atreus conducts the killings in a grotesquely exact travesty of sacrificial procedure, preparing the flesh of his victims as if for a ritual feast (684-772).¹ The sun turns back in horror at the sight, but by the end of the scene Thyestes has already gorged himself on the bodies of his children.

Despite traces of artificiality,² this is arguably Seneca's most interesting and accomplished messenger-scene, showing complete mastery of form and structure as well as the thematic density typical of Senecan narrative passages.³ It is one of only two Senecan messenger-scenes situated in the penultimate episode⁴ (the most common place for messenger-speeches in Greek tragedy), and admirably carries out its function as a link between the central act and the finale: the Messenger's account of Atreus' actions is so vivid that it maintains the immediacy of his presence, and the focus of his narrative shifts with superb fluidity from the exterior of the palace (as at 491-545) to its inner recesses and finally to the dining hall, leading directly into the action of the following scene.

Part of the scene's success results from its original handling of form and characterization. In the three Senecan plays which on other grounds seem to be early works—*Agamemnon*, *Oedipus*, and *Phaedra* (above, p. 11)—the Messenger delivers his report in a single enormous speech, preceded by short introductory dialogue (*Ag.* 392a-420, 421-578; *Oed.* 509-29, 530-658; *Pha.* 990-99, 1000-1114). The five remaining plays with messenger-figures show a more flexible treatment of the convention: the report is either broken up by questions or reactions from the listeners (in *HF*, *Troades* 1056-1164, and *Thyestes*) or is actually suppressed, the Messenger being discarded after a brief announcement of his news (*Phoenissae* 387-402, *Medea* 879-90). *Thyestes* goes further than any other play in the direction of dialogue,⁵ and is unique in suggesting an evolution in the Messenger's attitude to his story. From an initial state of nearly speechless horror, the Messenger grows steadily more involved with his narrative, becoming at last almost buoyant in displaying his powers of description.⁶ By the latter part of the scene he has absorbed Atreus' flair for the ironic retort,⁷ and in his final lines he sounds as jubilantly confident as Atreus himself that the crime can no longer be suppressed. Even in the conventional figure of the Messenger, Seneca has dramatized the triumph of evil over all attempts to contain it.

623-40 The Messenger enters delirious with horror, and only embarks on the narrative after several increasingly agitated requests from the Chorus. Tragic messengers are traditionally reluctant to impart disastrous news (see my note on *Ag.* 416), but Seneca here

¹ On this motif see below on 687-90.

² We are not told (nor arc meant to ask) how the Messenger witnessed these events without attempting to prevent them, and the treatment of the Chorus as a speaking character (the only place in *Thyestes* where it so functions) is somewhat wooden.

³ For phrases with links to recurring themes, see, e.g., on 628-29, 641-47, 659-64, 673, 699, 707-11, 760-61, 769, 777, 787 *gravis*.

⁴ The other is *Pha.* 1000-1114, which does not fill as large a part of the dramatic structure. In other plays Seneca defers the main narrative section to the last act (*Troades*), promotes it to the third act (*Agamemnon*, *Oedipus*, *HF*), and even omits it entirely (*Medea*, *Phoenissae*; see below).

⁵ See introductory note to prologue (above, p. 86).

⁶ See on 723, 728-29, 749-51, 754, 759-60, 766, 771, 783, 784-87.

⁷ See 717-18, 744-45, 746-47.

transcends the conventionality of such scenes as *Tro.* 1056-67, *Pha.* 991-99, and *Ag.* 406a-20; the Messenger's hyperbolic outcries are not dramaturgical formulae but a plausible reaction to an experience that beggars description.

623 *Quis . . . vehet*: an obvious rephrasing of the Chorus's last words (*res deus nostras celeri citatas / turbine versat*), but with a radical change of attitude: the Chorus finds the whirlwind of change alarming, but the Messenger feverishly hopes that it may sweep him far from the intolerable present. (Cf. Ovid *Met.* 6.310-11 of Niobe: *validi circumdata turbine venti / in patriam rapta est*.) These opening lines are similar to, though better motivated than, *Pho.* 420-21 *quis me procellae* [cf. 637] *turbine insano vehens / volucer per auras ventus aetherias aget?* (and note also 422-23 *atra nube subtexens diem / Stymphalis*).

624-25 *ut . . . eripiat*: "so that it might snatch"; on *eripere* see at 998 below.

625 *domus*: as with Tantalus (22), the Messenger's thoughts quickly turn to the house that figures so prominently throughout the play; cf. also 190-91, 901-902.

625-26 *Pelopi . . . pudenda*: "that would shame even (*quoque*) Pelops and Tantalus"; a fulfillment of Tantalus' foreboding in 19-20 *turba quae suum vincat genus / et me innocentem faciat*. Atreus invoked Pelops and Tantalus as his models (242-43), but he has far surpassed them in evil.

627-32 The Messenger cannot believe he is in a civilized part of the world (let alone one famed for fraternal loyalty); he wonders if he has come instead to some remote and barbarous country. This idea is usually expressed by those who hear of dreadful events rather than by those who relate them, cf. *Pha.* 906-907 and especially *Tro.* 1104-1109 (similarly with the cognate thought "you cannot have been born of human parents," cf. Hom. *Il.* 16.33-35, Cat. 64.154-56, Verg. *Aen.* 4.365-67); putting it in the Messenger's mouth adds to the impression of derangement he makes at his entrance.

627 *ista*: "this," virtually equivalent to *haec*, cf. *OLD* s.v., #4, Ovid *Her.* 10.85, *Met.* 9.144, Sen. *Med.* 971.

627-28 *pious . . . fratres*: Castor and Pollux, a legendary pair of devoted brothers, cf. Ovid *Tr.* 4.5.29-30 *diligat et semper socius de sanguinis illo, / quo pius affectu Castora frater amat*, Cons. *Liv.* 283 *Ledaeos, concordia sidera, fratres*. The most famous illustration of their *pietas* is Pollux' sharing of immortality with his mortal brother Castor, cf. "Apollodorus" *Bibl.* 3.11.2.

628 *sortita*: "having been allotted" (i.e., by fate), suggesting a blessing or adornment, cf. Mela 1.28 *regio ignobilis et vix quidquam illustre sortita*.

628-29 *maris . . . Corinthos*: the Isthmus of Corinth appears for the fourth time in the play (cf. 111-14, 124-25, 181-82); here too the details are chosen to suit the mood of the scene (see next note).

premens / fauces: the *fauces* are the narrow outlets of water on either side of the Isthmus, and *premens* means "touching" or "bordering directly on"; a close parallel in *Pho.* 611 *fauces . . . Abydo Sestos oppositas premit*. The phrase, though, is unusual and suggests both oppression (*premens*) and the literal sense of *fauces* as in 782-83 *saepe praecusae cibum / tenuere fauces*. The vision the Messenger cannot yet confront openly forces itself into his speech through this distorted view of a familiar landmark.

629-31 The regions representing savagery again evoke the fringes of the empire in Seneca's time, cf. 369-79, 601-603. For a similar list cf. *Pha.* 166-68, *Tro.* 1104-1106.

629–30 *feris* . . . *Alanis*: the Alans, a nomadic Sarmatian tribe, are mentioned here for the first time in extant Latin literature; their next appearances are in Lucan 8.223, 10.454, then Pliny the Elder *NH* 4.80, Val. Fl. 6.42, 656, Martial 7.30; cf. A. B. Bosworth, *HSCP* 81 (1977), 222. The present passage is unusual in placing them near the lower Danube rather than on the steppes of the Caucasus. This need not be the result of mere confusion (as Bosworth assumes); Seneca could be using *Alani* to refer to the Rhoiolani or “Red Alans” whom Plautius Silvanus encountered pressing against the northern borders of Moesia, cf. *ILS* 1.986; M. Hofmann in *RE* 21 (1951), 36–39; Chilver on Tac. *Hist.* 1.6.12, 79.1. The date of this engagement is disputed, but it cannot have been earlier than A.D. 57—a fact with possible consequences for dating the play (above, pp. 12–13).

fugam / praebens: i.e., the frozen river furnishes the Alans with a means of escape, cf. *HF* 541. The stress on “flight” may be significant, see p. 46 above.

631 *Hyrcana tellus*: Hyrcania (at the southeast end of the Caspian Sea, now northern Iran) was indelibly linked with inhuman cruelty for Roman readers by Dido’s words in *Aen.* 4.366–67 *duris genuit te cautibus horrens / Caucasus Hyrcanaeque admorunt ubera tigres*; this is probably the region alluded to in *Tro.* 1105–1106 *quae Caspium tangens mare / gens iuris expers*. Hyrcania is not stereotypically cold, but Seneca may be endowing it with the attributes of the “frosty Caucasus” (*Richard II* 1.3.295). [Compare Luc. 3.265–67, perhaps influenced by this passage: *tinxere sagittas / errantes Scythiae populi, quos gurgite Bactros / includit gelido vastisque Hyrcania silvis.*]

vagi . . . *Scythae*: cf. *Tro.* 1104 *sedis incertae Scythia*, *Lear* 1.1.116–19 “the barbarous Scythian . . . shall to my bosom / be as well neighbor’d, pitied, and reliev’d, / as thou my sometime daughter.”

632 *consciis*: i.e., as a witness, cf. Ovid *RA* 225–26 *fugito loca conscia vestri / concubitus*, *Met.* 2.438.

634 *Si steterit animus*: the protasis of a future conditional of which the apodosis is to be supplied: “(I shall do as you ask) once my *animus* has come to a standstill.” For the elliptical syntax, cf. 321, 443, and for the sense of *stare* (“to come to rest after a disturbance”) cf. Verg. *Ecl.* 2.26 *cum placidum ventis staret mare*.

metu corpus rigens: normally hair stands stiffly on end from fear (cf. Ovid *Met.* 3.100 *gelido . . . comae terrore rigebant*), but Seneca amplifies the emotion by extending its effect to the whole body.

635 *remittert artus*: “will let go of my limbs,” an unusual expression that makes the Messenger’s body seem independent of his control (a state several times experienced in the play, cf. 419–20, 985–86). The combination of his palpitating *animus* and his frozen body is strange, but effective.

haeret in vultu: “lingers before my face,” a variation on passages like Verg. *Aen.* 4.4 *haerent infixi pectore vultus*, where a sight lingers in a person’s heart or mind, cf. also Luc. 9.71 *imīs haeret imago (sc. Pompei) visceribus*.

636–38 *ferite . . . raptus*: this wish recalls the Messenger’s first words (623–24) and so rounds off the opening section of the scene. When he resumes, the Messenger shows no further signs of hysteria or reluctance.

637 *illo . . . quo*: adverbial, “to that place . . . where.”

637–38 *quo . . . raptus*: meant in general terms, “where the day is borne when it is carried off from here” (i.e., to the ends of the earth, a stronger equivalent of *procul* 636), but foreshadowing the unnatural way *this* day will be “carried off,” cf. 793 *raptis*).

638 *gravius*: with *tenes*, “you hold us more oppressively in suspense” (i.e., the uncertainty becomes harder to bear with each new delay).

640 *uter*: i.e., Atreus or Thyestes; a surprisingly sharp statement, suggesting that the Chorus never fully believed the ostensible reconciliation.

641–82 The narrative begins with an enormous *descriptio loci*, introduced in traditional style with *est* and concluding with a relative word where the narrative resumes (*quo* 682). The short *descriptiones loci* in Greek tragedy are meant only to sketch the scene of the following action (cf., e.g., Eur. *Hipp.* 1199–1200, *IT* 1450–52; Zwierlein, *Rezitationsdramen* 116). In Seneca the *descriptio* often defines not only the location but also the atmosphere of a scene, and even its significance; it is no mere lead-in, but a vital constituent of the narrative. (For other examples cf. *Tro.* 1068–74, *Oed.* 530–47, *Ag.* 558–66 [n].) This difference reflects the influence of declamation oratory, where an elaborate *descriptio* might be the high point of a declaimer’s performance (cf. Bonner 58; the specimen in *Contr.* 2.1.10–13, by Seneca’s teacher Papirius Fabianus, is especially instructive), and also of Augustan epic, which contains several *descriptions* remarkable for their rich detail and thematic importance (cf., e.g., Verg. *Aen.* 1.159–69, 7.563–70, Ovid *Met.* 1.568–76). Here the link with Augustan poetry is particularly close, since this *descriptio* makes conscious and pointed allusion to Vergil’s account of the palace-temple of Latinus in *Aen.* 7.170–91. The Vergilian passage was thought in antiquity to refer to the palace of Augustus on the Palatine (so Servius on 7.170); Seneca’s lines are even more overtly Roman in coloring, but Seneca has replaced Vergil’s evocation of imposing power with a picture of extravagant tyranny.

641 *In arce summa*: corresponding to *urbe . . . summa* in *Aen.* 7.171, but *arx* adds a hint of absolute power (cf. on 342 *cupidi arcium*, *Ag.* 77 [n], Luc. 7.593–94), and while Vergil’s *templum* is at the highest point of the city, here the *domus* seems separate from the *urbs* and a threat to it (*premit* 643).

642 *conversa ad Austros*: “facing south,” cf. Verg. *G.* 1.241 *devexus ad Austros*, Pliny *NH* 4.58 (but perhaps implying the vulnerability of high position, as in *Pha.* 1128–29 *admota aetheriis culmina sedibus / Euros excipiunt, excipiunt Notos*). The detail is oddly precise, and it may not be coincidental that the imperial residence on the Palatine extended to its south end, where it overlooks the Circus Maximus. [More specific connections may not be possible: both Gaius and Nero were notorious for grandiose expansions of the imperial palace, but Gaius’ was directed toward the Capitol (Suet. *Cal.* 22) and Nero’s toward the Esquiline (Suet. *Nero* 31). Nero’s *Domus Aurea* resembles the Tantalid palace in several details, but that may simply be because it contained all the standard luxuries of the period.]

643 *aequale monti*: “as high as a mountain”; for the hyperbole cf. Verg. *Aen.* 2.15 *instar montis* (the Trojan Horse), Tac. *Ann.* 2.61 *instar montium eductae certamine et opibus regum* (the Pyramids). For *aequalis* see also on 885.

urbem premit: “dominates the city,” i.e., by its size and position (cf. Luc. 7.594 *iuris . . . humani columen, quo cuncta premuntur*, Stat. *Theb.* 5.153–54 *insuper ingens / mons premit*), but with obvious implications of an oppressive weight.

644–45 *contumacem . . . ictu: contumacem . . . suis* probably describes a potential situation (“if [or “when”] the populace defies its kings, the palace has them within striking distance”); the phrase, though, could also function literally, depicting a constant state of defiance on one side and devastating retaliation on the other.

644 *contumacem . . . populum*: the Mycenaean show the resistance to tyranny that was a recurring, though never dominant, strain of Roman political life under the Principate, cf. Tac. *Agr.* 42.3–4, *Ann.* 4.20 *dubitare cogor . . . an . . . liceat . . . inter*

abruptam contumaciam et deforme obsequium peragere iter ambitione ac periculis vacuum. Seneca elsewhere prescribes an *animus fortis et contumax* in the face of disaster (NQ 3 pr. 13) and elicits admiration for Andronache's *contumacia* toward Ulysses in *Troades* (589), but he also admits that *contumacia* is a defect in a courtier (*Tranq.* 6.2). The present passage maintains an interestingly neutral tone.

645 sub ictu: literally "within range of a blow falling from above," figuratively in a state of complete subjection (cf. "under one's thumb"). In *Clem.* 1.26.4 Seneca imagines a tyrant whose cruelty cannot be satisfied *nisi eodem tempore grex miserorum sub ictu stetit*; for the *domus Pelopia* to have an entire *populus* in this position marks a truly advanced state of tyranny. Roman audiences might have thought of "Caligula," *qui optabat ut populus Romanus unam cervicem haberet, ut scelera sua tot locis ac temporibus diducta in unum ictum et unum diem cogeret* (*Ira* 3.19.5).

645–47 The palace's size and lavish decoration recall the life that Thyestes has professed to despise (455–57) and that the Chorus has distinguished from true kingship (344–47). In *Epist.* 90.25 Seneca denounces contemporary extravagance in similar terms: *quid loquar marmora quibus templa, quibus domus fulgent? quid lapideas moles in rotundum ac leve formatas quibus porticus et capacia populorum tecta suscipimus?*

647 variis columnae nobiles maculis: Vergil's *centum sublime columnis* (*Aen.* 7.170) has been revised to include a reference to multi-colored stone, a refinement often criticized in Neronian moralizing, cf. *Tranq.* 1.7, *Epist.* 115.8, *Petr. Sat.* 119.29.

maculis: "spots" of color, with an underlying suggestion of defilement, for which cf. Verg. *Aen.* 12.67–68 *Indum sanguineo veluti violaverit ostro / si quis ebur.*

648 post ista vulgo nota: a pointed transition: if these are the public areas of the palace, surely its inner precincts will contain even more rare and choice treasures. This expectation is fulfilled, with a typical inversion of normal values, in 659–64.

populi: "whole nations," suggesting the enormous size of the rooms, cf. Sen. *Contr.* 2.1.11 *ut convivia populis instruantur*, Sen. *Epist.* 90.25 *capacia populorum tecta*; *populi* also depicts Pelopid rule on an imperial scale, cf. Verg. *Aen.* 2.556 *Pergama tot quondam populis terrisque superbum / regnatorem Asiae*, 6.851 *tu regere imperio populos, Romane, memento.*

colunt: primarily referring to assiduous attendance or service, as in, e.g., Livy 7.32.16 *semper ego plebem Romanam . . . colo atque colui*, but perhaps with religious overtones as well, for which cf. Ovid *Met.* 11.578 *Iunonis templa colebat*. It would suit Tantalid pretensions for their palace to be treated as an object of cult; see on 902.

649 spatia: "areas" or "rooms," cf. Ovid *Met.* 7.670 *in interius spatium pulchrosque recessus* [cf. *secessu* 650] / *Cecropidas ducit.*

discedit: the unusual personification heightens the sense of the palace's vast size; the literal meaning of *discedere* may also be evoked, as the inhabited areas "disperse" and the listener confronts the mysterious region at its center. [Seneca might have been recalling Cat. 64.43 *quacumque opulenta recessit / regia.*]

650 arcana . . . secessu: = *arcana regio* (nom.) *in imo secessu*; for *secessus* of the innermost part of the Princes' dwelling cf. Plin. *Pan.* 83.1 *magna fortuna . . . nihil tectum, nihil occultum esse patitur; principum vero non domus modo sed cubicula ipsa intimosque secessus recludit, omniaque arcana noscenda famae proponit atque explicat.*

651–56 The details of the grove closely resemble those in *Oed.* 530–47, describing the *lucus* where the spirit of Laius is raised from the underworld. By placing the grove at the

center of the palace (contrast *Oed.* 530 *procul ab urbe lucus*), Seneca both symbolically equates the source of evil with the seat of power and also strengthens the Roman color of the scene: the grove is a perverted counterpart of the inner courtyard of a large Roman house, with its man-made lakes and forests, cf. Sen. *Contr.* 2.1.13 (Papius Fabianus) *quin etiam montes silvasque in domibus marcidis et in umbra fumoque viridia aut maria amnesque imitantur*, 5.5, Suet. *Nero* 31.2 (the *Domus Aurea*) *stagnum maris instar, circumsaeptum aedificiis ad urbium specimen; rura insuper arvis atque vinetis et pascuis silvisque varia.* (In *Aen.* 7.172 Latinus' palace is *horrendum silvis*, but those trees surround the building rather than being enclosed by it.)

651 alta . . . nemus: groves and vales are a natural combination, cf. *Oed.* 530–31 *lucus . . . Dircaea circa vallis . . . loca*, *Pho.* 15–16 *per obscurum nemus / silvamque opacae vallis*, Ovid *F.* 3.263–66. Here, though, the *vallis* does not merely surround the grove but "confines" it (*compescens*); the image suggests checking a noxious growth, cf. Ovid *Met.* 14.629–30 *spatiantia passim / brachia compescit.*

652 penetrale regni: the *penetralia*, or innermost parts of a palace, are often the setting for violent or mysterious events, cf. Verg. *Aen.* 2.484, 508 (Priam's murder), 4.504 (Dido's funeral pyre), 7.59 (the omens given to Latinus), Ovid *Met.* 6.646 (Procne's killing of Itys).

nulla: with *arbor* in 653, placed first for emphasis.

laetos: primarily "abundant" or "flourishing," cf. Verg. *G.* 1.1 *quid faciat laetas segetes*, Sen. *Cons. Helv.* 9.1 *non est haec terra frugiferarum aut laetarum arborum ferax*, but also implying "of good omen," cf. Ovid *Pont.* 3.1.19–20 *rara neque haec felix in apertis eminet arvis / arbor.*

653 aut ferro coli: *coli* (pass. inf. of *colere*) is parallel to *praebere* and dependent on *solet*. "Tending with iron" is a high-flown way of referring to pruning, a sign of normal care naturally absent here.

654 taxus . . . cupressus . . . ilice: unlike the Theban grove in *Oedipus*, made up of trees of all kinds (538–41, inspired by Ovid *Met.* 10.90–103), this wood is limited to trees of ill omen or gloomy appearance. The yew (*taxus*) was thought to be poisonous (cf. *Oed.* 555 *mortifera*) and therefore often appears in places linked to the underworld, e.g., Ovid *Met.* 4.432, Luc. 6.645, or in the underworld itself, as at *HF* 690–91. (It is still found in many English churchyards.) The cypress was associated with death and mourning, cf. Hor. *C.* 2.14.22–24 *neque harum quas colis arborum / te praeter invisas cupressos / ulla brevem dominum sequetur* (with Nisbet-Hubbard *ad loc.*). The holm-oak (*ilix nigra*) was not intrinsically unlucky (Ovid uses it for the avian Elysium of *Am.* 2.6.49), but its dense shade could easily evoke feelings of mystery and dread, cf. Ovid *F.* 2.165, 3.295.

655 nutat: see on 465; this "nodding wood" contrasts pointedly with Thyestes' idealized picture (and is perhaps the reality that underlies it).

655–56 quam . . . nemus: as in *Oed.* 542–44, the grove is dominated by a tall oak, but while the Theban oak stands watch over the trees beneath (544 *una defendit nemus*), the tree here looks down on them and "conquers" the grove (*vincit*)—even nature seems to share the Tantalid striving for dominion (cf. 339–42). [Seneca's oaks are probably inspired by Ovid's description of the grove of Ceres violated by Erysichthon in *Met.* 8.743–50; Ovid's *una nemus* in 744 may be the source of *una defendit nemus* in *Oed.* 544. Seneca might in turn have contributed to Lucan's famous comparison of Pompey to a tottering but still revered oak in 1.135–43.]

656 nemus: echoes *nemus* at the end of 651 and closes off this segment of the narrative.

657 *hinc*: "from this place" (linked to the idea of "beginning" in *auspicari*, see next note).

auspicari regna: "solemnly to begin their reigns," a clear echo of Verg. *Aen.* 7.173-74 *hic sceptrā accipere et primos attollere fasces / regibus omen erat*, also applied to the Tantalids in Ag. 9-10 *hinc auspicari regium capiti decus / mos est Pelasgis*.

658 *lassis*: see on 616.

659-64 The grove is hung with votive offerings commemorating the triumphs of the ruling house. Seneca's revision of Vergil is here at its most pointed, cf. *Aen.* 7.183-92. Latinus' *captivi . . . currus* (184) are transformed into the chariot of Oenomaus, sabotaged for Pelops by Myrtilus (see on 139-43 above), and Vergil's portrait of Latinus' ancestor Picus as a proto-Roman is countered by the depiction of Pelops in blatantly oriental colors (662-63). If the Tantalids are meant to suggest Rome's own rulers, this passage implies a particularly bitter comment on imperial crime and hypocrisy.

659 *dona*: for spoils of war hung up in a sacred grove cf. *Med.* 483-86.

vocales tubae: in a normal triumphal setting *tubae* would be war-trumpets, but as the following words show, here they are the trumpets used to signal the start of a chariot-race, cf. Verg. *Aen.* 5.113, 139, Ovid *Met.* 10.652 with Bömer's note.

660 *Myrtoi maris*: a highly condensed allusion to Pelops' drowning of Myrtilus (see on 139-43).

662 *omne gentis facinus*: *facinus* can have a neutral or positive sense and in Roman military contexts often denotes a courageous "exploit," cf., e.g., Livy 3.12.5, 40.40.9 *Romanorum equitum tam memorabile facinus*. Here the unrevealing *omne* allows the audience to imagine what kind of *facinora* is meant.

662-63 *Phrygius . . . tiaras Pelopis*: Pelops was Phrygian by birth, since his father Tantalus had reigned in Sipylus, cf. Ovid *Tr.* 2.3.85-86 *non Tantalides . . . / Pisaeam Phrygiis vexit eburnus equis?* Pelops, however, did not himself rule in Phrygia, and by focusing on his "Phrygian tiara" Seneca is probably alluding to the Phrygian origins of the Romans; Vergil's Priam wore just such an oriental turban, cf. *Aen.* 7.247 with Fordyce's note.

664 *de*: "from," cf. 175 *de gurgite*; the *chlamys* is a remnant of the triumphal ceremony.

triumpho . . . barbarico: there is irony in the Phrygian Tantalids celebrating a victory over "barbarian" enemies, especially since Ennius and Vergil had used *barbaricus* to describe the Phrygian Trojans (cf. Enn. Sc. 94 V², Verg. *Aen.* 2.504 of Priam's palace, *barbarico postes auro spoliisque superbi*). The implied perspective is perhaps again Roman, reflecting the Roman view of Gallic or German opponents as *barbari* (while deflating Roman assumptions of superiority).

chlamys: i.e., an embroidered robe worn by a conquered foreign enemy in a triumph, such as those mentioned by Persius (6.46 *chlamydas regum*) as procured for Gaius' spurious German "triumph," cf. Suet. *Cal.* 47.

665-67 These lines complete the preliminary description of the grove, to which the sluggish stream serves as a kind of border, cf. *Oed.* 545-47 *tristis sub illa, lucis et Phoebi inscius, / restagnat umor frigore aeterno rigens; / limosa pigrum circumit fontem palus*.

665 *stat*: "stands immobile," i.e., rather than flowing naturally; cf. *haeret* 666.

665-66 *nigra . . . palude*: probably abl. of description ("sticks fast in a black pool").

666-67 *talis . . . unda*: for the comparison cf. Ag. 493-94 *dirae Stygis / inferna nox est*, Luc. 6.648-49 *non Taenariis sic faucibus aer / sedit iners*. Here the reference to Styx is more than figurative, since there is a real link between the grove and the lower world.

667 *deformis*: the meaning of *deformis* increases in strength from merely "unsightly" here to "disfiguring" in 775 and finally to "lacking [or "destroying"] all shape" in 832 *deforme chaos*.

quae . . . fidem: an oath that a god swore by the Styx could not be broken (cf. Hom. *Il.* 14.271, Verg. *Aen.* 6.324); Styx therefore "induces belief" in heaven (*fidem facere*, cf. *OLD* s.v. *fides*, #11). The Messenger casts, as it were, a glance upward to the gods of heaven, where *fides* still has some place, before plunging into the infernal heart of the grove.

668-79 The grove is a place where the lower world intrudes onto the world above, a confusion of realms that recalls the prologue (and that also looks forward to the final scene, where the dead and the living are perversely mingled in the body of Thyestes, cf. 1050-51, 1090-92). Compare *Oed.* 160-79, on Thebes at the height of the plague.

668-69 *hinc . . . sonat*: the groaning and noise of chains are reminiscent of Vergil's Tartarus, cf. *Aen.* 6.557-58 *hinc exaudiri gemitus et saeva sonare / verbera, tum stridor ferri tractaeque catenae*.

668 *ferales deos*: "the gods of the dead," an expression found only here and in *Med.* 740, and nearly equivalent to the common *di manes*, as *manes* in 670 implies; cf. *HF* 1146 *turba feralis*, "a throng of spirits."

669 *catenis . . . excussis*: more energetic than Vergil's *tractae . . . catenae* (see on 668-69); these ghosts vigorously shake their chains, perhaps in frustration, or else to cause fright. (There is no need to take *excussis* as "shaken off," cf. Ovid *Met.* 5.596 *excussa . . . braccia iacto*, Sen. Ag. 5 *pavor membra excutit*.)

669-70 *lucus excussis . . . ululantque*: the *u* sounds suggest the eerie moaning of the shades.

670-71 *quidquid . . . videtur*: a progression upward in terror, from sound to sight; *quidquid* for emotive effect, cf. 5 *aliquid*, 15 *si quid*.

670 *audire est metus*: "it causes fear even to hear of"; cf. Ter. *Ph.* 482 *quantum metus est mihi videre . . . salvum . . . patrum*.

671-72 *errat . . . turba*: the dead normally rise from their tombs only in response to a great calamity, cf. Ovid *Met.* 15.796-98 (at the assassination of Julius Caesar) *inque foro circumque domos et templa deorum / nocturnos ululasse canes umbraeque silentum / erravisse ferunt*, similarly *Evang. Matth.* 27.52. In the courtyard of the Tantalid palace this seems an everyday occurrence.

671 *errat*: "walks abroad," of spirits usually confined beneath the earth, cf. Verg. *Aen.* 7.557-58 (Juno to Allecto) *et super aetherias errare licentius auras / haud pater ille velit*, Ovid *Met.* 15.798 (just cited), Sen. *Oed.* 172. (There may be a link with other uses of *errare*; see on 282.)

672 *emissa*: "released" from normal restraint, cf. Verg. G. 3.551-52 *in lucem Stygiis emissa tenebris / pallida Tistphone*, Sen. Ag. 2 (n).

insultant loco: probably not "spring from the place" (Miller) but "leap in the place,"

in a ghostly travesty of a dance (cf. *Enn. Sc.* 127 V² *Bacchico insultans modo*); perhaps also with a suggestion of mockery, as in 164 above, cf. *Ag.* 839 (n).

673 maiora notis: the Tantalids, with their insatiable urge to surpass previous levels of crime (cf. 19–20, 255–56, 267–70), are fittingly haunted by apparitions “greater than what has been known.”

673–75 A mysterious fire can often be seen, which burns but does not consume. Similar portents in *Luc.* 3.420 (a forest), *Silius* 8.626 (soldiers’ weapons); the phenomenon may be related to the appearance of fire above or around a person’s head, as in *Verg. Aen.* 2.682–86, 7.73–77; see Pease on *Cic. Div.* 1.121. This fire loosely parallels the flames of 767–70, which also behave unnaturally.

673 quin: “not only that, but,” introducing a climax, cf. 990, *HF* 392, *Med.* 441, *Ag.* 410a, etc.

tota: with *silva*, abl. of place, cf. *Ovid* (?) *Her.* 18.152 *micat gelido . . . Ursa polo*. [Several unconvincing attempts have been made to replace *tota* with a more pointed adjective; for intensifying *totus* see 696 below, *Oed.* 570–71 *tota succusso solo / pulsata tellus*.]

674 trabes: probably not the roof-beams of the palace, as in 646, but the tree-trunks of the grove, cf. *Ben.* 3.29.5 *aspice trabes, sive proceritatem aestimes, altissimas, sive crassitudinem spatiumque ramorum, latissime fusas*.

675–76 saepe . . . saepe: the tempo accelerates as the Messenger nears the end of his description; sound and sight, presented in 668–70 and 671–76, now recur in shorter phrases (*latratu* vs. *simulacris*).

latratu . . . trino: “threefold barking” suggests Cerberus (cf. *Verg. Aen.* 6.417 *Cerberus haec ingens latratu regna trifauci / personat*), but here it is more likely to announce the presence of Hecate, as in the closely similar lines *Oed.* 569–70 *latravit Hecates turba; ter valles caecae / sonuere maestum* and *Med.* 840–41 *ter latratus / audax Hecate dedit*.

676 remugit: possibly a reminiscence of Vergil’s Cumaean Sibyl, cf. *Aen.* 6.99 *horrendas canit ambages antroque remugit* (see on 681 below); *remugire* of a barking sound, though, is perhaps unfortunate.

676–77 simulacris . . . magnis: “enormous phantoms” (*simulacra* = *umbrae*, cf. *Ovid Met.* 4.434, 10.14); an ominous vagueness, as with *quidquid* 670. See also *Ag.* 765–68.

677–79 The Messenger elaborates the last element of the description, the blurring of day and night, in a sort of *tricolon abundans* (see on 267–69), each phrase more pointed and emphatic than the last. The theme clearly anticipates the reaction of the cosmos to Atreus’ crimes, cf. 777, 789–92.

678 nox propria luco est: “the grove has a night all its own,” i.e., the evil concentrated in it is so great that the succession of day and night does not operate—another inversion of the norm that will soon be felt in the outside world, cf. 813. The thought resembles that in *Oed.* 549 *praestitit noctem locus* (of the Theban grove), but the expression is more pointed.

678–79 superstitio . . . regnat: the violation of normal boundaries is especially clear here, cf. *HF* 56 *sacra dirae mortis in aperto iacent*.

678 superstitio: simply “dread,” without implications of baselessness, cf. *Verg. Aen.* 12.817 *una superstitio superis quae reddita divis* (“the one thing which makes the gods

feel dread”); *inferum* is obj. gen., “the dread produced by the lower world.”

679–82 hinc . . . solvente: the oracular function of the grove has been alluded to earlier (658), but this detail does not figure in the rest of the narrative. Seneca may have placed it here to provide a religious context for Atreus’ “sacrifice.”

681 laxantur . . . fata: the *fata* (= “individual destinies,” as in 618) are “released” in the sense of being made known, set free from obscurity (perhaps on the analogy of opening a door, cf. *HF* 962 *laxare fores*), or alternatively “disentangled,” i.e., from the chain of causes (cf. *Oed.* 990 *quae nexa suis currunt causis*, and note *laxare* of untying knots, *Livy* 24.7.5, *Luc.* 4.632). The expression seems without parallel.

adyto: either “in the innermost recess” of the grove (abl. of place) or “from the innermost recess” (if the *fata* are confined there); for the latter cf. *Ovid Met.* 15.635–36 *corntina . . . reddidit imo / hanc adyto vocem*.

immugit specus: cf. *Verg. Aen.* 6.98–99 (quoted above on 672 *remugit*), 3.92 *mugire adytis cortina reclusis*.

682 quo: at last the narrative resumes (or, more precisely, begins); for *quo* in this function cf. *Ovid Met.* 2.19.

684 ornantur arae: the same phrase appears at 464, another correspondence between the grove and Thyestes’ vision of exalted state (see on 655 *nutat*).

quis . . . eloqui?: in formal speech (lament, panegyric, description) it is common to begin by doubting one’s ability to treat the subject adequately; the device is called “initial hesitation” by M. Alexiou, *The Ritual Lament in Greek Tradition* (Cambridge, 1974), 161, the “inexpressibility topos” by E. R. Curtius, *European Literature and the Latin Middle Ages* (New York, 1953), 159–60. A particular stylized version is seen in the Homeric “not even if I had ten tongues could I describe . . .” (*Il.* 2.488–89) and its Vergilian amplification *non mihi si linguae centum sint . . .* (*Aen.* 6.625, with Austin’s note). By reducing the idea to a fleeting question, Seneca transforms a “rhetorical flourish” (Austin) into a harrowing cry of despair. (Contrast Thyestes’ use of the motif in 1035–36.)

685 revocat: “draws/pulls back,” cf. *Ag.* 296 (*Phoebum*) *frena revocantem sua*, *Oed.* 416–17 *spargere effusus sine lege crines, / rursus adducto revocare nodo*. The word may suggest a formal, even ceremonial action. [E’s *religat* looks like either a simpler substitute or a mistaken anticipation of *ligat* in the line below.]

686 vittā . . . purpureā: the band of wool placed around the head of a sacrificial victim, cf. *Verg. G.* 3.486–87 *stans hostia ad aram / lanea dum nivea circumdatur infula vitta*. It adds a horrible sense of ritual correctness to scenes of human sacrifice, cf. *Lucret.* 1.87 (Iphigenia), *Verg. Aen.* 2.133 (Sinon), *Ovid Pont.* 3.2.74–75 (Orestes and Pylades). In this case the band is purple—Atreus’ ironic tribute to his victims’ royal status (see on 345).

687–90a Atreus directs the “sacrifice” with his customary energy, insisting on complete verisimilitude. (The reference to the children as *victimae* in 688 recalls Tantalus’ attempted murder of Pelops, *immatura foecis victima concidit* 146; perverting sacrificial ritual seems to be an inherited trait.) The depiction of the murders as a sacrifice is a traditional element of the story, found as early as Aeschylus (*Ag.* 1592); it also appears in other myths of cannibalism (e.g., that of Lycaon, cf. “Apollodorus” *Bibl.* 3.8.1), and may be a survival of actual cult practices of an earlier period, cf. W. Burkert, *Homo necans* (Eng. trans. [Berkeley, 1983]), 83–134. Seneca gives this motif a new significance: the sacrificial slaughter is a ritual by which Atreus affirms (or perhaps establishes) his status as a god, see on 544–45, 712–13, 885.

687–88 *tura* . . . *Bacchi liquor* . . . *victimam*: wine, incense, and a blood-victim constitute a traditional form of generous sacrifice, cf. Hor. C. 1.19.14–16 *tura* . . . *bimi cum patera meri* . . . *victima*, Ovid *Met.* 11.247–48 *vino* . . . *fuso / et pecoris fibris et fumo turis*. [Compare also Ag. 806–807 *pecore votivo libens / Arabumque donis supplicet et fibra colam*, where the change of *pecore* to *latice* (suggested to me by C. P. Jones) both removes an awkward repetition (*pecore-fibra*) and introduces the third element of this common triad.]

687 *sacer Bacchi liquor*: for the high-flown periphrasis cf. Verg. G. 2.192 *laticis, qualem pateris libamus*, Sen. *Med.* 810 *sacrum laticem*.

688 *taugens* . . . *mola*: *mola salsa*, a mixture of salt and husked wheat, was sprinkled on the forehead of the victim, on the hearth, and on the sacrificial knife (so Servius on Verg. *Aen.* 2.133); it is a staple of Latin poetic accounts of sacrifice (some examples in Pease on Verg. *Aen.* 4.517), but this passage is unusual in specifying that the *culter* was used to daub the victims with the mixture, cf. Luc. 1.610, Juv. 12.84. The detail manifests Atreus' concern to follow approved procedure to the letter. (See on 1057–65.)

690 *Quis* . . . *admovet*?: the Chorus cannot imagine who would take part in this grotesque charade, and so asks who wielded the knife.

manum ferro admovet: “stretches out his hand to the blade,” cf. *Tro.* 693 *dextram pedibus admovet tuis*, 947 *admoveri crinibus patitur manus*. Miller's note calls *admovere manum* a technical term of sacrifice (for which cf. perhaps *Oed.* 336), but here it seems only a piece of normal high-poetic diction.

691–95 Atreus plays all the parts in his sacrificial drama. There is a faint foreshadowing of these lines in Vergil's account (*Aen.* 4.60–64) of the love-sick Dido, who herself (*ipsa* 60) prepares victims for sacrifice and plunges her hands into the still-quivering entrails.

691 *funesta prece*: a loose abl. of manner (AG 412), which defines the *carmen letale* of 692 as having the form of a prayer.

692 *carmen*: the prescribed formula uttered by the *pontifex* (*OLD* s.v., #1e), here a *carmen letale* (i.e., a formula to accompany murder) on the analogy of, e.g., *carmen execrabile* (a curse-formula) in Livy 31.17.9.

ore violento: Atreus' furious delivery (if that is what the phrase means) seems a slightly jarring touch, since ritual practice required the words of the prayer to be uttered without any distortion, cf. Sen. *Cons. Marc.* 13.1 (a *pontifex* who received news of his son's death as he was sacrificing) *ille exaudisse dissimulavit et sollemnia pontificii carminis verba concepit, gemitu non interrumpente precationem*.

694 *contractat*: an unsettlingly precise detail. Atreus handles the children's bodies to make sure that they are unblemished, as required by sacrificial law (cf. Servius on Verg. *Aen.* 4.57 *moris* . . . *fuertat ut ad sacrificia eligerentur oves quibus nihil deessent*).

componit: “arranges” them in the order he wishes, the ironic fulfillment of young Tantalus' description of Atreus (432–33): *lacerat domus / componit artus*.

ferro parat: “readies them for the knife,” *ferro* dat. of purpose (AG 382.2), cf. Ovid *Met.* 9.34 *pugnae membra paravi*. [*ferro parat* is a conjecture, produced independently by Otto Zwierlein and myself; all Mss read *ferro admovet*, which seems impossibly lame after 690 and which also gives the wrong picture: it is the sword that approaches the victim, as 721–23 show. The most elegant of earlier conjectures is Bentley's *atque arae admovet*, but no reference to the *ara* seems needed after 693; all the actions of 694 are to

be imagined as happening at the altar. The suggestion printed here is a refinement of Koetschau's *ferrum parat*; the change to *ferro* makes the children (*devotos neci*) the object of *parat*, as they are of *contractat* and *componit*. If this proposal is correct, the error arose when a scribe copying 693 glanced instead at the *ferro* of 690 and went on with the next word in that line.]

695 *attendit ipse*: “he himself acts as observer,” i.e., he watches for any ill-omened detail that might compromise the ritual; such a functionary is mentioned by Pliny (*NH* 28.11) in a reference to Roman state sacrifices conducted by the chief magistrates.

perit: i.e., is “lost” through neglect, cf. Ovid *Am.* 2.3.14 *indigna est pigro forma perire situ*. Atreus' solicitude for the “health” of his ritual contrasts with his attitude to the lives of his victims, a sign of his essential derangement.

696–702 A series of premonitory disturbances, as the outer world registers the imminent evil; see on 262–65, 985–95.

696 *succusso solo*: cf. 989, *Oed.* 570.

697 *nutavit*: a more violent form of the “nodding” image seen earlier in 455, 655.

697–98 *dubia* . . . *similis*: the mannerism of attributing hesitation to inanimate objects was made popular by Ovid (cf. *Met.* 8.472, *Tr.* 1.2.26 *nescit cui domino pareat unda maris*) and carried further by Seneca and Lucan, cf. Ag. 140 (n). Here, though, it is not merely decorative: the wavering of the *aula* is the physical counterpart of the shifting fortunes of the house, a specific instance of the condition set out by the Fury in the prologue: *dubia violentae domus / fortuna reges inter incertos labet* (33–34). (This dynastic aspect of Atreus' crime may explain why several of the portents named correspond to signs observed before the death of a ruler.)

698 *fluctuanti similis*: “seeming to waver”; *similis* with a present participle describes an action that only appears to be happening, cf. Verg. *Aen.* 6.602–603 *silex . . . cadenti . . . adsimilis*, 8.649–50 *illum indignanti similem similemque minanti / aspiceres*.

laevo: in Roman augury the left was the favorable side, but Roman poets freely adopted the Greek association of the left with ill omen, cf. Fordyce on Catullus 45.8, Pease on Cic. *Div.* 2.82. Context usually shows which sense is meant; here *atrum* . . . *limitem* specifies an unnatural portent.

699 *sidus*: a shooting star of the kind that fell to presage the assassination of Julius Caesar, cf. Verg. G. 1.488 *diri totiens arsere cometae*, Luc. 1.526–29. Seneca's wording, though, seems specifically to recall (and invert) the favorable omen of Roman rule in *Aeneid* 2.692–97, *subito . . . fragore / intonuit laevum* [cf. 698 *e laevo aethere*] *et de caelo lapsa per umbras / stella facem ducens multa cum luce cucurrit . . . tum longo limite sulcus / dat lucem*, etc. For the *limes* (“trail”) of the comet cf. also Ovid *Met.* 15.849 *flammiferum . . . trahens spatioso limite crinem*.

700–701 *libata* . . . *Baccho*: for the portent cf. *Oed.* 324 *libata Bacchi dona permutat cruor*. It has particular point here, since Atreus will in a sense transform wine into blood at Thyestes' feast, cf. 914–17, 984–88.

mutato fluunt / cruenta Baccho: *cruenta* is predicate adj. (compare “the rivers ran red”), and *mutato* . . . *Baccho* is an ablative absolute defining the means by which the change takes place, i.e., through a loss of the wine's essential character (symbolized by Bacchus). Normal syntax is pressed rather hard, perhaps suggesting the unnatural action being described; compare 172–73, also depicting a metamorphosis.

701 regium capiti decus: cf. 346, 531. The toppling diadem symbolizes the insecurity of Tantalid power, cf. 32–33 *superbis fratribus regna excidant*. (See also 947.)

702 bis terque lapsum: probably not to be taken literally, but like *ter quater*, i.e., “again and again,” cf. Ovid *Met.* 4.517–18 *bis terque per auras / more rotat fundae*.

flevit . . . ebur: again recalling the portents of the death of Julius Caesar, cf. Verg. *G.* 1.480 *maestum inlacrimat templis ebur*, Ovid *Met.* 15.792 *mille locis lacrimavit ebur*; of omens preceding Caesar’s invasion of Italy, Luc. 1.556–57 *indigetes flevisse deos Urbisque laborem / testatos sudasse Lares* (sc. *accipimus*). See also on 264–65.

703–704 movere . . . constat: after a quick glance at the terrified observers (*movere cunctos monstra*), Seneca focuses again on Atreus, alone in his mad resolution. This technique for isolating a crazed protagonist is found in Ovid’s stories of Niobe (*Met.* 6.287 *qui praeter Nioben unam conterritur omnes*) and Erysichthon (*Met.* 8.765 *obstipuerunt omnes*).

sibi . . . constat: Atreus possesses the fixity of purpose that usually marks a *sapiens*, cf. Hor. *Epist.* 1.14.16 *me constare mihi scis*, Sen. *Ira* 3.27.1, *Epist.* 66.45 (of Epicurean tranquillitas) *animus constat sibi et placidus est*. Atreus’ travesty of *constantia* is underscored by young Tantalus’ true fortitude, cf. 720 *stetit sui securus*. (See also on 713 *sibi*.)

704–705 deos / terret minantes: Atreus reacts as he did to the earlier portents (cf. 265–66 *fiat hoc, fiat nefas / quod, di, timetis*), but with even greater vigor; *ultra* stresses his taking the initiative.

705–706 iamque . . . adsistit aris: the narrative resumes with a quickened tempo (*dimissa mora*) and a new “scene-setting” phrase, *adsistit aris* (renewing 693), for which cf. Ovid *Met.* 8.480 (Althaea) *ante sepulcrales infelix adstitit aris*. In Ovid this introduces a soliloquy, here a substantial simile.

706 torvum et obliquum intuens: the sidelong glance (*obliquum*) recalls Tantalus in the underworld (cf. 160 *obliquat . . . oculos*), but *torvum* defines the look as hostile (cf. Petr. *Sat.* 113.6 *obliquis trucibusque oculis*) and also anticipates the tenor of the simile, since *torvus* is often used of wild animals, e.g., the lioness of Verg. *Ecl.* 2.62.

torvum et obliquum: adverbial or “cognate” accs. (AG 390b).

707–11 Atreus’ changing moods as the slaughter proceeds are reflected in a pair of comparisons, first to a tigress whose hunger is so fierce that it cannot decide which of the available victims to attack first, later (732–36) to a lion that goes on killing even when its hunger has been sated (734 *pulsa fame*). The simile in 497–503 plays a comparable role at an earlier stage of the action. In *Troades* a series of similes likening Astyanax to a young animal connects the views taken of him by Ulysses (537–40), Andromache (794–99), and the more objective Messenger (1093–96).

The tigress-simile is based on Ovid *Met.* 5.164–67, Perseus wondering which of two opponents to set upon: *tigris ut auditis diversa valle duorum / exstimulata fame mugitibus armentorum / nescit utro potius ruat et ruere ardet utroque, sic dubius Perseus, dextra laevane feratur*, etc. Seneca embellishes his adaptation with the exotic detail *silvis . . . in Gangeticis* (itself drawn from Ovid, *Met.* 6.636–37, of Procne: *trahit Ityn, veluti Gangetica cervae / lactentem fetum per silvas tigris opacas*). He also heightens the element of doubt (*incerta, famem dubiam tenet*) in keeping with the prominence of this theme elsewhere (see above, p. 46 n. 158), and introduces language linking the simile with the motifs of “wandering” (*erravit* 708, see on 282) and desire (*cupida* 709, see on *avido* 2).

708 erravit: generalizing perfect, see on 553, 580; the present tenses that follow (*ferat*,

flectit, reflectit, tenet) describe the scene in closer focus.

709–10 quo . . . ferat . . . morsus: indirect question dependent on *incerta*, which is coordinate with *cupida*.

710–11 flectit . . . rictus . . . reflectit: the repeated *ct* sounds may suggest the gnashing of teeth.

hoc . . . illo: adverbs, “in this direction . . . in that direction,” cf. *Ben.* 5.6.5, *NQ* 5.1.1. [On *hoc*, more often seen as *huc*, cf. my edition of *Agamemnon*, p. 366.]

711 dubiam: predicate adj. (“keeps his hunger in doubt”).

712 capita devota: *capita* here = “lives” to be consumed in a *devotio* or ritual immolation, cf. Verg. *Aen.* 3.370–71 *vittas . . . resolvit / sacrati capitis*, Cic. *Dom.* 145 *me . . . atque meum caput . . . devovi*, Sen. *Ag.* 163 *lustrale classi Doricae . . . caput*, *TLL* 3.416.49–74.

712–13 impiae . . . irae: Atreus’ *ira* is the “deity” to whom the children are to be offered, taking the place of the *Di Manes* who would normally receive a *devotio*, cf. Livy 8.9.8 *legiones . . . mecum Deis Manibus Tellurique devoveo*, Flor. *Epit.* 1.12 *Decius . . . devotum Dis Manibus obtulit caput*. Medea also sacrifices the lives of others to her emotions, cf. *Med.* 1019–20 *plura non habui, dolor, / quae tibi litarem*. From here it is a short step for Atreus to regard the murders as an offering to himself, as he does in 713.

713 speculatur: the word remains partially within the animal-simile, since *speculari* can describe the watchfulness of a predator stalking its prey, cf. *Ben.* 4.20.3 *ut aves . . . lassa morbo pecora et casura ex proximo speculantur*, Pliny *NH* 8.33. (See also note on 504 *sperat sanguinem*.)

mactet sibi: the dative with *mactare* regularly names the divinity to whom a victim is offered (*OLD* s.v., #3, 4); Atreus is here openly usurping the honors of a god (cf. also 545 above, 885, 911 below). He thus achieves the standing that Thyestes has claimed to reject (464–65); Tantalus, too, had aspired to mingle with the gods (148). Atreus’ “apotheosis” suits his depiction as an inverse *sapiens* (see on 703–704), since the *sapiens* could claim equality with the gods, cf. *Ag.* 610 *par ille regi, par superis erit* (n).

715–16 nec interest . . . ordinare: a revealing aside; the assertion of control is so important to Atreus that he derives satisfaction from it even when nothing depends on the result.

716 tamen: “all the same” (i.e., despite this hesitation, Atreus must have started with one of the children).

ferro occupat: the usual sense of *occupare* with weapons is to “strike first” before an opponent can act (*OLD* s.v., #11b, cf. Verg. *Aen.* 12.299–300 *venienti Ebyso plagamque ferenti / occupat os flammis*), but this meaning hardly fits an attack on helpless, bound victims; *occupare* here may have the sense “carry off,” “sieve” (with connotations of violence), as in *HF* 64 *regna ne summa occupet*, *Pho.* 247–48 *protinus quosdam editos / nox occupavit*. The verb has a special association with Atreus, see on 204, 274.

717 ne . . . putes: i.e., *pietas* dictates that Tantalus, as the namesake of his great-grandfather, should be given the “place of honor” (*primus locus*). This almost gleeful irony is worlds away from the gibbering incoherence of the Messenger’s first lines; as he proceeds his control of the narrative becomes more and more overt, reaching at last a witty detachment equal to that of Atreus himself (cf. also 744–45, 746–47). [For sarcastic ascription of motive see *Ag.* 184–85 *ne . . . desertus foret / a paelice unquam . . . torus* (n).]

719–21 Young Tantalus dies with composure, like Astyanax in *Troades* (cf. 1091–1103). His bravery, though, unlike that of Astyanax, appears to have no larger thematic significance; it seems meant primarily to heighten revulsion at Atreus' savagery.

720 *sui securus*: "without care for himself" (i.e., for his own life), cf. *Ben.* 4.4.1 *deus . . . securus et negligens nostri, aversus a mundo*, ps-Sen. *HO* 1693.

721 *perire*: "to be wasted," "to go for naught"; perhaps a deliberate counterpart to 695 *nulla pars sacri perit*. See also on 1097.

illi: dat. of reference (AG 376), identifying Tantalus as the recipient of the action—a good example of the so-called "dative of disadvantage."

ferus: Atreus was called *ferus* in 546–47 *ferus ille . . . Atreus*, but here the absence of his name (or any other noun) and the surrounding animal-similes make one wonder whether *ferus* might be a substantive ("the beast").

722–23 *in vulnere . . . commisit*: as often in Senecan descriptions of violent death, the emphasis is not on bloodshed but on more subtly disturbing images—the "hiding" (*abscondit*) of the sword in the wound, the unremitting pressure of the thrust (*penitus premens*), and finally the grotesque juxtaposition of Atreus' hand and Tantalus' throat (*iugulo manum commisit*). The last is especially striking: *committere* often denotes the joining of like with like, cf., e.g., Ovid *Am.* 1.4.43 *nec femori committe femur*, *Her.* 2.31 *fides tibi nunc, commissaque dextera dextrae?*, but in this play, just as what belongs together is sundered (see on 179), so things that have no natural connection are forced into bizarre unions. (See also on 998.)

723–24 *educto . . . ferro*: several scenes in Ovid's *Metamorphoses* describe a weapon being withdrawn from a wound (cf. 5.39, 6.252, 9.129, 12.421–22); instead of the usual spray of gore, Seneca depicts a macabre mime of hesitation, which recalls and caps the previous uses of this image at 697–98 and 709–15.

723 *stetit*: a mocking echo of Tantalus' resolute "stand" of a moment ago, *stetit sui securus* 720; another sign of the Messenger's absorption of Atreus' spirit.

724 *cadaver*: pointedly emphatic: what remained erect was a mere corpse. [In general *cadaver* is a more highly charged word than *corpus*, carrying a stronger sense of the physical realities of death, cf. Verg. *G.* 3.557 and Ovid *Met.* 7.602 (plague victims), Verg. *Aen.* 8.264 (the monster Cacus), Hor. *S.* 2.5.85. It appears only here and at *Pho.* 36 in the tragedies and is on the whole rare in high poetry; the exception is Lucan, who insists on it to make his audience feel the ugliness of civil war. (See R. Mayer's edition of Lucan VIII [Warminster, 1980], 14.)]

725 *in patrum eadit*: i.e., in a futile gesture of hostility, as Polyxena falls in *Tro.* 1158–59 *cecidit ut Achilli gravem / factura terram, prona et irato impetu*.

726–29 Seneca arranges the deaths as a speaker might the order of points in an argument, with less prominence given to the middle than to the opening and closing sections.

727 *adicitque fratri*: i.e., he kills him; a typically Senecan way of alluding to an action by its result rather than naming it directly (see on 1044 *liberis detur via*).

percussa amputat: a characteristic Latin use of subordination to describe actions in a temporal sequence (where English would say "he strikes the neck and severs it").

728–29 After the clipped phrases recounting Plisthenes' beheading, the Messenger surveys its effects in two elaborately patterned lines; the neat chiasmic arrangement of phrases (*truncus in pronum ruit—cucurrit murmure incerto caput*), the striking use of

cucurrit for a rolling head, and above all the flamboyant sound-effects (9 *c*'s or *q*'s and 11 *u*'s!) suggest a speaker reveling in his powers of description. (The exuberance of these lines can be gauged by comparing the spare account of a decapitation in *Ag.* 902–903 *hinc trunco cruor / exundat, illinc ora cum fremitu iacent*.)

728 *in pronum*: adverbial, "downwards," cf. Manil. 3.372, Sen. *NQ* 5.12.1, *Epist.* 123.14 (*per pronum*, "downhill").

729 *querulum*: i.e., complaining at its death, like the spirits of Camilla and Turnus in the *Aeneid*, *vita . . . cum gemitu fugit indignata sub umbras* (11.831, 12.952); *querulum* is perhaps a reminiscence of Ovid's account of Orpheus' severed head, which murmured *flebile nescioquid* as it floated in the Hebrus, *Met.* 11.52–53 *flebile lingua / murmurat exanimis*. [For examples of tongues and other parts of the body continuing to function after death, see my note on *Ag.* 904, Bömer on Ovid *Met.* 6.560.]

cucurrit: *currere* of severed heads may be original with Seneca; the only parallel cited is from the next generation, *Il. lat.* 480 *deiectum longe caput a cervice cucurrit*. The image might have been suggested by the use of *currere* to describe rotating wheels, cf. Hor. *C.* 3.10.10, Ovid *Pont.* 4.9.10.

incerto: "unclear," i.e., not able to be made out distinctly (*OLD* s.v., #8c).

730–31 Even the Chorus seems swept up in the fervor of the Messenger's speech. These questions are framed in a more florid style than its earlier interventions (especially 690 and 716); note in particular the alliterative flair of *perfunctus facit, puerone parcit*, and *scelus sceleri*.

perfunctus: probably ironic, since *perfungor* commonly implies completing an arduous task or responsibility, cf. Cic. *Dom.* 134 (of the labors of Hercules), Sen. 77, Livy 22.26.3.

731 *puero*: the unnamed third child is presumably younger than his brothers.

732 *Silva . . . Armenia*: the Armenian lion, like the Indian tigress (707–708), belongs to the exotic regions that symbolize lawless violence, see also on 369–79. [The places chosen for this purpose vary with political conditions: Ko-Ko in *The Mikado* sings "I like to see a tiger / from the Congo or the Niger, / and especially when a-lashing of its tail."] Seneca's geography is here evocative rather than precise: Armenia was usually associated with tigers, not lions, cf. Ovid *Met.* 8.121 with Bömer's note. Seneca might be transposing the elements of Ovid *Met.* 15.86 *Armeniae tigres / iracundique leones*.

733 *in caede multa . . . armento*: Seneca alludes to the scene by its components, as it were, rather than naming it directly (e.g., by referring to *caesi iuveni*).

victor: looks both backward to *in multa caede* ("victorious amid much slaughter") and forward, qualifying *incubat* with quasi-adverbial force.

armento incubat: variously interpreted as "falls . . . on the herd" (Miller, similarly Thomann) and "lies stretched on its victims" (cf. *OLD* s.v. *incubo*, #1a). The picture is perhaps instead of the lion "leaning over" the bodies of animals it has already killed (corresponding to the bodies of Tantalus and Plisthenes) and, even though surfeited, still lunging at new victims; for this sense of *incubare* see on 155 above.

734–36 *cruore . . . impiger*: a loosely appended set of phrases elaborating the general description of the scene in 733; in the tiger-simile 710–11 *flectit . . . tenet* have the same function. (Compare *HF* 283–88, especially 286–88 *pectore . . . via*.)

734 *cruore rictus madidus*: "its jaws dripping with gore" (*rictus* is acc. of specification or "Greek" acc., AG 397b).

735 *non ponit iras*: Atreus' true nature emerges, reversing the earlier pretense of harmony, *ponatur omnis ira* 519 (cf. also 509–10).

premens: "charging," "harrasing" (*OLD* s.v., #7).

736 *dente iam lasso impiger*: "with undiminished vigor even though its teeth are by now exhausted." [*impiger* is a conjecture of Zwierlein for the manuscript reading *piger*; the traditional text asserts that the lion is sluggish, which does not cohere with the violent energy of Atreus' sword-thrust in 740.]

dente: collective singular, see on 232 *lapis*.

737 *ira tunet*: see on 267–68, 361–62.

738 *gemina caede perfusum*: echoing *gemina caede perfunctus* (730) at the point when the Messenger begins to answer the Chorus's question.

739 *oblitus in quem fureret*: a rare glimpse of Atreus without his usual calculating awareness; as with the exercise of control (see on 715–16), the inflicting of pain can be its own reward.

740 *exegit ultra corpus*: "he drove [i.e., the sword] out through the other side of his body" (lit., "beyond the body," cf. Curt. 4.15.4 *hastae multum ultra temonem eminentes*). The phrase is a good example of Seneca's ability to generate powerful effects from simple and even vague language: its abruptness (heightened by the preparatory clauses *ferrum . . . tenens, oblitus . . . fureret, infesta manu*) conveys the tremendous force of Atreus' thrust, and *ultra corpus* suggests a more devastating impact than the precise terms usually found with *exigere* (e.g., *per costas, per ilia, iugulo*, cf. Verg. *Aen.* 10.682, Ovid *Met.* 4.734, 12.572). For this effect compare Vergil's account of Aeneas killing Lausus *Aen.* 10.815–16 *exegit ensem / per medium Aeneas iuvenem*.

ultra: although a preposition here and an adverb in 745 and 748, all three instances of the word may be related to the idea of "surpassing" or transgressing normal limits, see on 19–20, above, p. 47).

740–41 *pueri . . . pectore*: in an unsettling displacement, the child is kept in a subordinate syntactical position while attention is focused on the progress of the sword through his body.

741 *pectore receptus . . . e tergo exstitit*: perhaps a conscious reversal of the detail in Ovid's account of the death of Nessus, *Met.* 9.127–28 *fugientia terga sagitta / traicit; exstabat ferrum de pectore aduncum*. (A fascination with bizarre forms of physical violence and mutilation is part of the *Metamorphoses*' legacy to later poets, cf. Gordon Williams, *Change and Decline* [Berkeley, 1978], 254–61.)

e tergo exstitit: "protruded from his back"; *exstitit* from *exstare*, as is shown by the parallels in Ovid *Met.* 9.128 (previous note) and 6.236 *exstabat nudum de gutture ferrum*. [*e* is my own conjecture; the Mss read *in*, which does not fit as well with *exstare* in this sense (and which may have arisen from taking *exstitit* as from *exsisto*); *e* is equivalent to *de* in the Ovidian passages cited earlier.]

742 *aras . . . extinguens*: the "altar" implies the sacrificial fires on it, a metonymy for which the closest antecedents I have found are in Propertius, 2.28.36 *iacet extincto laurus adusta foco* (*focus* = *flamma in foco*), 3.20.25 *qui pactas in foedera ruperit aras* (*arae* = *foedera ad aras pacta*). The phrasing may imply that this bloodshed extinguishes not only

the fire, but also the system of belief and practice that the altar represents.

aras: the plural is standard in high poetry, cf. *Ag.* 166 (ii).

743 *per utrumque vulnus moritur*: another reminiscence of Ovid on the death of Nessus, *Met.* 9.129 *sanguis per utrumque foramen / emicuit*; the combination of the graphic *per utrumque vulnus* and the abstract *moritur* is characteristically Senecan (above, p. 26).

743–53 *O saevum scelus! . . . spectet*: the Chorus now echoes the horror-struck tones of the Messenger's opening speeches (cf. *tantum nefas* 624, *o domus* 625), while the Messenger enters even further into the mocking spirit of Atreus, meeting each outburst with a pointed *sententia*.

744–45 *hactenus si stat nefas, / pius est*: "if the crime stops here, he is innocent"; for the ironic linking of *scelus* and *pietas* compare Medea's exhortation, *quidquid admissum est adhuc, / pietas vocetur* (904–905). Both phrases may owe something to Ovid's Procne (*Met.* 6.635): *scelus est pietas in coniuge Terei* (perhaps also the source of ps-Sen. *HO* 986 *hoc erit pietas scelus*).

744 *hactenus*: "at this point (and not at a farther one)." In post-Augustan Latin *hactenus* often loses the sense of spatial or temporal extent and expresses a general idea of limitation, cf. Sen. *Epist.* 88.1 *artificia . . . hactenus utilia* ("useful on condition that"), Tac. *Ann.* 14.42.5 *hactenus Vitellius voluerat* ("this and no more," see Furneaux *ad loc.*); for *hactenus stare* cf. the almost exact parallel in ps-Quint. *Decl. min.* 335 (p. 320 l. 9) *si calamitas mea hactenus stetit*. [This passage confirms Heinsius' conjecture *si stat*: E reads *sistat*, A *non stat*, an interpolation made necessary by A's corruption of *pius* to *plus* in 744.]

si stat . . . pius est: a contrary-to-fact condition put in the indicative for greater vividness (perhaps a feature of informal speech); compare Juv. 3.257–60 *nam si procubuit qui saxa Ligustica portat / axis . . . / quid superest de corporibus? quis membra, quis ossa / invenit?*

745 *pius est*: for the exaggeration see on *innocentem* 20.

maius: recalls Atreus' search for a "greater" form of revenge, cf. 254, 259, 267.

746 *natura recipit*: the Chorus unwittingly touches on Atreus' highest ambition, a crime that nature cannot allow (for *recipere* cf. *Epist.* 82.17 *non recipit rerum natura ut aliquis magno animo accedat ad id quod malum iudicat*, *OLD* s.v., #9).

746–47 *finem . . . gradus*: Seneca's most pointed use of a favorite opposition, cf. *HF* 208–209 *finis alterius mali / gradus est futuri*, *Cons. Helv.* 11.4 *in omni desiderio . . . quidquid illi congesseris, non finis erit cupiditatis, sed gradus*.

747–48 *obiecit . . . arcuit*: the theme of "surpassing" in its most explicit form, as the Chorus thinks of the worst treatments traditionally inflicted on the dead, only to have the Messenger respond that these would have been acts of mercy (*votum* 752). The progression recurs at 1032–34, with Thyestes and Atreus in the roles here taken by the Chorus and the Messenger.

obiecit feris / lanianda . . . corpora: the language is strong, but conventional, cf. Pollio *ap. Cic. Fam.* 10.23.3 *bestiis . . . civis Romanos . . . obiecit*, Val. Max. 1.6.11 *corpus imperatoris . . . avium ferarumque laniatibus obiectum*.

749–51 *ne . . . trahat*: the Messenger elaborates each of the Chorus's imagined horrors, turning *igne arcuit* into *ne tegat . . . humus / nec solvat ignis* with *obiecit . . . corpora*

doubled as *avibus epulandos . . . ferisque . . . pabulum . . . trahat*; the diction also becomes more emotional, cf. *lanianda—epulandos, obiecit—triste pabulum . . . trahat, feris—feris . . . saevis*. The result is to show that, even in their most ghastly forms, the normal extremes of degradation fall short of the present reality.

749 functos: = *morte functos*, see on 15.

750 solvat: perhaps combining the idea of physical dissolution with that of release (*solvere* is often used of a peaceful death, cf. *Epist.* 66.43 *alius inter cenandum solutus est*); these positive overtones would correspond to the hint of protection in *tegat*.

750–51 avibus epulandos . . . ferisque . . . pabulum: “birds and dogs” is a combination going back to Homer (*Il.* 1.4–5); the language here recalls Catullus’ Ariadne (64.152–53) *dilaceranda feris dabor alitibusque / praeda, neque iniacta tumulabor harena*.

licet . . . trahat: *licet* is not concessive, but strongly optative (“if only he were dragging them . . .”), a usage found often in Ovid, cf. *Met.* 3.405 *sic amet ipse licet*, 9.480, 12.199, etc.

751 pabulum: perhaps an echo of 12 *plenum recenti pabulum monstro iacet*.

trahat: a brutally graphic detail; compare Hamlet’s exit with the body of Polonius, “I’ll lug the guts into the neighbour room” (3.4.212).

752 votum . . . solet: a lead-in line to the following *sententia*. Atreus had spoken similarly of his inversion of normal categories, cf. 247–48 *in regno meo / mors impetratur*.

votum: “something wished for,” like *metus*, “something feared” (see on 1049).

sub hoc: “under this man’s rule” (*hoc* abl.), parallel to *in regno meo* in 247; cf. Sall. *Jug.* 19.7 *Numidae . . . sub Iugurtha erant*, *OLD* s.v., #15c.

753 pater insepultos speetet: Thyestes would wish to see his children unburied because they are in fact “buried” inside his body, cf. 1047, 1050, 1090–92.

753–54 o . . . neget: as the Messenger turns back to his story, the thought of what he is about to describe makes him exclaim in anguish; compare 684 *quis . . . eloqui?*

754 quod . . . posteritas neget: for this horrified denial, cf. *Pho.* 266 [*facinus*] *quod esse factum nulla non aetas neget*. Atreus has surpassed his own ambition, a crime *quod nulla posteritas probat, / sed nulla taceat* (192–93). [Seneca might be alluding to versions of the myth in which the banquet was “denied,” in that the reversal of the sun’s course was instead interpreted as signifying divine approval of Atreus or even symbolizing his calculation of a solar eclipse, see Frazer on “Apollodorus” *Eptt.* 2.12.] This awareness of events as a “story” is another trait shared by the Messenger and Atreus, see on 273.

neget: subjunctive in a relative clause of characteristic (AG 535).

755–58 Reverting to his priestly *persona*, Atreus conducts an *extispicium* on the bodies of his victims. He seems to have no serious intention of learning the future but simply to be displaying perverse regard for established form; for the sequence sacrifice-extispicy-banquet, cf. Ovid *Met.* 15.130–39.

755 erepta vivis exta pectoribus: modeled on Ovid *Met.* 15.136 *ereptas viventi pectore fibras*, also echoed in *Oed.* 391 *fibra vivis rapta pectoribus*. Only the organs of freshly-killed victims were thought suitable for divination, cf. Pease on Verg. *Aen.* 4.64.

tremunt: the organs still “quiver” with reflexive muscular contractions, cf. Verg. *Aen.* 4.64 *spirantia consulit exta* (with *spirantia* the probable inspiration for *spirant . . . venae* in 756). The choice of *tremere* may have been influenced by Verg. *Aen.* 1.212 *veribus . . . trementia* [sc. *viscera*] *figunt*, cf. also Ovid *Met.* 6.558 (Philomela’s severed tongue) *ipsa iacet terrae . . . tremens*.

756 spirantque . . . salit: based on Ovid’s account of the flaying of Marsyas, *Met.* 6.389–91 *trepidaeque sine ulla / pelle micant venae; salientia viscera posses / et perlucetes numerare in pectore fibras*; the detail *cor . . . pavidum* recalls yet another episode of the *Metamorphoses*, the transformation of Daphne; *sentit adhuc trepidare novo sub cortice pectus* (*Met.* 1.554).

spirant: “throb” (= *micant* in Ovid *Met.* 6.390 above).

757 at ille: *at* signals a shift in narrative focus (“as for him”), but may retain some adverbial force; Atreus is not troubled by these gruesome sights, but instead pursues his ritual tasks with the calm of a practiced surgeon. The measured tricolon that follows (*fibras tractat, fata inspicit, adhuc . . . notas*) heightens this impression of eerie composure.

758 viscerum venas notat: i.e., he takes account of the *notae*, the markings of the blood-vessels on the organs that were closely scrutinized in divination, cf. *Oed.* 352 *ede certas viscerum nobis notas*, Tib. 2.5.14, Luc. 1.587–88, 618–19.

759 placuere: “have met with approval”; the sacrificial sense is played on by Ovid at *Met.* 15.131 *placuisse nocet*, cf. also Sen. *Ag.* 99–100 *placet in vulnus* (i.e., sacrifice) / *maxima cervix*.

759–60 securus . . . epulis: “he gives full attention to his brother’s banquet” (*securus* = without any *curae* to distract him), cf. Val. Max. 3.8 *ext.* 1 *Darium . . . toto animo Hannibalis amicitiae vacantem*. The phrase implies that Atreus will devote the same care to the rôle of chef that he has shown as priest—an expectation borne out by the meticulously detailed lines that follow; also, since *vacare* in this sense normally refers to a pleasurable activity (cf. *Ag.* 183 *Veneri vacat* [n]), it suggests the enjoyment Atreus derives from his preparations (and which the Messenger seems vicariously to share in describing them).

760–61 divisum . . . corpus: family history repeats itself, as Atreus follows the example set by Tantalus, cf. 147 *divisus*. (On the subordination in *divisum . . . corpus* see on 727 *colla percussa*.)

761 amputat trunco tenus: “cuts back as far as the trunk.”

762 umeros . . . moras: the children seem to be well-developed adolescents, with broad shoulders and muscular upper arms.

umeros patentes: “spreading shoulders,” cf. Ovid *Met.* 13.962 *ingentes umeros*, and for *patens* = “extending widely” cf. Ovid *F.* 3.589, 4.713. [In the only other instances of *umerus patens* I know, Ovid *Ars* 2.504, 3.310, *patens* means “bare,” “exposed,” but this sense seems out of place here.]

lacertorum moras: “the retarding arms” (Miller), lit., “the delays caused by the lacerti,” cf. *Cons. Helv.* 11.6 *quantum per moras membrorum . . . licet*. The reference—brilliant in its clinical exactness—is to the sinews of the upper arm (or possibly to the ganglion where the shoulder and arm meet), which slow down the process of dismemberment. For the construction *lacertorum moras* cf. 362 *tumor Hadriae*.

763 denudat artus . . . ossa amputat: each verb applies to both nouns, “he lays bare and cuts out the joints and bones.”

artus: "joints," not "limbs" (as in 779); for the combination with *ossa* cf. Pl. *Men.* 855 *membra atque ossa atque artus*, Verg. *Aen.* 5.422–23 *magnos membrorum artus, magna ossa lacertosque / exiit*.

denudat: cf. Pacuvius 200 R², where a ghost's bones have been laid bare, *denudatis ossibus*, also Verg. *Aen.* 1.211 *tergora diripiunt costis et viscera nudant* (preparations for a banquet, a passage drawn on several times in this scene).

764 ora . . . manus: the head and extremities were often reserved in genuine sacrifices, cf. W. Burkert, *Homo necans* (Eng. trans. [Berkeley, 1983], 105). Atreus gives the practice a special motive: the heads serve for identification (hence *ora*, stressing the faces), and the hands as grotesque souvenirs of the pretended reconciliation.

datas fidei manus: "hands given in token of good faith" (*fidei* dat. of purpose, AG 382). The action referred to is implied at 520–21 *obsides fidei accipe / hos innocentes*.

765–66 haec . . . illa: in his choice of cooking styles Atreus is a strict traditionalist: the division of parts into those roasted on spits and those boiled in a cauldron is a fixed element in ancient descriptions of cannibal meals, and may have its origins in ritual practices, cf. Burkert, *op. cit.* (on 764), 89 n. 29, and Acc. 220–22 R² (Atreus), Ovid *Met.* 1.228–29 (Lycan) 6.645–46 (Procne); it also figures in epic feasting-scenes in Verg. *Aen.* 1.212–13 and Val. Fl. 8.254.

veribus . . . caminis: closest in its detail to Accius 221–22 R² *veribus in focos [=caminos] / lacerta tribuit*.

lentis . . . caminis: "slow-burning furnaces"; for *lentus* see on 499.

766 stillant: the limbs "drip" as the fat is melted by the fire below; Medea prays that her poisons will have a similar effect on their victims, *Med.* 837 *stillent artus ossaque fument*.

flammatus latex: both *latex* and *flammare* are poeticisms; the combination yields an almost absurdly high-flown expression for "boiling water," far more pompous than Accius' *calida [sic] latice* (666 R²) or Ovid's *purum laticem* (*Met.* 7.327) and exaggerated in a way that Seneca's other uses of *flammare* are not, cf. *Med.* 387 *flammata facies*, *Tro.* 303–304 *flammatum . . . pectus*. The Messenger seems, like Atreus, to be indulging in conscious stylistic playfulness.

767–75 Once again (cf. 696–702) the external world shows its revulsion at the deed it is forced to experience; the fire burns unwillingly (*invitus ardet* 770), and the smoke from the burning flesh settles ominously on the house.

767–70 impositas . . . ardet: the arrangement of this long sentence mirrors its content, with the action that the fire is reluctant to perform held off until the last possible moment (*ardet*).

768 in . . . trepidantes focos: cf. Ag. 168 *recedentes focos* (at the sacrifice of Iphigenia). Atreus characteristically takes no notice of this resistance in his recollections of the scene, *caede votiva focos / placavi* 1058–59.

769 pati iussus moram: i.e., the fire was held in place until it had done its work; another instance of the themes of unwilling action and vain resistance, see above, p. 47.

770 invitus ardet: perhaps suggested by Ovid *Met.* 8.514 (of Meleager) *invitis correptus ab ignibus arsit*.

stridet . . . iecur: a more specific version of Ovid *Met.* 6.646 (the cooking of Itys) *pars*

veribus stridunt. The verb shifts attention from sight to sound and so leads into the "groaning" of 771–72.

771–72 nec . . . gemuere: another detail inspired by Ovid's account of Meleager, *Met.* 8.513–14 *aut dedit aut visus gemitus est ipse dedisse / stipes*; in each case the noises emitted by burning wood or other objects are likened to groans and interpreted as sounds of mourning. [This application of *gemuere* may result from its use for the "creaking" of wood, cf. *OLD* s.v., #3b, Hor. C. 1.14.5–6 *mālus celeri saucius Africo / antemnaeque gemant*—a sound which Vergil calls *stridor* in *Aen.* 1.87.] The same sound is referred to with a different metaphor in 1064 *mugire fibras vidi*, cf. *Oed.* 383 *immugit aris ignis*.

771 nec facile dicam: a variation on such prose expressions as *haud facile dixerim*, cf. Cic. *Rep.* 1.6, Tac. *Ann.* 4.34.5, etc. The Messenger seems to be calling attention to the cleverness of his play on *gemuere*.

772 gemuere: indicative in an indirect question, common in early Latin and occasionally found later, most often in poetry (AG 575c); good discussion by Austin on Verg. *Aen.* 6.615.

772–75 Divination took careful note of the way the offered victims burned: "it was a good sign if the fire at once seized on the offering, and blazed up in clear flames (Apoll. Rhod. 1.436 . . .). It was a bad sign, if the fire was smothered in smoke" (Jebb on Soph. *Ant.* 1007); cf. also Sen. *Oed.* 307–23, Stat. *Ach.* 1.520–22. The omens here are naturally bad, portending disaster for the house (775).

in fumos abit: *abire in* ("to turn into") is a favorite Ovidian term of metamorphosis, cf. *Met.* 1.236 *in villos abeunt vestes, in crura lacerti*.

773 tristis: "gloomy" (*tristia nubila*, Ovid *Met.* 6.690), but perhaps also implying ill omen, cf. Curt. 7.7.22 *tristia exta*, Juv. 6.569 *quid sidus triste minetur*.

nebula: defining abl. with *gravis*; the *nebula* is a billowing cloud of smoke, cf. *Tro.* 1054–55 *fumus alte / serpit in caelum nebulaeque turpes*. Here, since the smoke does not rise, it appears weighed down by its own mass (*gravis*).

774 non . . . -que: *non* negates *levat* as well as *exit*, with *-que* introducing a more specific restatement of *rectus exit* ("epexegetic" *-que*, cf. *OLD* s.v., #6).

775 Penates . . . obsidet: as the sacrificial fire in *Oedipus* foretells fratricide by dividing in two (321–23), so the "disfiguring cloud" (on *deformis* see 667) settling on the *Penates* symbolizes defilement for the house. The reference to the *Penates* also begins a shift of focus from the grove to the dining hall, completed at 778.

Penates: probably "household gods" rather than a metonymy for *domus*; see on 264 *Lares*.

nube deformi: possibly a reworking of Ovid *F.* 5.505 *tecta sensis subeunt nigro deformia fumo*.

776–78 o Phoebē . . . occidisti: the last and most impassioned of the Messenger's prefatory outbursts (cf. 684, 753–54), preceding the most horrific image of all. It is characteristic of Seneca's allusive technique that the Sun's flight, the most famous detail in the entire myth, is not directly narrated but only mentioned in a concessive clause as having already taken place. (See on 120–21.)

776 patiens: "all-enduring" (Miller); the Sun too is made to suffer what it would avoid, cf. 769 *pati iussus moram*, above, p. 47.

777 medio . . . diem: "even though you have drowned the day, broken off in mid-sky"; *rumpere diem* is a more striking equivalent of *rumpere iter* as in Ovid *Ars* 1.329–30 *non medium rupisset iter curruque retorto / Auroram versis Phoebus adisset equis*. The "broken day" is a consequence and a symbol of the severing of all moral law (*fas . . . omne ruptum* 179, see note *ad loc.*). [Some editors replace *ruptum* with *raptum*, a reading found in late Mss; *medio caelo* would then be an abl. of separation, "the day snatched from mid-sky." This reading does not seem superior to the more widely attested *ruptum*; it produces an echo of 637–38 *dies . . . raptus*, but it loses the important notion of fracture.]

medio . . . caelo: almost = "in mid-course," cf. 792 *medio . . . Olympo*.

merceris: alluding to the Sun's chariot sinking into the western ocean (cf. 819–20). Ovid regularly specifies the body of water to which *mergere* relates (cf. *Met.* 7.324, *Ars* 1.410, *F.* 4.388), but Seneca, in his less graphic manner, tends to use the verb absolutely, cf. *Med.* 877–78 *merget diem timendum / dux noctis Hesperus, Pha.* 679 *lucem merge et in tenebras fuge*.

778 sero occidisti: i.e., too late to prevent the deed from taking place; in 784–87 the Sun's reason for reversing course is rather to hide the repulsive sight.

778–83 Seneca adroitly ends the Messenger's narrative with the picture of Thyestes at his feast, the starting-point in both time and setting for the next act.

778 lancinat: the shockingly violent word is placed first, its effect heightened still further by the long build-up in 776–78. Thyestes' "rending" and "chewing" (*mandit*) contrast sharply with the antiseptic neatness of Atreus' preparations (cf. 760 *divisum secat*, 761, 763 *amputat*, 763 *denudat*); he seems to be amply fulfilling Atreus' vision, *liberos avidus pater / gandensque laceret* (277–78).

lancinat: even stronger than *lacerare*, often implying madness or depravity, cf. Sen. *Contr.* 2.5.6 (a frenzied tyrant to his torturers) *seca, verbera, oculos lancina*, also Sen. *Ira* 3.19.5, 40.4, *Clem.* 2.9.2.

natos pater: pointed juxtaposition as in 40–41 *natum parens / natusque patrem* (sc. *expabescat*); see also on 1090.

779 mandit: *mandere* is a neutral description of animal behavior, but often suggests the unnatural when used of humans, cf., e.g., Verg. *Aen.* 3.627 (Polyphemus, so too Ovid *Met.* 14.211), 11.669, Ovid *Met.* 15.92–93 *nil te nisi tristia mandere saevo / vulnera dente iuvat*, 142.

780 nitet . . . comam: an attentive host might offer his guests unguents for their hair (cf. Catullus' *'cenabis bene . . .'*, 13.11, and Nisbet-Hubbard on Hor. *C.* 1.4.9), but the wording of this line (*nitet, madidus, fluente*) strongly implies gross overindulgence; compare *HF* 468–69 *fortem vocemus cuius horrentes comae / maduere nardo?*, *VB* 7.3 (personified *Voluptas*) *mero atque unguento madentem*. See also on 947–48.

madidus: the word occurs only in *Thyestes* among Seneca's plays (734, 948); it tellingly underscores the contrast between Atreus, compared to a lion with dripping jaws (*cruore rictus madidus* 734), and Thyestes, his hair dripping with rich unguents.

781 gravisque vino: somewhat loosely attached to the previous line (as though *nitet* were, e.g., *tacet*); for *gravis vino* cf. 910 *vino gravatum . . . caput* and note on 787.

781–82 praeclusae . . . fauces: "his blocked-up jaws retained the food" (i.e., he could not swallow because the previous mouthfuls had not yet been digested); the image is of a man greedily "bolting" his food. The phrase may have been imitated by Silius 9.511 *fau-*

ces praeclusas pulvere.

782–83 in malis . . . ignoras tua: the plain wording of this phrase is deliberately contrasted with the richness of the previous lines: Thyestes' fantasies of luxury are abruptly brought down to earth.

783 Thyesta: the apostrophe recalls instances of the device in Vergil (cf., e.g., *Aen.* 4.408 *quis tibi tum, Dido, cernenti talia sensus?*, 9.446–49 *fortunati ambo!*, etc.) and especially in Ovid (cf., e.g., *Met.* 1.488–89 *te decor iste quod optas / esse vetat*). The address can both express empathy with a character and also enforce a sense of distance, by reminding the audience of the narrator's presence. The latter effect is prominent here; the Messenger ends his account in the omniscient tone of an author rather than a mere reporter. He shares this feeling of control with Atreus, who addresses the off-stage Thyestes in similar terms: *discutium tibi / tenebras, miseriae sub quibus latitant tuae* (896–97).

mala ignoras tua: perhaps an echo of *ignotus sibi* (403, and see note *ad loc.*).

784 et hoc peribit: the implacable certainty resembles that of the Fury, cf. in particular 47–48 *et fas et fides / iusque omne pereat*.

784–87 verterit . . . gravis: an elaborate period, whose length and involved syntax parallel the Sun's efforts at concealment. In the end, though, symbolizing the failure of resistance, the language descends to the minimal: *videndum est, tota patefient mala*.

784–86 verterit . . . obruat: *verterit* names a single past action (the Sun's change of direction), *obruat* a present effect of that action (the unnatural darkness).

785 sibi . . . obvium ducens iter: "following a course that will bring him face to face with himself." The notion of "meeting oneself" may have been suggested by descriptions of the winding river Meander, cf., e.g., Ovid *Met.* 8.164 *occurrans . . . sibi venturas aspicit undas*, Sen. *HF* 684 *Maeander . . . cedit sibi*.

ipse Titan: cf. 120.

786 tenebris . . . novis: a perfectly symmetrical line (ABCba, see on 10); the patterning may reflect the Messenger's enjoyment of his own verbal artistry.

novis: "strange," "unheard-of" (*OLD* s.v., #3); together with *alieno* in 787, *novis* underlines the complete breakdown of normal order.

787 nox . . . gravis: placing the subject last in the sentence and qualifying it with three unrelated descriptive phrases represents an inversion of the syntactical norm that corresponds to the unnatural events being described.

missa ab ortu: since night can be thought to proceed from the place where the sun sets, if a backward-moving sun sets in the East (often signified by *ortus* in poetry and high prose), that night can be said to come forth "from the sunrise." The *sententia* combines the literal and figurative meanings of *ortus* in such a way that one (the figurative) is required, while the other (the literal) becomes logically impossible.

tempore alieno: abl. of time; night is usurping the hours that "belong to" day.

gravis: a word insistently repeated at the end of this act, cf. 773 *fumus . . . gravis*, 781 *gravis . . . vino*. These uses relate the oppressive external darkness to its cause, the abnormal heaviness within Thyestes; this link is fully exploited in the last act, cf. 910

gravatum . . . caput, 986 *pondus . . . dextram gravat*, 990 *aether gravis*, 1000 *sentio . . . onus*, 1051 *premor natis*, 1071 *Nox . . . gravis*.

788 *tota patefient mala*: the prediction is fulfilled (and its latent metaphor made actual) by Atrous in the next scene, cf. 902 *festā patefiat domus*.

CHORUS IV

The Chorus reacts in fear and confusion to the darkness that has suddenly enveloped the scene. The familiar signs of night are missing, and the Chorus wonders if some renewal of primeval conflict between the gods and Giants has annulled the regular sequence of night and day. Even this, though, would be less terrifying than the Chorus's worst dread, that the appointed time has come for the universe to be destroyed, when the sun and moon will veer from their courses and the stars will fall, one by one, from the sky. The Chorus cries out in anguish at being born in such an age—*o nos dura / sorte creatos!* (878–79), then steels itself to embrace death amid the all-encompassing doom.

The ode is closely bound to the dramatic situation, but in one respect it remains oddly detached from its surroundings. At no point does the Chorus seem aware of the actual cause of the phenomena that alarm it, even though the Messenger has just explicitly connected the darkness with the sun's horror at Thyestes' banquet (776–78, 784–88). It is almost as if the Chorus that took part in the previous scene is a different entity from the lyric voice of the ode. Because of this disjunction, the Chorus's fears are conveyed in powerful and immediate terms (see on 828–29), while its attempts to identify their cause all seem unreal and therefore uninvolved; even the ruin of the cosmos emerges as a grandiose pageant rather than an imminent threat. Despite a degree of contrivance, this handling of the Chorus has its roots in a sound dramatic instinct: the mood of bewildered anxiety maintains suspense and allows the revelations of the last act to unfold with their horror undiminished. The Chorus's bewilderment also deepens the pathos of its situation and makes the unflinching composure of its last words all the more impressive.¹

Since the Chorus is not in a position to interpret what it sees, much of the ode's effect is produced at the unconscious level, through inference and irony. The pictures of the work-day disrupted in heaven as on earth (794–801, 815–24) are saved from being merely witty by their connection to fundamental themes of dislocation and inversion. The evocation of the rebellious Giants (804–12) is unintentionally apt in its suggestion of an unquenched thirst for power and of the intrusion of hellish forces into the world. Finally, the notion of a universe on the point of collapse is a potent metaphor for moral anarchy, both within the play and also in the Roman world to which the play implicitly relates.

The beginning and end of the ode contain striking similarities to Pindar's ninth *Paeon*, inspired by a solar eclipse in 478 or 463 B.C. (See notes on 789–93, 883–84.) Direct imitation of Pindar by Seneca would be surprising; the *paean* may have served as a model for a choral ode in a lost Greek Thyestes-play, as Pindar's account of an eruption of Aetna in *P.* 1.15–28 has strongly influenced a passage in the *Prometheus Bound* (351–72). The central section of the ode stands in a different tradition, the astronomical treatise in verse, of which the outstanding example in Greek is the *Phaenomena* of Aratus (3rd century B.C., translated into Latin by Cicero, Ovid, and others), in Latin the *Astronomica* of Manilius (ca. A.D. 10–15). In general Seneca's astronomy here is too conventional for his sources to

¹ It is significant that the unnatural darkness will be experienced twice more in the play, by Atrous (891–97) and by Thyestes (990–95). The event thus becomes a focal point around which the characters define themselves by their reactions; cf. W. H. Owen, *TAPA* 99 (1968), 297–300.

be evident, but there are interesting parallels with views known to have been held or discussed by Nigidius Figulus, a Neopythagorean sage of Cicero's time who appears as an eminent astrologer in Lucan's *Bellum civile* 1.639–72. (See notes on 856, 860–62.)

Meter: Anapests (see above, pp. 32–33, below pp. 245–49).

789–826 The first part of the ode alternates questions and assertions: (a) why has the sun fled? (789–93); (b) the normal signs of night are not present (794–801); (a') what catastrophe has driven the sun from its course? (802–12); (b') the orderly routine of the heavens has been overturned (813–26). The same themes recur in each part of the sequence, but are repeated in augmented form: a' + b' are almost precisely double the length of a + b (25 lines to 13) and are also more varied in language. (Compare, for example, the straightforward repetition of *nondum* in 794, 796, 798, 801 with the wider range of negative terms in the corresponding later section: *periere* 813, *nihil . . . nihil* 814, *perversa* 817, *nescit* 818, *nec* 819, *insueto* 821, *nondum* 823, *non . . . nec . . . non* 824–26.) The Chorus's terror grows as it contemplates the chaos that surrounds it.

789–93 *Quo . . . quo . . . cur*: the questions renew the anxious tone of the prologue (1–4); note in particular repeated *numquid* in 804–12, cf. 6.

789 *terrarum superumque parens*: a most unusual epithet for the sun, perhaps chosen for the contrast with Thyestes, the impious *pater* (778). [Heinsius emended *parens* to *potens*, but this would be no less peculiar.]

superum: gen. of *supera*, “the high places” or “the heavens,” cf. *HF* 423 *inferna tetigit*, *posset ut supera assequi*.

790–91 *cuius . . . fugit*: after the opening vocative, this relative clause has the flavor of hymnic invocation, cf., e.g., *Hor. C.* 1.10.1–3 *Mercuri . . . qui feros cultus hominum recentum / voce formasti*, *Sen. Ag.* 400–403 *pater ac rector, cuius nutu simul extremi / tremuere poli* (and my note on *Ag.* 370). Pindar's *Paeon* 9 also begins with solemn address to the vanished sun, followed by anxious questions (1–6) and speculations on the cause of the portent (11–20).

791 *decus omne fugit*: *decus* nearly = *sidus* by metonymy (effect for cause, cf. 50); compare 995 *fugit omne sidus*. It is conventional to say that the stars “flee” at the sun's approach (cf. *Hor. C.* 3.21.24 *dum rediens fugat astra Phoebus*, Bömer on *Ovid Met.* 2.114), but here the cliché is pointedly set against the unnatural flight of the sun itself.

792 *medio . . . Olympo*: = *medio caelo* or more precisely *medio itinere*; compare *Verg. G.* 1.450 *emense cum iam decedit Olympo*.

793 *tuos . . . aspectus*: both active and passive senses of *aspectus* seem at work: the sun averts its gaze, and so can no longer be seen.

794–95 *serae . . . Vesper*: the picture of Vesper summoning the other stars (perhaps implied in *Med.* 878 *dux noctis Hesperus*) seems not to be conventional; Seneca has perhaps applied to the evening a traditional attribute of the morning star, cf. *Ovid Met.* 4.629–30, 11.97–98, *HF* 128 *cogit nitidum Phosphorus agmen*. In wording the phrase may owe something to *Verg. G.* 1.251 *illic sera rubens accendit lumina Vesper*.

796 *Hesperiae flexura rotae*: “the turning of the western wheel” is a mannered equivalent of “the western end of the sun's path, where its chariot turns around.” The conception may come from the circus, where chariots turned at the *meta*; for this language used of the sun, cf. *Ovid Met.* 3.145 *sol ex aequo meta distabat utraque*, *Sen. Pha.* 288 *ad Hesperias iacet ora metas* (= the far West). This imagery, together with the prominence of *rota* and *currus* (see next note), might recall the unsavory role of racing-chariots in Tantalid history, cf. 660–61 *fracti . . . currus, . . . / iunctae . . . falsis axibus . . . rotae*.

797 *emeritos . . . currus*: *currus* appears where one might expect *equos*, cf. Verg. *G.* 2.542 *equum fumantia solvere colla*, Ovid *F.* 4.688 *dempserat emeritis iam iuga Phoebus equis*.

798-99 *nondum . . . signum*: i.e., it is not yet the end of the ninth hour (approx. = 3 p.m. or 15.00), and so of the day's third quarter; this was the point at which "evening" was conventionally thought to begin (cf. Tertullian *De ieiunio* 2.1., perhaps also Hor. *S.* 2.7.32-34), just as in Rome today, "la sera" starts after the mid-day meal. The ninth hour was the favorite time for a Roman *cena* (cf. Cic. *Fam.* 9.26.1, Hor. *Ep.* 1.7.71, Mart. 4.8), so Seneca's chronology is scrupulously exact in Roman terms.

799 *tertia . . . bucina*: another detail inspired by contemporary Rome, where trumpeters were used to announce the time of day, cf. Sen. *Contr.* 7 *pr.* 1 *saepe declamante illo ter bucinavit*, Petr. *Sat.* 74.2 (figuratively of a cock crowing at dawn). They were probably found in *fora* and other public places (Trimalchio's personal *bucinator* in livery [*Sat.* 26.7] is clearly egregious), and their function may have been semi-official, like that of a *praeco*, cf. *Dig.* 50.6.7(6). I have found no reference to a *bucinator* marking quarters rather than hours, as probably in Vitr. 9.8.5 (of a water-clock), Sen. *Contr.* 7 *pr.* 1 (above; one hopes that Albucius Silo declaimed for three, not nine hours); on the other hand, the Romans attached particular importance to the quarters of the day—the *praetor* at one time was responsible for having them announced, cf. Varro *Ling. lat.* 6.89—and their passage may have been marked with special ceremony. [Earlier commentators saw a reference to the Roman military practice of dividing the night into four watches, cf. Prop. 4.4.63, etc., or else alleged that it was Greek custom to divide the day into thirds, apparently on the basis of the tripartite division of night in Homer, cf. *Il.* 10.252-53, *Od.* 12.312, etc. See also W. Kubitschek, *Grundriss der antiken Zeitrechnung* (Munich, 1928), 187-88.]

800-801 *stupet . . . arator*: these lines are verbally linked to what precedes by *nondum* (placed differently and modifying an adjective, *fessis*, rather than the verb), but they also look forward to 815-24, since they describe a reaction to the disturbed order of nature; *stupet* is echoed at 815, *nondum* at 823-24, *nondum / nocte parata*. This glimpse of ordinary life may have been introduced partly for the allusion to a *cena*, the only time in the play that Seneca uses the word traditionally associated with Thyestes' meal (cf., c.g., Pl. *Rud.* 508-509 *scelestiorem cenam cenavi tuam / quam quae Thyestae quondam aut posita est Tereo*).

804-12 The Chorus wonders if the Giants, archetypal manifestations of violence and anarchy, have renewed their attacks on the Olympian establishment. These speculations contain an element of truth, hinted at in this section, *aperto / carcere Ditis*: the audience knows that hell's prison-house has indeed opened, first in the prologue (cf. 1-2, 70) and later in the Messenger's account of the secret grove (cf. 669, 678-79). (See also on 1006-19). [Augustan poets used the victory of Jupiter over the Giants to represent the triumphs of Augustus, as Greek writers had done with Alexander, cf. Hor. *C.* 3.4.42-64, Ovid *Tr.* 2.333-36, implicitly in Prop. 2.1.19-20, Ovid *Am.* 2.1.11-18, perhaps Verg. *G.* 1.278-83, *Aen.* 6.580-600. The fear that a Giant revolt may have upset the order of heaven could thus be a negation of Augustan confidence in the stability of the regime.]

804 *numquid*: "is it possible that . . . ?"; cf. on 6 above.

805-806 *victi . . . Gigantes*: the legend of the Giants Otus and Ephialtes, who tried to storm heaven by heaping Ossa on Olympus and Pelion on Ossa (*Od.* 11.305-20), was at an early stage conflated with the myth of the Titans (sons of Earth, like the Giants), who fought a pitched battle against the Olympian gods at the Phlegraean fields (Pind. *Nem.* 1.67, cf. 810-11 *Phlegraeos . . . per hostes*) and were cast into Tartarus by Zeus

(Hes. *Theog.* 665-735). The result of the conflation was the "war of the Giants" or Gigantomachy, referred to familiarly by Callimachus (fr. 119 Pf.) and a proverbial topic in Latin (cf. Cic. *Sen.* 5 *Gigantum modo bellare cum dis*); in Vergil's account of Tartarus (*Aen.* 6.577-627) Otus and Ephialtes appear alongside the *Titania pubes* (582-84, 580-81). Tityos and Typhoeus are enemies of the Olympians who have no part in early versions of the Titanomachy or Gigantomachy. Tityos (who attempted to assault Leto) was, however, a Giant and was linked with his heaven-storming fellows by Vergil (*Aen.* 6.595-600, cf. 9-12 above) and Horace (*C.* 3.4.77-78), while Typhoeus, spawned by Earth after the defeat of the Titans (Hes. *Theog.* 820) or Giants ("Apollodorus" *Bibl.* 1.6.3), made a single-handed attempt to overthrow Zeus and met the same fate as his predecessors.

victi temptant / bella: the Chorus makes the Giants resemble the Tantalids, unwilling to abandon violence even in defeat, cf. 37-38, 197-99, 237, 340-41. Thyestes later confirms this association, calling on Jupiter to blast him and Atreus with the thunderbolt once used against the Giants (1082-84).

806-808 *Tityos . . . iras*: *pectore fesso* and *saucius* allude to the fuller account of his punishment in 9-12.

807-808 *pectore fesso renovat . . . iras*: probably a significant echo of the lion-simile in 735-36 *non ponit iras . . . minatur dente iam lasso*; in combining weariness and undiminished rage, Tityos, too, resembles the true source of cosmic disorder, the *lassa . . . progenies impta Tantalii* (136-37).

renovat veteres . . . iras: an inversion, probably unconscious, of Catullus' beautiful phrase *veteres renovamus amores* (96.3).

808-809 *reiecto . . . monte*: Hesiod placed Typhoeus simply in Tartarus (*Theog.* 868), but in Pindar he is confined beneath Mt. Aetna (*P.* 1.15-28), whose eruptions represent the Giant's struggles to break free; this detail predominates in later references, e.g., Ovid *Met.* 5.346-53.

809 *latus explicuit*: "has Typhoeus stretched his body freely?" (*latus* for *corpus*, specifying the part affected); *explicare* of casting off a heavy weight, cf. *Epist.* 65.16 (*animus qui gravi sarcina pressus explicari cupit*). The combination *latus explicare* is unusual; the only other example recorded before late Antiquity is Neronian, Calp. Sic. *Ecl.* 1.5 *vacciae / molle sub hirsuta latus explicuere genista*. There might be an echo of Atreus' words *per nos odia se nostra explicent* (323).

810 *struitur*: probably based on Ovid *Met.* 1.152-53 *ferunt . . . Gigantas / alta . . . congestos struxisse ad sidera montes*; Ovid's *alta . . . ad sidera* is matched by the less graphic *alta . . . via*.

811 *per hostes*: "by the enemies," *per* denoting agency (*OLD* s.v., #15).

811-12 *Thessalium . . . Ossa*: a familiar detail seen in a new perspective: the contrasting adjectives *Thessalicum / Thessa* (on which cf. *Ag.* 613 [n]) stress unnatural juxtaposition (see above, p. 46), while *premitur* links the image to the theme of oppression (see on 787 *gravis*, and above, p. 46).

812 *Thressā premitur Pelion Ossā*: i.e., Ossa has been placed on Pelion, and Olympus will presumably be piled onto Ossa, as in *Ag.* 345-46, *HF* 970-71, and Verg. *G.* 1.281-82; in *Tro.* 829-30 the sequence, in ascending order, is Olympus-Ossa-Pelion, as in *Od.* 11.315-16.

813-14 *Solitae . . . erit*: the Chorus begins to work out the implications of what it is

experiencing; the regular cycles of the heavens are no more, and "sunrise" and "sunset" will therefore cease to exist. [These lines are sometimes printed as questions, but the absence of any interrogative signal, after the clear questions of 802–12, makes this unlikely; furthermore, the previous phrase has the weight of a concluding *sententia*; see note on 811–12.]

813 *Solitae* . . . *vices*: *solitae* evokes those other *vices* that have overturned the norm, the *scelerum* . . . *vices* of 133 (cf. also 25–26).

mundi: the primary meaning is "sky," "heavens," as in 834, *Pha.* 973, *Ag.* 827 (n), but the sense "earth," "world" may be present as well, as in 884.

periere: the fulfillment in the external order of the Fury's wish, *tus* . . . *omne pereat* 48 (a link foreshadowed in 48–49 *non sit a vestris malis / immune caelum*).

815–26 The Chorus imagines the chaotic scene in heaven, with Aurora unable to cope with the sun's exhausted horses and the stars caught unprepared by the premature onset of night. The sense of confusion is vividly conveyed, but it is hard not to find the naturalistic treatment amusing, as it would be in Ovid. (Compare, e.g., *Met.* 2.385–87, 13.587–93, where the sun and Aurora complain that their services are not appreciated by the other gods.) This passage, though, is restrained by comparison with *Agamemnon* 816–23, on the long night of Hercules' conception: there Aurora awakes at the usual time, finds the dawn still far off, and sinks back upon her husband Tithonus.

815 *stupet*: cf. 800 above, *Ag.* 820 *mirata est*.

Eoos: with *frenos* in 816, a novel combination.

815–16 *assueta deo* (i.e., *Phoebos*) / *tradere frenos*: free invention in the spirit of Ovid's story of Phaethon, where the *Horae* yoke and bridle the sun's horses (*Met.* 2.118–21); an even closer parallel is *Ars* 3.180, where Aurora herself yokes the horses (and is called *roscida* . . . *dea*, cf. 816–17 *genetrix* . . . *roscida*).

816–17 *genetrix* . . . *lucis*: Aurora as *genetrix* of the day is most unusual (the image reverses dawn's Homeric epithet *ἠρυγέγεια* "child of morning"); perhaps, as with the sun (see on 789 *parens*), the Chorus is projecting Thyestes' distortion of the parental role onto the heavens.

817–18 *perversa sui / limina regni*: an odd variation on the notion of "dawn's threshold," cf. *Cat.* 64.271 *Aurora exoriente vagi sub limina solis*, *Sil.* 16.230–31. (Vergil's temporal use of *limen* in *Aen.* 6.255 *sub limina solis et ortus* is equally difficult, but in another way.) Aurora's *limen* has apparently been "disordered" by Phoebus' arrival at the wrong time and in the wrong condition. The phrase might recall Tantalus' defilement of the *domus* in 103–104, although the *limen* is not specified there. [The text has been suspected, and *limina* rests only on E, with A reading *lumina* (a common confusion). The conjectures so far proposed—*munia*, *munera*—are not attractive; one might consider *foedera*, which is used of the regular workings of the heavens, cf. *Manil.* 3.659 *Libra diem noctemque pari cum foedere ducens*, *Luc.* 1.80 *machina divulsi turbabit foedera mundi*, but I am not confident of its being right, and *limina* is perhaps best accepted as genuine.]

sui . . . *regni*: Aurora is not commonly a ruler; here too a preoccupation of the drama (perversion of stable government) guides the Chorus's choice of words.

818–20 *nescit* . . . *ponto*: Aurora is unequipped to perform the tasks usually carried out by Tethys, goddess of the sea, cf. *Ovid Met.* 2.69 *quae me subiectis excipit undis* . . . *Tethys*.

818–19 *fessos / tinguere currus*: *currus = equos*, cf. 797, *Ovid Met.* 15.418–19 *in alto Phoebus anhelos [= fessos] / aequore tinguet equos*.

819–20 *fumantes* . . . *iubas*: based on Vergil's *equum fumantia* . . . *colla* (*G.* 2.542) but with an unsettling shift of focus: in relation to *fumantes*, *iuba* nearly = *collum* (cf. *Ovid F.* 4.216), but with *mergere ponto*, it suggests *equus*; for a similar displacement cf. *Mart.* 9.90.12 *fervens iuba saeviet Leonis*. The strain placed on language (cf. also *perversa* . . . *limina* 817–18) may reflect the distortion of normal experience.

821 *insueto novus hospitio*: "new to this unfamiliar reception," cf. *Tro.* 67 *rude vulgus lacrimisque novum*; the juxtaposition of *novus* and *insueto* suggests the equal amazement of Sol and Aurora.

823–26 *tenebras* . . . *umbras*: the sun compounds the confusion by giving the order for night to begin before it is ready; the "cast," as it were, is not yet in place, so no moon, stars, or other nocturnal light can be seen.

824 *succedunt*: "take over" (i.e., from the sun), cf. *Ovid Met.* 7.192–93 *quae . . . diurnis / aurea cum luna succeditis ignibus astra*, 10.165, 15.187.

825 *nec ullo micat igne polus*: cf. 49 *cur micant stellae polo* . . . ?, echoed with a neat syntactical inversion.

826 *non Luna*: mentioned last as the brightest presence in the night sky, cf. *Hor. C.* 1.12.47–48 *velut inter ignis / luna minores*.

gravis . . . *umbras*: a satisfying conclusion, forming a near-frame with *nox* . . . *gravis* in 787. The Chorus's fanciful speculations cease, and the darkness closes in once more.

827 *quidquid id est*: a perplexed expression repeated twice by Thyestes in the next scene, cf. 963, 995; neither the Chorus nor Thyestes realizes the full horror of the situation until it is revealed by Atreus.

utinam nox sit: i.e., let it be *night* (even an unnatural one) rather than something worse.

828–29 *trepidant* . . . *metu*: the repeated anapests *trepidant*, *trepidant*, the breaking of the rhythm by the "half-line" of 829, and the alliteration of *p* and *m* give these words an emotional immediacy unsurpassed anywhere in Seneca.

828 *trepidant*, *trepidant*: the only other instances of immediate repetition in Seneca's choral lyrics are *Pha.* 1129 *Euros excipiunt, excipiunt Notos* and *Ag.* 656 *vidi, vidi*; neither carries the same sense of frenzied excitement as this line. (See also on 946 below.) For comparable effects in Greek tragic choruses cf., e.g., *Aesch. Suppl.* 888, *Eum.* 140.

830–35 *ne . . . tegat*: the cataclysm that the Chorus fears resembles the *ecpyrosis*, or periodic destruction of the world by fire, that was a tenet of early Stoic cosmology. (See J. M. Rist, *Stoic Philosophy* [Cambridge, 1969], 175–76; F. H. Sandbach, *The Stoics* [London, 1975], 78–79; Fantham on *Tro.* 386–92 [although I am not sure that those lines need refer to the concept].) One sign of Stoic thinking is the fact that *natura* is the power behind the event (835), since "nature" (synonymous with "god") was the Stoics' name for the guiding force in all things, cf. *Ben.* 4.7.1 *quid enim aliud est natura quam deus et divina ratio toti mundo partibusque eius inserta*?, *Pha.* 959 *magna parens, natura, deum*; in *Cons. Marc.* 26.7 Seneca explicitly calls god the agent of *ecpyrosis*, *cum deo visum erit iterum ista moliri*. In orthodox Stoic thought *ecpyrosis* brought about purification and renewal (cf. *Cons. Marc.* 26.6 *tempus . . . quo se mundus renovaturus extinguet*, ps-Sen. *Oct.* 394–95), but even in his philosophical writing Seneca can focus

exclusively on the prospect of annihilation (cf. *NQ* 3.27, *Ben.* 6.22, *Cons. Pol.* 1.2 *hoc uniuersum, quod omnia diuina humanaeque complectitur . . . dies aliquis dissipabit et in confusionem veterem tenebrasque demerget*). In this ode there is certainly no hint of a restored world, only the awesome spectacle of universal catastrophe.

Other writers of the period saw the end of the world in explicitly Roman terms: Lucan compares the wars that ended the Republic to the world's final convulsion (1.72–80), and the author of the *Octavia* makes Seneca himself look to the last day, in language recalling Deucalion's flood, to crush the wickedness of his own time (*Oct.* 391–95). In *Thyestes* such a connection with Rome is not stated, but it may be implied by the strongly personal tone of the ode's last lines (875–84).

830–31 *ne . . . cuncta ruina / quassata labent*: verbally close to Hor. *C.* 3.3.7–8 *si fractus inlabatur orbis, / impavidum ferient ruinae*.

830 *fatali*: “destined,” “decreed by fate,” cf. *NQ* 3.27.1 *fatalis dies diluuii*.

831–33 *iterum . . . iterum*: i.e., that all things might return to the formless state in which they existed before the present world was created, cf. *Cons. Pol.* 1.1 *naturae omnia destruentis et unde edidit eodem revocantis*, Luc. 1.74 *antiquum repetens iterum chaos*, ps-Sen. *Oct.* 391–92 (*Sol*) *in caecum chaos / casurus iterum*.

831–32 *deos / hominesque*: Seneca can speak thus even as a philosopher, cf. *Cons. Pol.* 1.2 (quoted on 830–35), but in this context there is added point in seeing the gods as suffering the same fate as human beings, cf. 893–94, 1035.

832 *premat . . . chaos*: chaos is thought of as an oppressive weight, like darkness, cf. Hor. *C.* 1.4.16 *iam te premet nox*, Verg. *Aen.* 6.827 *nocte premuntur*, see above on *nox . . . gravis* 787.

deforme: “lacking form,” cf. 667, 775.

833–34 *terras . . . mare . . . sidera*: the three divisions of the cosmos, whose separation marked the transition from chaos to order, cf. Ovid *Met.* 1.5–7 *ante mare et terras et quod tegit omnia caelum / unus erat toto naturae vultus in orbe, quem dixere chaos*. (Seneca's *tegat* in 835 may be an inverted echo of *Met.* 1.5 *quod tegit omnia*.) Like Ovid, Seneca arranges the regions in a *tricolon abundans* with *terras* unmodified, *mare* joined by *cingens*, and *sidera* given the fullest defining phrase. [In 833 *cingens* is Leo's conjecture for the manuscript reading *et ignes*. The manuscript text has been defended as referring to the four elements—cf., e.g., *Ira* 2.19.1 *cum elementa sint quattuor, ignis aquae aeris terrae*—, but the stars belong to the fiery element and *ignes* would be obviously redundant. In addition the triad “land-sea-sky,” and not the four elements, is traditionally associated with thoughts of universal doom, cf. Afranius 9 R² *mare caelum terram ruere ac tremere dices*, Verg. *Aen.* 12.204–205 *non si tellurem effundat in undas / diluuium miscens caelumque in Tartara solvat*.]

833 *mare cingens*: cf. Ovid *Her.* 10.61 *omne latus terrae cingit mare*, *Met.* 2.6 *aequora . . . medias cingentia terras*.

834 *vaga pieti sidera mundi*: a deceptively simple phrase, which effectively juxtaposes the brightness and motion of the stars.

vaga . . . sidera: *HF* 126–27 *nox . . . vagos / contrahit ignes luce renata*, *Pha.* 962.

pieti . . . mundi: “the spangled sky” (Miller), cf. *Med.* 310 *stellis quibus pingitur aether*; the combination is novel, perhaps based on *pingere* used of flowers standing out against a background of grass, as in Lucr. 5.1396 *tempora pingebant viridantis floribus herbas*.

835–74 This vision of celestial ruin proceeds in strict order: first the sun (835–38 *non . . . notas*), moon (838–42 *non . . . currens*), and planets (842–43 *ibit . . . deorum*), then the constellations of the zodiac, in sequence from Aries in early spring to Pisces in late winter (844–66 *hic . . . Pisces*), and finally the circumpolar constellations (867–74). The arrangement of sections is similarly neat, with the long description of the zodiac flanked by smaller panels of almost identical length (835–43, 867–74). In its clarity and balance the passage mirrors the regularity of the heavens, celebrating the cosmic order that is doomed to pass away.

835–36 *aeternae / facis exortu*: a high-sounding phrase that presents the sun in full splendor, cf. Ennius sc. 280 V² (243 J) *Sol, qui candentem in caelo sublimat facem*, Cic. *Div.* 2.17 (from his *De consulatu suo*) *Phoebi fax, HF* 38 *propinqua . . . face*.

836–37 *dux astrorum / saecula ducens*: a more elliptical version of the picture in *Tro.* 387–88 *quo cursu properat volvere saecula / astrorum dominus*. In both passages *saecula* means “ages” or “generations,” i.e., the years seen collectively.

837–38 *dabit . . . notas*: the sun gives “indications” (*notae*, cf. 758) of summer and winter by varying the length of the day. Hence any change in the sun's behavior can be called by hyperbole an inversion of the seasons, cf. Ovid *Met.* 4.199, Sen. *Ag.* 53–54.

838–39 *Phoebeis / obvia flammis*: i.e., the moon derives its light from being “exposed to” or “in the way of” the sun's rays (cf. *NQ* 7.27.1). The choice of words is uncommon; it may contrast this normal condition with the sun's present unnatural path, *sibi . . . obuium . . . iter* 785.

840–42 *vincet . . . currens*: in the geocentric astronomy of the ancient world, the moon's orbit was thought to be closer to the earth than the sun's, which explained why the moon took only a month per revolution as against a whole year for the sun. Ovid's Phaethon loses control of the sun's chariot and so passes closer to the earth than the moon: *inferius . . . suis fraternos currere Luna / admiratur equos* (*Met.* 2.208–209).

841 *habenas*: a synecdoche for *currus* (compare 820 *iubas*), popular in poetry after Ovid, cf. Manil. 4.834 *cum patrias Phaethon temptavit habenas*, Stat. *Theb.* 6.26, Val. Fl. 6.95.

842 *currens*: “riding” (*OLD* s.v., #3b); the moon usually rides in a two-horsed chariot or *biga*, cf. *Ag.* 818 (n).

842–43 *ibit . . . deorum*: the planets (equated with the gods whose name they bear—Mercury, Venus, Mars, Jupiter, Saturn) will fall in a heap into a single huge cavity (= *sinus* cf. *NQ* 6.1.9 *in vasto terrarum dehiscentium sinu*). In this context the fall of the “gods” may have more than an astronomical sense, cf. 1021 *fugere superi*; a *double entendre* would suit this phrase's position at the end of a section.

843 *turba*: slightly dismissive, sweeping the “gods” into an undifferentiated mass, cf. *Ben.* 6.22 *profunda vorago tot deos sorbeat*. (There may be an allusion to the *turba* of 19: the “brood” of Tantalus has driven the “throng” of the gods from the sky.)

844–47 A general description of the zodiac, the “constellation-bearer” (*signifer*), the band of twelve constellations through which the sun, moon, and planets appear to move.

844 *hic*: with *signifer* 846.

sacris pervius astris: “allowing passage to the divine stars” (i.e., the sun and the other heavenly bodies that pass through it).

845 secat . . . zonas: the zodiac intersects the celestial zones (for which cf. Verg. *G.* 1.233–38, Ovid *Met.* 1.45–46) at an oblique angle, cf. *G.* 1.238–39 *via secta . . . obliquus qua se signorum verteret ordo*, Ovid *Met.* 2.130–32, Manil. 1.257. (A helpful diagram in G. P. Goold's Loeb edition of Manilius, p. xxxiii.)

846 flectens . . . annos: the zodiac "turns the years" because it carries the sun along in its course, while the sun proceeds in a contrary direction, cf. Manil. 1.258 (*signa quae solem . . . alternis vicibus per tempora* ("seasons") *portant / atque alia adverso luctantia sidera mundo*).

longos . . . annos: the adjective has a vaguely intensifying force, cf. Ovid *Met.* 4.226 *ille ego sum* (sc. *Sol*) *longum qui metior annum*.

848–66 In listing the signs of the zodiac Seneca artfully varies his treatment, alternating straightforward enumeration (e.g., 853–54, 858–59, 864–65) with pointed language or erudite allusion (e.g., 851, 857, 863–64).

848–51 There was no consensus in poetic accounts of the zodiac on where to begin the sequence; Aratus, for example, started with Cancer (*Phaen.* 545), while Quintus Cicero placed Pisces first (*FPL* p. 79 Morel). In beginning with Aries, Seneca agrees with Manilius (1.263).

848 nondum vere benigno: i.e., in very early spring, before the climate has become mild; in *HF* 8 the following sign, the Bull, is associated with spring, *qua tepenti* [A: *recenti* E] *vere laxatur dies*.

849 reddit Zephyro vela: the sun's entry into Aries in mid-March coincided with the opening of the navigation season (cf. Nisbet-Hubbard on Hor. *C.* 1.4.2).

Zephyro . . . tepenti: a standard element in descriptions of spring, cf. Verg. *G.* 2.330 *Zephyri . . . tepentibus auris*, Ovid *Met.* 1.107–108, *F.* 2.220.

851 per . . . Hellen: the constellation of the Ram had long been identified with the ram of golden fleece that transported Phrixus and his sister Helle from Boeotia to Asia; on the journey Helle grew frightened, fell into the sea, and drowned, giving her name to the Hellespont (cf. Ovid *F.* 3.852–76). Helle's fate is an innocent counterpart to that of Mytilus, who also gave his name to the place where he drowned, cf. 139–42, and note the echo of *vectus* (141) in *vexerat*.

pavidam: the detail may have been suggested by Ovid's description of Europa being carried across the sea by Jupiter disguised as a bull, *Met.* 2.873 *pavet haec*.

852–53 qui . . . Hyadas: "who displays the Hyades in his gleaming horns," closely resembling Ovid *F.* 5.165–66 *ora micant Tauri septem radiantia flammis, / navita quas Hyadas Gratius ab imbre vocat*.

854 curvi brachia Caneri: a reworking of Ovid *Met.* 2.83 *curvantem brachia Canerum*, with a typical shift from the naturalistic to the elliptical (*curvi*).

855–56 Leo . . . Hercules: the constellation Leo was generally thought to represent the Nemean lion overcome by Hercules, cf. Ovid *Ars* 1.68 *cum sol Herculei terga leonis adit*, Manil. 2.531, Pease on Cic. *ND* 2.110.

855 flammiferis aestibus ardens: the sun enters Leo in late July, and the constellation was linked for the Romans with the "dog days" of summer, cf. Hor. *C.* 3.29.19–20 (*furit stella vaesani leonis / sole dies referente siccos*, *Epist.* 1.10.16).

856 iterum: an allusion to the obscure legend that the Nemean lion originated on the moon, cf. Nigidius Figulus fr. 93 (= *schol.* Germ. *Phaen.* 72.1), *HF* 83 *sublimis alias Luna concipiat feras*.

857 in terras . . . relictas: Virgo, identified with Astraea, had abandoned the earth in horror at the wickedness of humanity; Seneca reverses the language of Ovid *Met.* 1.149–50 *Virgo caede madentes . . . terras Astraea reliquit*.

860–62 et qui . . . nervo: the Archer (Sagittarius) was depicted as a centaur at least from the time of Eudoxus of Cnidus (4th c. B.C.), but the identification with Chiron is perhaps due to the Roman scholar-mystic Nigidius Figulus (fr. 97 Swoboda). This passage is its first explicit appearance in surviving Latin poetry; cf. also Luc. 9.536, Bömer on Ovid *Met.* 2.81. Chiron was more often identified with the constellation of the Centaur, cf. Ovid *F.* 5.379–414, Manil. 5.348–56.

860 nervo . . . Haemonio: based on Ovid *Met.* 2.81 (of Sagittarius) *Haemonios . . . arcus*. Thessaly is the traditional home of centaurs (hence their ill-fated presence at the wedding of Hippodamia, Ovid *Met.* 12.210–14), but the epithet has special point when used of Chiron, teacher of the greatest Thessalian hero, Achilles, cf. Ag. 641 (n).

tenet: the Archer is conventionally shown about to shoot an arrow, which he therefore perpetually "holds" in place on the bowstring, cf. Manil. 1.270 *mixtus equo volucrum missurus iamque sagittam*.

861 pinnata . . . spicula: a mannered equivalent for, e.g., *volucres sagittae* (Verg. *Aen.* 12.415, Manil. 1.270 just cited); *pinnata* highlights the artificiality of the phrase by excluding the literal sense of *spiculum* ("arrow-tip"), in contrast to, e.g., Ovid *Pont.* 4.7.37 *spicula cum pictis haerent in casside pennis*.

862 rupto . . . spicula nervo: the near-repetition takes the place of a *sententia*.

perdet: a recurring motif in the ode, cf. 792, 879.

863 pigram . . . hiemem: cf. Ovid *Am.* 3.6.94, *Ars* 3.186.

864 Aegoceros: the Greek form of *Capricornus*, widely used in Latin poetry.

864–65 tuam, quisquis es, urnam: an allusion to competing identifications of the Water-carrier (Aquarius), e.g., Ganymede (Ovid *F.* 2.145, Manil. 5.487), Deucalion (Nig. Fig. fr. 99 Swoboda, Germ. *Phaen.* 562, Luc. 1.653), Cecrops (Hyg. *Astr.* 2.29), and Aristaeus (*schol.* Germ. *Phaen.* 68); Roscher 6.976–77. (A similar scholarly aside in 378 above.)

865–66 excedent . . . Pisces: the last item carries particular point; *excedent* suggests voluntary departure rather than violent collapse (contrast *praiceps ibit* 850, *cadet* 856, 858, 864, *trahe(n)t* 854, 859), and together with *ultima* almost certainly recalls Justice abandoning the vicious earth, cf. Verg. *G.* 2.473–74 *extrema . . . Iustitia excedens terris*, Ovid *Met.* 1.150 *ultima caelestum terras Astraea reliquit*.

867 monstra . . . numquam perfusa mari: in climactic position come the "fixed" stars which normally never set below the horizon.

monstra: referring to the size and bestial shape of the Bear and the Snake, cf. *Clem.* 1.25.4 (*serpens*) *solitam mensuram transit et in monstrum excrevit*, Mart. 4.57.5 (of the constellation Leo) *Nemeaei pectora monstri*. [Bentley conjectured *plaustra*, which Leo printed in the form *plostra*, but emendation does not seem required and it is unlikely that the Wain would be separated from its guardian, named in 873–74.]

868 *condens omnia gurgis*: the language suggests the destruction of the world by water that in Seneca's view alternated with the fiery *ecpyrosis*; an exuberant evocation in *NQ* 3.27, cf. 11 *iam omnia, quae prospici potest, aquis obsidentur; omnis tumulus in profundo latet*.

869 *et*: correlative with *-que . . . -que* in 871, 873 (for *et . . . -que . . . -que* cf. Gratt. 415–16, Stat. *Theb.* 12.232–33); *ruet* is to be understood in all three clauses.

869–870 *qui . . . Anguis*: cf. Manil. 1.305–306 *has (sc. Ursas) inter fusus circumque amplexus utramque / dividit . . . Anguis*, Ovid *Met.* 3.45 (*serpens*) *geminas qui separat Arctos (= Ursas, Greek form)*.

medias dividit Ursas: “divides the Bears in two”; *medias* is predicate adj., cf. Manil. 1.451–53 *Arctos / uno distingui medias claudique Dracone / credimus*, Cic. *Div.* 2.92 *illi orbes qui caelum quasi medium dividunt*.

870 *fluminis instar*: a traditional comparison, cf. Aratus *Phaen.* 45–46, Verg. *G.* 1.244–45 *maximus hic flexu sinuoso elabitur Anguis / circum perque duas in morem fluminis Arctos*, Sen. *Med.* 694.

871–74 The constellations just mentioned are now seen from the opposite perspective: the Snake, the focus of attention in 868–70, recedes into a peripheral position (*magno . . . Draconi*), and the Bears assume the primary role.

871 *magno . . . Draconi*: Ursa Minor (= Cynosura) is much smaller than the Snake and also much closer to it than is Ursa Major.

872 *frigida . . . gelu*: the Bears are conventionally linked with the frozen north, cf. Ovid *Met.* 2.171 *gelidi . . . triones* with Bömer's note, Sen. *HF* 129–30 *signum celsi glacialis poli / septem stellis Arcados ursae*, 1139.

873–74 *custos . . . plaustris . . . Arctophylax*: the same constellation was called Arctophylax (the “Bear-guardian”) and Bootes (the “Driver”), according to whether the nearby group of seven stars was thought to represent bears or plough-oxen (*triones*, also *plaustrum* or *plaustra* = the cart drawn by the oxen); cf. Ovid *F.* 3.405 *sive est Arctophylax sive est piger ille Bootes*, Manil. 1.316–17 *a tergo nitet Arctophylax idemque Bootes* (so called) *quod similis iunctis instat de more iuencis*. Seneca startlingly juxtaposes the conceptions: the *custos plaustris* is also the bear-watcher *Arctophylax*. (Compare *Oed.* 477, where the same stars are called *sidus Arcadium*—i.e., the Greater and Lesser Bear, representing the Arcadians Callisto and Arcas—and *geminum plaustrum*, and *Med.* 315 *Arctica . . . plaustra*, literally “the Wains of the Bears.”)

873 *custos . . . plaustris*: another sign of the conflation of Bootes and Arctophylax: *custos* (= Greek *phylax*) usually describes the bear-watcher, cf. Ovid *F.* 2.153 *custodem . . . Ursae*, *Tr.* 1.4.1, 11.15.

tardus: i.e., slow in setting, the distinguishing mark of Bootes/Arctophylax from Homer onwards, cf. Ovid *Met.* 2.177 *quamvis tardus eras et te tua plaustra tenebant*, Germ. *Phaen.* 139 *tardus in occasu sequitur sua plaustra Bootes*, Sen. *Med.* 314–15 with Costa's note.

tardus plaustris: the double spondee suggests the slowness of the action.

874 *iam non stabilis*: “no longer fixed in place” (Miller); *stabilis* seems to imply that Arctophylax never goes below the horizon, which is not true. [In *Ag.* 69–70, if I have understood those lines correctly, Seneca imagines a point so far north that from it Bootes will be a fixed constellation, but there are no such special circumstances here.] Perhaps the

fact that Bootes/Arctophylax is visible for at least part of every night in the year made *stabilis* seem an acceptable hyperbole.

875 *Nos*: an arresting shift of focus; no first-person forms have been used so far in the ode. This immediacy is maintained in the next question (*in nos . . .* 877) and reaches a climax in the despairing cry *o nos dura / sorte creatos* (878–79). As with the introduction of personal language at the end of the second and third odes (393–400, 621–23), it is tempting to see a meaning in these lines that projects beyond the dramatic context.

e tanto . . . populo: i.e., all of humanity, past and present, cf. *NQ* 7.30.5 *venientis aevi populus* (all those yet to be born).

875–77 *visi . . . digni . . . mundus*: “have we been judged worthy of being crushed by the sky's collapse?”; *premeret* is subjunctive in a relative clause of characteristic or purpose (AG 535f), like *premat* in the parallel lines of *Octavia* (392–93): *adest mundo dies / supremus ille, qui premat genus impium*.

876 *premeret*: for the theme of oppression see above, p. 46, on 787 *gravis*.

876–77 *everso / cardine*: “the pole torn from its place.” The *cardines* are the two pivots on which the axis of the sky was thought to revolve (*OLD* s.f., #3); *everso cardine* describes, not a mere reversal of position (like *cardine verso* in Manil. 1.449), but an uprooting of the cosmic structure (cf. *Med.* 414 *sternam et evertam omnia*, *Ag.* 912 *eversa domus est funditus*). The Chorus fears that the time may be literally “out of joint” (*Hamlet* 1.5.188).

877–78 *in nos . . . venit*: “has the last age (of the world) come upon us?”; cf. Luc. 7.390 *aevi venientis in orbem*.

879 *creatos*: almost = *natos*, as often in high poetry (cf. Verg. *Aen.* 10.551, Ovid *Met.* 13.346 *Telamone creatus*). The ablative with *creare* usually specifies the parent or source (as in, e.g., Ovid *Met.* 1.760 *si modo sum caelesti stirpe creatus*); *dura sorte* seems a looser abl. of circumstance.

perdidimus: “lost” (i.e., through the misfortune of being alive at this time); the word recalls *perdis* 792 and *perdet* 862, but with stronger emotional coloring.

881 *expulimus*: universalizing first person plural (“we human beings”), cf. Ovid *Am.* 3.4.17 *nitimur in vetitum semper cupimusque negata*, Sen. *Ag.* 297 (n).

882 *abeant . . . timor*: an arresting lead-in to the final *sententia*: the audience must wonder what consolation can have brought strength in the midst of such distress. (*Tro.* 399–400 are similar: *spem ponant avidi, solliciti metum; / tempus nos avidum devorat et chaos*.)

The Chorus's words are unwittingly echoed by Thyestes at the start of his drinking-song, *iam sollicitas ponite curas, / fugiat maeror fugiatque pavor* (921–22); Thyestes' delusion of happiness is undermined by contrast with the Chorus's true *securitas*.

883–84 *vitae . . . mori*: the thought superficially resembles the commonplace “misery loves company” (cf., e.g., Sen. *Contr.* 9.6.2 *morientibus gratissimum est commori*, Sen. *NQ* 6.2.9 *ingens mortis solacium est terram quoque videre mortalem*, Costa on *Med.* 428), but the language integrates it with the themes of the play and makes it a compelling climax. (The eclipse-passage in Pind. *Paean* 9 ends with a similar thought (21): *ὀλοφύρομαι οὐδέν, ὅ τι πάντων μέτα πείσομαι*—“I do not bewail what I shall suffer in common with all.”)

883 *vitae est avidus*: Seneca is often most eloquent in denouncing attachment to life

(cf., e.g., *Ag.* 589–611, *Brev. vit.* 11.1, *Epist.* 101.8); for *avidus* in this connection see *Epist.* 32.4 *homines avidos futuri*, *Cic. Sen.* 72 (quoted on 471–72). Here *avidus* takes on added meaning from the prominence of hunger in the play (see on *avido* 2); like power and luxury, life itself can be a destructive appetite.

883–84 *quisquis . . . mori*: a *double entendre*: *mundo pereunte* refers both to destruction of the universe and to the moral extinction envisaged in the prologue (48 *ius . . . omne pereat*); *quisquis non vult* also permits two senses, “whoever is unwilling to die” and “whoever does not wish to die.” In a world literally and figuratively on the point of collapse, death is not merely bearable, but attractive.

quisquis non vult . . . mori: recalls *qui . . . occurrit . . . suo libens / fato nec queritur mori* (365–68), but in a stronger form.

884 *mundo . . . pereunte*: an intensification of *perituum diem* (121) and *mundi periere vices* (813).

mundo: both “heavens” and “world,” as in 813.

pereunte mori: two verbs denoting death thrust together in a final image of individual and universal annihilation.

ACT V (885–1112)

As the act opens, Thyestes is still at his ghastly feast within the palace, while Atreus stands outside exulting at the heavenly disorder he has provoked. Atreus orders the palace doors opened to reveal Thyestes, wallowing in newly-regained luxury but at the same time racked by dread of imminent calamity. Atreus joins him, amusing himself at Thyestes’ growing unease, then confronts him with the remains of his children, and gradually forces him to recognize the enormity he has committed. Thyestes’ first expressions of shock are not violent enough to satisfy Atreus, who bitterly regrets that neither father nor sons were conscious of their act as it was performed; only when Thyestes calls on Jupiter to blast the universe with avenging violence does Atreus admit that his triumph is complete. The play ends as Thyestes threatens Atreus with divine retribution and Atreus coolly reminds him of the torment his own conscience will never cease to exact.

The outcome is a victory for Atreus in more than one sense: he dominates not only on the level of action but also on that of language, on which the struggles between the brothers have been reflected throughout the play. Thyestes’ attempts to find suitable words for his grief and outrage are all to a degree thwarted,¹ but Atreus’ language is at its wittiest as he toys with his discomfited victim.² The final impression, though, is less one of victory or defeat than of a moral vacuity encompassing both brothers, alienating both from an audience’s sympathy. Atreus’ cleverness is revealed more clearly than before as the febrile brilliance of lunacy,³ while Thyestes’ groundless faith in a just providence and persistent ignorance of his own flawed nature suggest that his sufferings have failed to teach him wisdom.⁴

¹ See on 1006–21, 1035–51, 1046–47, 1068–96, 1087–88, 1088, 1089, 1090–92, 1095.

² Cf., e.g., 970–71, 972, 976–83, 982–83, 1030–31, 1103, 1112.

³ See on 885–86, 891–92, 1021–23, 1098–99, 1104–10.

⁴ Cf. 1110 (and also on 1024–25, 1027, 1103 *scelere . . . scelus* for other indications of Thyestes’ lack of understanding). It is interesting that Thyestes moves from scepticism about the gods’ existence (407) to blind trust in their justice, while the Chorus’s outlook

885–919 The opening of the palace to display Thyestes is strongly reminiscent of places in Greek drama where a wheeled platform, the *eccyclema*, was used to bring interior scenes onto the stage; cf. probably Aesch. *Ag.* 1372 onwards, Arist. *Ach.* 407–88, etc.; A. M. Dale, “Scen and Unseen on the Greek Stage,” *Collected Papers* (Cambridge, 1969), 121–24. As in the third act, the staging acts as a visual equivalent for Atreus’ control, since he can observe Thyestes without himself being seen. Here this effect is even more pronounced, since Atreus does not simply note Thyestes’ actions but seems almost to be dictating them; he is like a director overseeing a crucial scene, even giving Thyestes the cue, as it were, for his aria (918–19). (It is also appropriate that Thyestes should at this point be literally enclosed by the house that symbolizes the attractions of status he has been unable to resist. [See above, p. 45.]

885–86 *Aequalis . . . polum*: Atreus proclaims his jubilation with characteristic energy (see on 176–78): except for *gradior*, every word or phrase repeats the notion of exalted height.

Aequalis astris gradior: saying that one can touch the sky is a proverbial expression of felicity (cf. “feeling on top of the world”), cf. *Cic. Att.* 2.1.7 *nostri autem principes digito se caelum putant attingere*, *Hor. C.* 1.1.36 *sublimi feriam sidera vertice* (with Nisbet-Hubbard’s note). Atreus gives the commonplace a new twist: *aequalis* means “as high as” (cf. 643) but also implies “of equal status with”; since the stars are divine (cf. 844 *sacris . . . astris*), Atreus is implicitly claiming equality with the gods, as he will do openly in 911 (cf. also 545, 713). (The phrase could take on another sense in light of the previous ode, i.e., that by his crime Atreus has brought the heavens down to his own level.)

886 *altum . . . polum*: a variant of a “golden line” (abBcA), cf. on I0 above.

887 *nunc . . . patris*: Atreus means that he has secured his power by eliminating Thyestes’ heirs, but his words also imply that only by such an atrocity could he prove his claim to the throne of Pelops. (Compare *Med.* 910 *Medea nunc sum*.)

decora regni: “the adornments of rule,” probably the diadem and scepter (see on 341–47).

888 *dimitto superos*: “I release the gods,” explained by *summa votorum attigi*: there is nothing more that Atreus would wish the gods to give him, so he releases them from further obligations. (The phrase, though, is equally true in the literal sense, “I send the gods away,” cf. 776, 893.)

889 *bene . . . mihi*: cf. 279. As in his planning of the crime, so now Atreus enjoys a fleeting moment of satisfaction before his ingrained restlessness returns (*sed cur satis sit?*, cf. 280 *tantisper*, 256).

etiam mihi: “even for me,” a wry admission of Atreus’ high standards in revenge (compare *Tantalus’ nos quoque*, 18).

890 *cur satis sit?*: “why *should* it be enough?”; *sit* is a deliberative subjunctive (AG 444) repudiating a stated or implied suggestion, cf. *Pl. As.* 47 *cur hoc ego ex te quaeram?*; S. A. Handford, *The Latin Subjunctive* (London, 1947), 67. The usage is found mainly in informal speech.

890–91 *implebo . . . suorum*: “I shall fill the father with the death of his children,” i.e., I shall make Thyestes realize what he has done. The ghoulish play on words resembles that in 282–83 *ingesta orbitas / in ora patris*, and is typical of Atreus’ delight in the develops in almost precisely the opposite direction (compare 122–35 with 621–22 and 804–12, 842–43).

possibilities of language. [Leo bracketed *pergam . . . suorum*, but once the sense of *implebo* is grasped, there is no reason to suspect the phrase, or to emend *implebo* to *impleto*, with M. Müller.]

890 *pergam*: "I shall go on"; *pergere* implies continuing after a temporary halt, see on 23, 490. For the parataxis *pergam et implebo* cf. 23–24 *perge . . . et . . . age*, HF 75 *perge et . . . opprime*.

891–92 *ne . . . recessit*: Atreus mischievously pretends that daylight has withdrawn in order not to inhibit him. (Alternatively, the line could be read as the genuine belief of a madman.)

quid: adverbial acc., "in any respect," "at all" (AG 390d).

892 *dum caelum vacat*: "while the sky is empty" (and there are no witnesses to the deed); for *vacare* cf. *Epist.* 7.5 (of interludes in the amphitheater) *haec fiunt dum vacat harena*.

893–95 *utinam . . . viderent*: Atreus would like to treat the gods as he does his own subjects, forcing them to do what they most want to avoid (cf. 212 *quod nolunt velint*).

894 *ultricem dapem*: a bizarre combination of the mundane (*daps*) with the high-flown (*ultrix*), matching the grotesque character of Atreus' revenge. This is Seneca's only use of *ultrix* of an object; a less striking precedent in Ovid *Met.* 3.190 *spargens . . . comas ultricibus undis*.

896–97 *etiam . . . tuae*: although the gods cannot be forced to return, Atreus can in a sense overcome their resistance by making Thyestes see what they, in the figure of the sun, have tried to conceal. Atreus here fulfills the prediction of the Messenger in 782–88; his abrupt address to Thyestes (*tibi . . . tuae*) parallels the Messenger's apostrophe at 782–83.

***discutiam . . . tuae*:** dispelling darkness usually connotes a return to normal (so with *discutere umbras* in Lucr. 4.341, Verg. *Aen.* 12.669, etc.), but here darkness is a protection and clarity brings ruin; a similar inversion of the norm underlies HF 50 *vidi nocte discussa inferum*.

898–901 Atreus impatiently becomes dissatisfied with the banquet he has himself arranged, and (again like a writer or director) specifies that a "sober Thyestes" is needed for the following scene.

898–99 *seculo . . . hilarique vultu*: Atreus' view of Thyestes is, as before (289–93), one-sided; he sees only the signs of indulgence and does not suspect how divided Thyestes' feelings actually are (cf. 920–69).

899–900 *satis . . . Baccho*: an elegant periphrasis for *edisti satis atque bibisti* (Hor. *Epist.* 2.2.214); Atreus may employ the poetic clichés *mensa = cibus/cena* (cf. 148) and *Bacchus = vinum* (cf. 467) with conscious irony.

***satis . . . datum est*:** Miller's "enough time has been given" (cf. Ovid *Met.* 7.662–63 *lucis pars ultima mensae / est data*) is perhaps too limiting; *satis* might suggest a tribute or offering (Atreus speaks of the *mensae sacra* below, in 981).

901 *turba famularis*: a high-flown address to the servants, cf. *Pha.* 725 *fida famularum manus*, Ag. 800 *fida famuli turba*; the adjective *famularis* may recall Republican tragedy, cf. Fantham on *Tro.* 747.

901–902 *fores . . . domus*: the command is stated twice, with *patefiat domus* giving the result of *fores . . . relaxa*.

902 *templi*: the "temple" is identical to the palace, as with Latinus' palace in Verg. *Aen.* 7.172–74 (*regia . . . templum*, and cf. 192 *tali . . . templo*). Here the word seems curiously prominent, perhaps to underscore Atreus' pretensions to divinity.

***patefiat domus*:** cf. 788 *patefiat mala*. The echo suggests a link between the *domus* and the *mala*, making it appropriate that Thyestes should now be seen actually inside the *domus* that Tantalus has infected (cf. 53, 101–104).

903–907 These lines fill the interval between Atreus' order and its execution; starting at 908 Atreus describes what the audience is supposed to see "within." [In some places in Greek tragedy where the *eccyclema* was used to reveal interior scenes, similar sets of lines cover the time needed to wheel out the device, cf. Soph. *Ajax* 344–47, Eur. *Her.* 1029–38; O. Taplin, *The Stagecraft of Aeschylus* (Oxford, 1977), 442–43.]

This is Seneca's most skillful handling of an interior scene; when Atreus joins Thyestes at 970, the "interior" imperceptibly becomes the setting for the rest of the play. Compare the less accomplished scenes in *Phaedra* (384–86) and *Hercules Furens* (999–1053).

903 *libet videre*: a strong wish, "I want to see," contrasting with Thyestes' unfulfilled longings in 954–56 (the play's only uses of the present of *libet*).

***intuens*:** modifies the subject of *det* (Thyestes).

904 *quos det colores*: "what complexion he shows" (i.e., how his face turns red and pale by turns), perhaps based on Verg. *Aen.* 12.69 *talis virgo dabat ore colores*; for alternating blushes and pallor as signs of violent emotion cf. *Med.* 858–61 with Costa's note.

904–905 *verba . . . effundat*: Thyestes' first horrified words (1006–19) are indeed gratifyingly turbulent.

905–906 *aut . . . rigescat*: "or how, breathless with shock, his body grows stiff" (like Ovid's Niobe, *deriguit . . . malis*, *Met.* 6.303).

905 *spiritu expulso stupens*: the reactions Atreus wants to see in Thyestes are similar to those the Chorus has just described in the external world, cf. *stupet* 800, 815, *solem . . . expulimus* 881.

906 *fructus . . . operis*: "the reward of my hard work," cf. Ter. *Ad.* 870 *hoc fructi pro labore ab eis fero, odium*, *Phaedr.* 4.20.8 *quem fructum capis hoc ex labore?*

907 *miserum . . . miser*: a revised version of 246 *de fine poenae loqueris; ego poenam volo*. Atreus constantly sets stricter conditions for his revenge.

908 *aperta . . . face*: = abAcB (see on 10 above); the symmetrical arrangement could reflect Atreus' pleasure at the smooth working of his plan.

***multa . . . face*:** collective singular, heightening the artifice of the line, cf. ps.-Ovid *Am.* 3.5.4 *in ramis multa latebat avis*, Juv. 4.47–48 *cum plena et litora multo / delatore forent*.

909 *resupinus*: "on his back," i.e., reclining in Roman style, but perhaps suggesting an abandoned rather than an elegant posture; compare Ovid *Ars* 1.487 *illa toro resupina feretur*, where *resupina* pictures the loved one lying at her ease on her litter.

***purpurae atque auro incubat*:** Thyestes is surrounded by the trappings of wealth. The combination "purple and gold" is conventional (see Pease on Verg. *Aen.* 4.134), but *auro*

incubat might point to Vergil's rejection of greed and ambition in *G.* 2.505–507 *hic petit excidiis urbem miserisque penatis, / ut gemma bibat* [cf. 913 *ducit argento*] *et Sarrano dormiat ostro; / condit opes alius defossoque incubat auro.*

incubat: the primary sense is “reclines on,” but the notion of “anxiously brooding over” (as in the lines of the *Georgics* just cited) is perhaps also present; Vergil combines both senses in *Aen.* 4.82–83 *sola* (sc. *Dido*) *domo maeret vacua stratisque relictis / incubat.*

910 vino . . . caput: a vivid amplification of the Messenger's *gravis* . . . *vino* 781.

911 eructat: this revolting detail is not simply a specimen of Senecan crudity; Thyestes' audible signs of pleasure show that he has fulfilled Atreus' wish, *liberos avidus pater / gaudensque laceret* (277–78, cf. also 778–82). Seneca may be turning to more effective use Manilius' description of Thyestes as *ructantem . . . patrem natos* (5.462).

913 argento: i.e., a silver goblet; a significant echo of Thyestes' own maxim *venenum in auro bibitur* (453).

914 ne parce: addressed to Thyestes, ironically encouraging him not to show restraint. The sarcastic aside in the second person recalls the stage-technique of comedy, cf., e.g., *Pl. Amph.* 313, *Most.* 174–75, 183, etc.

potu: dative, cf. Verg. *Aen.* 1.257 *parce metu*, Neue-Wagener 1³.541–46.

915 tot: gloating over the extent of the slaughter, as at 523.

veteris . . . Bacchi: “vintage wine,” cf. Hor. *C.* 1.1.19 *veteris pocula Massici*, with a characteristically “Silver” extension of the metonymy (cf. Ovid *F.* 3.301 *odorati . . . pocula Bacchi*). The fact that the wine is of a good year reflects Atreus' scrupulous attention to detail (and perhaps as well Thyestes' weakness for the prerogatives of power).

916 hoc, hoc: for the excited and climactic repetition, cf. 265 above, Hor. *Epod.* 4.20 *hoc, hoc, tribuno militum.*

mensa cludatur: “let the meal be concluded,” referring to the custom of a formal toast and drinking-session at the end of a banquet, cf. Verg. *Aen.* 1.723–24.

scypho: the drinking-cup stands by metonymy for the act of drinking, as often with *pocula*, cf. *Pl. Rud.* 362 *magnis poculis hac nocte eum invitavit.*

917–18 Atreus caps his own previous *sententia* (*hoc . . . scypho*), making Thyestes' atrocity the unemphatic lead-in (917) to a still more pointed conclusion (*meum bibisset*). Atreus' recurring dissatisfaction with the revenge he has exacted is matched by his restless striving for ever sharper thrusts of verbal wit.

918 meum bibisset: “my blood” = “the blood of my children,” cf. 1043. The inference is crazily logical, given Atreus' conviction that Thyestes has been plotting against him, cf. 201–202, 314–16, 1104–1109. This is the real conclusion of Atreus' speech; the next words introduce Thyestes' monody.

bibisset: apodosis of a contrary-to-fact condition with protasis implied (e.g., “if he had had the chance” or “if I had not acted first”).

ecce: Medea's great conjuration-aria is introduced with a similar flourish, 738–39 *sonuit ecce vesano gradu / canitque; mundus vocibus primis tremuit.*

919 festas . . . voces: festivity in Senecan drama is consistently deluded or ill-omened, cf. 970 below, *Med.* 300 (the wedding preparations of Jason and Creusa, ironically recalled by Medea in 985–86 *o festum diem, / o nuptialem*), *Ag.* 311, 791 (Greek thoughts

of victory and homecoming, set against the short-lived joy of the Trojans, 644–45), *Tro.* 883 (the clothing Polyxena is to wear for her “marriage” to Achilles); cf. also *Oct.* 646.

nec satis menti imperat: almost a stage-direction, a hint that Thyestes will be singing “under the influence,” and also that he will betray feelings which he would otherwise suppress. *Pha.* 386 *mente non sana* is similar, although simpler.

920–69 Alone at his lavish table, his inhibitions loosened by wine, Thyestes reveals scorn for the poverty he had claimed to cherish (446–70) and urges himself to accept the pleasures of his regained position. But drink has also released unspoken anxieties, and Thyestes is seized by an impalpable yet terrible fear that reduces his song of celebration to uncontrollable howls of grief (956). The scene is a harrowing portrayal of psychological disintegration, unique in ancient literature and, for all its grotesque exaggeration, uncomfortably real.

920–37 The first part of the song comprises a central generalizing section (925–33 *magis . . . ruinas*), preceded and followed by passages of self-exhortation (920–25, 933–37; note the chiasmic balance of *ponite* 921, *pelle . . . dimitte . . . mitte* 934–37, *fugiat . . . fugiat . . . fugiat* 922–23, *redeant* 936). Throughout these lines a sustained irony plays about Thyestes' words; almost every phrase contains a sense beyond the one consciously intended.

921 ponite curas: a pointed echo of 348–49 *res est qui posuit metus / et diri mala pectoris*: since Thyestes lacks *securitas*, his efforts to put aside anxiety are bound to fail.

922–23 fugiat . . . fugiat . . . fugiat: repetition conveys a feeling of drunken eloquence, cf. also 926–28 *magnum . . . magnum*, 942–45 *quid . . . quid . . . quid*, 954–56 *libet . . . libet . . . libet*.

fugiat: the stress on flight reminds the audience of the consequences of Thyestes' actions (776 *fugerts*), just as the fear and grief he is trying to escape recall the reactions of the Chorus to the unnatural darkness (compare 922 *maeror . . . pavor* and 923 *trepidati . . . exilii* with 828 *trepidant pectora*, 882 *questus . . . timor*).

923 comes exilii: in apposition to *egestas*, with *comes* stressing the link between *egestas* and *exilium*, cf. Vell. *Pat.* 2.102.3 *semper magnae fortunae comes adest adulatio*, *Sen. Pha.* 206–207 *illa magnae dira fortunae comes . . . libido*, *OLD* s.v., #6b.

924 tristis egestas: this is Atreus' view of poverty (303), sharply at odds with Thyestes' previous statements, cf. 447 *frustra timentur dura*, 449–51, 469.

924–25 rebusque . . . afflictis: a *double entendre* rounding off the first section of the song. The intended meaning is “shame burdensome to poverty” (*rebus afflictis* dat. after *gravis*, see on 612), i.e., the shame that weighs down the poor. At another level, though, the wish “let burdensome *pudor* flee” is all too true of Thyestes' “ruined condition” (*rebus afflictis*, abl. of circumstances); the Fury's curse banished *pudor* (27), and Atreus has interpreted the flight of the sun as a removal of all inhibition (891).

924 gravis: the idea of oppression is especially prominent here, cf. 929 *pressum*, 930 *pondera*.

925–26 magis . . . refert: “it matters (*rēfert*) more where you fall *from* than what you fall *into*,” i.e., the loss of great wealth and status is a greater hardship than exposure to poverty—the reverse of Thyestes' attitude in 447–51.

926–33 magnum . . . ruinas: “it's a great achievement (*magnum*), once having fallen from a lofty pinnacle, to plant one's steps firmly on the lowly earth; it's a great achievement,

when weighed down by a huge mass of troubles, to bear the weight of broken rule with one's neck held straight (*non inflexa / cervice*), to endure the disasters forced upon one while remaining upright (*rectum*), not corrupted or overcome by misfortune." With a lack of insight so total as to be frightening, Thyestes congratulates himself on the fortitude with which he has borne the loss of power. The syntax is unusually convoluted, perhaps another sign that Thyestes' speech has been affected by drink; he sounds like a caricature of a sententious moralist.

926-28 magnum . . . figere: cf. *Cons. Marc.* 5.5 *cogita non esse magnum rebus prosperis fortem se gerere*.

926-27 ex alto / culmine . . . in plano: the metaphors recall Thyestes' former pronouncements (cf. 447 *dum excelsus steti*, 451 *humi iacentem*), while reversing the values placed on these opposing conditions; cf. also 391-92 *stet quicumque volet potens / aulae culmine lubrico*.

927-28 stabilem . . . figere gressum: an unfortunate choice of image, since this "firm step" was lost as soon as Thyestes agreed to leave his place of exile, cf. 420 *moveo nolentem gradum*.

929 strage malorum pressum: Thyestes uses *strages* to mean "confused mass" (*OLD* s.v., #3), cf. Livy 42.63.4 *ex ipsa ruinae strage congestis saxis*; it is, however, impossible not to hear the more common sense "slaughter," especially since Thyestes is literally "weighed down" by an *ingens strages* of this kind (910 *gravatum . . . caput*, 1000 *sentio . . . onus*, 1051 *premor . . . natis*).

929-30 fracti / pondera regni: i.e., the crushing burden of having his reign shattered; for *fracti* see on *ruptum* 179. (This phrase too might conceal a grim allusion to the bodies of the children, a dead weight that shatters Thyestes' hopes of dynastic succession.)

930-32 non inflexa / cervice . . . rectum: these complacent descriptions are undermined by Thyestes' present position, *resupinus* (909) and with his lolling head propped up on his hand (910).

931 pati: even clearer in its assumptions than 470 *immane regnum est posse sine regno pati* (see note *ad loc.*).

nec: = *et non*, with *et* linking *pati* and *ferre*, and *non* opposing *degenerem* and *victim* to *rectum*; see note on 926-33 above.

degenerem: Thyestes uses the word loosely to mean "ignoble," "debased," but he is also *non degener* in the strict sense, in that his actions are all that might be expected of a Tantalid; for a similar point compare Ovid *Met.* 6.636 *degeneras; scelus est pietas in coniuge Terei*.

932 victim . . . malis: Thyestes here comes close to fulfilling Atreus' suspicions of him, cf. 196-97 *quid esse tam saevum potest / quod superet illum? numquid abiectus iacet?*

932-33 impositas . . . ruinas: a pointed echo of 542, *regni nomen impositi feram*: by choosing to "bear" rule, Thyestes has in fact exposed himself to disaster (*ruina*).

934 nubila fati: the "clouds" of destiny recall the *nubes deformis* (775) that has settled on the house.

935 temporis . . . notas: another innocent phrase given point by the preceding choral ode, where "all indications of time" have indeed been sent away (cf. 813 *solitae mundi perire vices*, 837-38 *aestatis / brunaque notas*, also 888 *dimitto superos*).

936 vultus . . . boni: "cheerful faces," cf. Ovid *Met.* 8.677-78 *vultus / accessere boni nec iners pauperque voluntas* (at the meal that Baucis and Philemon prepared for Jupiter and Mercury).

ad laeta: "at/in response to my good fortune," cf. *Ira.* 3.10.1 *ad primum mali sensum mederi sibi*.

937 veterem . . . Thyesten: the "old Thyestes" is the austere character Thyestes assumed in exile, the ostentatiously gloomy figure mocked by Atreus (505-507). But Thyestes' words again turn against him, reminding us of a yet older Thyestes, hungry for comfort and status, who was never fully discarded and who reasserted himself when temptation was put in his way.

938-41 Thyestes notices that his advice to be cheerful is not working; still pompously generalizing, he claims that the unfortunate are perversely reluctant to accept a change for the better.

938 Proprium hoc . . . vitium: i.e., this "defect" is "unique," "peculiar" to the wretched, cf. Cic. *Div.* 2.109 *ipsa varietas quae est propria fortunae*, Sen. *HF* 1220-21 *quod . . . habet proprium furor, / in se ipse saevit*.

sequitur: possibly ironic, since at several earlier points the "following" is in the other direction (i.e., Tantalus or Thyestes pursue their *vitium* to their own misfortune, cf. 100, 174, 489).

939 numquam rebus credere laetis: what Thyestes calls a *vitium* is to the clear-sighted Chorus a norm of prudence, *nemo confidat nimium secundis* (615).

942 quid me revocas: as Thyestes' fears grow, his tone shifts from the confidently gnomic to the urgent and personal.

revocas: i.e., from the happiness I seek; the address is to *dolor* (944), which Thyestes experiences as an external force (*vetas . . . iubes . . . prohibes*). Tantalus is similarly "ordered" by his hunger, cf. 165 *iubet*.

942-43 festum . . . diem: cf. 970-71.

944 nulla . . . causa: this "uncaused" grief matches Thyestes' earlier apprehension, cf. 434-35 *causam timoris ipse quam ignoro exigis*; Thyestes is morally alert enough to be uneasy, but not sufficiently aware to understand the reason for his fears.

945 [quid me prohibes: a conjecture of Heinsius for the manuscript reading *quis me prohibet*. The manuscript text is not intolerable—*quis* has no obvious referent, but in this passage it would be perverse to insist on absolute clarity—, but all other agents in the surrounding lines are aspects of Thyestes himself, either his emotions (*dolor* 944, *maeror* 951, *cupido* 952, *mens* 958, *terror* 966, *dolor . . . metus . . . voluptas* 968-69) or parts of his body (*capiti . . . rosae* 947, *crinis* 948, *imber* 950, *gemitus* 951, *oculi* 957), and this consistent focus makes it likely that the *dolor* of 944 is still being addressed here.]

945-46 flore decenti / vincere comam: garlands were a standard item at elegant dinners and symposia, cf. Hor. *C.* 1.4.9-10 *viridi nitidum caput impedire myrto / aut flore, terrae quae ferunt solutae*, 4.1.31-32 *nec certare iuvat mero / nec vincere novis tempora floribus*. The metaphor in *vincere*, though conventional (as the Horatian passages show), applies with special force to Thyestes, who has assumed the *vincla* of rule (544).

945 flore decenti: a generically phrased equivalent of *vernae . . . rosae* 947; for *decentis* ("fair," "attractive") cf. *Pha.* 764 *prata novo vere decentia*. [Some late manuscripts

have the more obvious variant *recenti*, perhaps a reminiscence of Hor. C. 3.27.43–44 *recentis / carpere flores*.]

946 prohibet, prohibet! Thyestes seems about to break down in terror; his language touches the boundaries of articulate speech. (Compare Lear's "howl, howl, howl!" 5.3.258.)

947–51 Thyestes enumerates the symptoms of his *malaise* like a horrified spectator; this alienation from one's own body is found in every scene of the play, cf. 96–99, 165–66, 267–70, 419–20, 436–37, 496–505, 634–36, 985–86, 999–1001.

947–48 rosae . . . amomo: garlands and unguents are a natural combination, cf. Lucr. 4.1132, Hor. C. 2.7.7–8 *coronatus nitentis / malobathro Syrio capillos*, Ovid(?) *Her.* 21.165–66, Petr. *Sat.* 65.7, Juv. 9.128. Martial 5.64.3–4 seems a clear imitation of this passage: *pinguescat ninio madidus mihi crinis amomo / lassenturque rosis tempora sutilibus*.

947 Vernae . . . rosae: cf. Prop. 3.5.21–22 *me iuvat et multo mentem vincere Lyaeo / et caput in verna semper habere rosa*.

capiti fluxere: "have slipped from my head"; *capiti* is dat. of separation (AG 381) where prose would use *de* or *ex*, cf. Cic. *Phil.* 12.8 *fluent arma de manibus*.

In Hellenistic poetry slipping garlands are a sign of drinking to assuage the sorrow of a difficult love affair, cf. Call. *Ep.* 43.3–4 Pf., AP 12.135, Gow on Theocr. 7.64. Here the loss of the garland portends Thyestes' imminent loss of power (perhaps symbolized earlier by the toppling diadem at 701–702); the link between garland and diadem is strengthened by the use of *vincire* of both (see on 945–46 above).

948–49 madidus crinis . . . inter subitos stetit horrores: a characteristic "Silver" treatment of a cliché (hair standing on end), with emphasis on the novel or unexpected detail; compare Ag. 712 (of Cassandra) *stetere vittae, mollis horrescit coma*, and contrast the almost formulaic regularity of this motif in Vergil and Ovid, cf. Pease on *Aen.* 4.280, Bömer on *Met.* 3.100, F. 3.332. (The line is also a neat inversion of *HF* 468–69, on Hercules in service to Omphale, *cuius horrentes comae / maduere nardo*).

inter subitos . . . horrores: "with sudden bristling"; *inter* denoting circumstance, as in Ovid *Pont.* 4.4.21 *dilapsis inter nova gaudia curis*, Sen. *Ira* 3.29.1 *inter cotidiana pervigilia fessum*.

950 imber: = *lacrimae*, a neoteric coinage (cf. Catullus 68.56) that had become part of the common stock of high poetic diction by the end of Ovid's life, cf. *Tr.* 1.3.18, 4.1.98.

vultu nolente: cf. 420 *moveo nolentem gradum*, 985–86 *nolunt manus / parere*.

951 in medias voces: "into the middle of my words."

952–53 Another attempt, as at 938–41, to explain these troubling signs by a generalization. Here there is an undertone of desperation; Thyestes himself seems aware that he is clutching at straws.

952 lacrimas amat: stronger than 941 *afflictos gaudere piget* or 427 *esse iam miserum iuvat*.

953 flendi . . . est: probably an echo of Verg. *Aen.* 6.721 *quae lucis miseris tam dira cupido?* In both passages *dira cupido* is a "strange/incomprehensible longing."

954 infaustos: lamentation would be ill-omened on a *festus dies* (942–43, 970–71).

mittere: = *emittere*, as in 957; *OLD* s.v. *mitto*, #11.

955–56 saturas ostro . . . vestes: probably a reminiscence of Verg. *G.* 4.334–35 *velera . . . saturo fucata colore*, where *satur* denotes a "rich," "full" dye. Thyestes sees his purple garments as "replete," like himself (*satur est* 913); this form of satiety is opposed to that hoped for by the Chorus, *me dulcis saturet quies* (393).

956 ululare: "to howl," like a wild animal (cf. *Oed.* 179), a much stronger word than, e.g., *gemere*. (The sounds Thyestes makes or wants to make—*gemitus* 951, *ululare*—are also those emitted by the ghosts who haunt the grove inside the palace, cf. 668–69 *gemere ferales deos / fama est, 670 ululant . . . manes*. The parallel might suggest that Thyestes is being haunted by the *manes*, i.e., the spirits of the murdered children within him, cf. 1001 *meum . . . gemitu non meo pectus gemit*.)

957–60 A brief moment of lucidity, in which general reflection (959–60) clarifies rather than clouds Thyestes' vision.

957 luctus: genitive with *signa*.

958 mens . . . sui praesaga mali: almost a quotation from the *Aeneid* (10.843), *agnovit longe gemitum praesaga mali mens*, when Mezentius realizes that his son Lausus has been killed. The echo exposes the dullness of Thyestes' perceptions: unlike Mezentius, he is unable to interpret the signals of disaster that surround him.

ante: adverbial, "beforehand."

959–60 instat . . . tument: swelling seas were an obvious sign of an approaching storm, cf. Arat. *Phaen.* 909–10, translated by Cicero as *ventos praemonstrat saepe futuros / inflatum mare, cum subito penitusque tumescit* (*Div.* 1.13), Sen. *Ag.* 469 *agitata ventis unda venturis tumet* (n). Even at his most clear-headed, Thyestes does not advance beyond the commonplace.

960 tranquilla: neut. pl. as substantive, "calm seas."

961 tumultus: taken up at 999, when the disturbance can no longer be disregarded.

962–63 credula . . . fratri: Thyestes here conforms in part to Atreus' view of him (cf. 295 *credula est spes improba*), but not in the way Atreus suspected. He seems almost to be his brother's willing victim, and the gullible spirit he urges on himself is the pathetic counterpart to Atreus' calculated show of trustworthiness, cf. 507 *praestetur fides*.

963 iam: "by now," as in 305.

quidquid id est: see on 827.

964 vel sero times: as before (cf. 485), Thyestes feebly consoles himself with the thought that it is too late to turn back.

965–69 At the end of the song Thyestes lapses back into undefined foreboding, no closer than at the start to a knowledge of his actual situation.

965 Nolo infelix: "wretched that I am, I do not want [this]" (i.e., the *terror* and *fletus* about to be mentioned); this elliptical use of *nolle* is generally confined to the idiom *velim nolim* ("whether I want [it] or not"), but cf. also Pers. 1.11–12 *nolo, / quid faciam?* The syntax underscores Thyestes' inability to control his feelings.

965–66 vagus intra / terror oberrat: Atreus' revenge has not yet come to rest (cf. *errare* in 282 and 473), but its wanderings are now restricted to Thyestes' body.

967 *nee causa subest*: Thyestes' choice of *subest* (for which cf. Ag. 246 [n]) betrays his ignorance; the cause of his fear and grief is precisely an "underlying" one.

968 *habet*: "entail," "involve," cf. Hor. *Epod.* 2.37 *quas amor curas habet* and the especially close parallel in Petr. *Sat.* 89.17 (the *Troiae Halosis*) *mentis . . . pavidae gaudium lacrimas habet*.

969 *magna voluptas*: the song ends weakly, trailing off with a bemused question; overwhelmed by events, Thyestes is equally at a loss to control words. There is also a painful irony in having this despairing outcry end with a reference to "great happiness."

970-71 *Festum diem . . . celebremus*: Atreus breaks in with deliberately grating heartiness: he mockingly echoes Thyestes' troubled words *quid me . . . festum . . . vetas / celebrare diem?* (942-43), and with *consensu pari* he seems to be amusing himself at Thyestes' expense, knowing how far Thyestes is from internal harmony.

971 *hic*: sc. *dies*.

sceptra qui firmet mea: ostensibly because no further strife will threaten it, but with a private allusion to the removal of Thyestes' heirs, cf. 887.

firmet: subj. in a relative clause of characteristic (AG 535).

972 *solidam . . . fidem*: a pompous and sonorous line (with interlocked word-order aBcbA, see on 10), whose wording hints at its real meaning for Atreus, cf. 239 *imperi quassa est fides*, 240-41 *certi nihil / nisi frater hostis*, 327-28 *pacis incertae fides / ex hoc petatur scelere*. The metaphor of binding in *alliget* may also have a sinister undertone (see following note).

973 *Satias . . . me . . . tenet*: a more elevated way of saying *satis habeo; me tenet* expresses complete satisfaction (cf. Verg. *Ecl.* 5.58-59 *silvas et cetera rura voluptas / . . . tenet*), but unwittingly implies captivity (cf. *Med.* 550 *bene est, tenetur*) or the grip of a passion or disease (*OLD* s.v., #10, cf. Sen. *Epist.* 74.11 *vitae nos odium tenet, timor mortis*).

Satias: perhaps an archaism; the word is found in the older Latin dramatists (cf. Acc. 176 R², Ter. *Hec.* 594-95), not in Cicero or Augustan poetry.

974-75 *augere . . . datur*: the language is extremely deferential, as befits a pampered guest asking yet another favor of his host. True to his own precept (*credula praesta / pectora fratri* 962-63), Thyestes gives no hint of his uneasiness.

974 *cumulus*: "addition," cf. Cic. *Att.* 4.19.2 *ad summam laetitiam meam . . . magnus illius adventus cumulus accedit*, *Fam.* 13.62.

975 *meis*: "my children."

felici: sc. *mihī*.

976-83 Prolonging the pleasure of his revelation (cf. 907 *miserum videre nolo, sed dum fit miser*), Atreus indulges in a series of ghastly *double entendres* (a more elaborate version of the taunt used by Ovid's Procne at a similar moment, '*intus habes quem poscis*,' *Met.* 6.655).

978 *ora*: Thyestes will understand *ora* as a synecdoche (as in "longing to see your face" or "showing one's face in public," cf. Verg. *Aen.* 5.576, Cic. *Verr.* 1.1), but Atreus means it literally, since the faces (and hands) are all that remain (764).

979 *totum . . . patrem*: Atreus seems so pleased with his own earlier witticism (cf. 890-91) that he nearly repeats it, amusing himself by coming dangerously close to the truth; a less fuddled victim would find this a distinctly odd phrase.

totum: almost = *plenum*, "full and complete," cf. Prop. 2.15.28 *masculus et totum femina coniugium*. The adjective is proleptic, i.e., it anticipates the result produced by the verb (here a condensation of "I shall fill the father and make him complete"), cf. Verg. *G.* 4.400 *doli frangentur inanes*, Ovid *Met.* 1.183-84 *quisque parabat / inicere . . . captivo braccia caelo*.

980 *mixti meis*: "in company with my own children," with a glance at another sort of "mixing," cf. 917.

981 *iucunda . . . colunt*: the royal children dine at a separate table, just as they did at Nero's court, cf. Tac. *Ann.* 13.16.1 (the poisoning of Britannicus) *mos habebatur principum liberos cum ceteris idem aetatis nobilibus sedentes vesci in adspectu propinquorum propria et parvior mensa*. In Accius (217-18 R²) and Ovid *Met.* 6.648-49, subterfuge is used to isolate the prospective victim, but Atreus simply places his fictitious *mensa iuvenilis* in another room. Atreus grandiloquently describes the children's meal as a ritual (*sacra . . . colunt*), perhaps recalling the "rite" of their murder (685-758).

982-83 *poculum . . . Baccho*: Atreus' last play on words is especially brilliant. The ostensible meaning is "a cup that belongs to our family ["an heirloom" Miller, cf. Verg. *Aen.* 1.729-30] filled with wine," but also present is the sense "a drink consisting of your gens, with wine poured upon it" (cf. 914-16). [Watling takes *gentile* with *Baccho* by hypallage, "wine from our ancestral vintage"; this would give an equally good *double entendre*, but *gentilis* of wine seems unlikely.]

983 *Capio*: an echo of 542 *accipio*; compare also *dapis / donum* 983-84 and *dona fortunae* 536. The stage-picture is another link between the scenes; in each Atreus stands before Thyestes holding out an object—a diadem, a drinking-cup—and urging him to take it, knowing that it will be ruinous for him to do so. The parallelism points up the connection between Thyestes' attraction to power and his inability to resist Atreus' deception.

984-85 *vina . . . hauriantur*: Thyestes begins to follow the correct procedure for after-dinner drinking, cf. Verg. *Aen.* 1.736-37 *in mensam laticum libavit honorem / primaque, libato, summo tenuis attigit ore*.

985-95 *sed quid hoc? . . . fugit omne sidus*: as Thyestes attempts to drink, a wave of revulsion sweeps through the world, beginning with the objects closest to the unnatural act—his hands, the cup, the wine—spreading to the table and floor beneath him, the light in the room, and the sky above, and finally taking in the shaken heavens and the fleeing stars. The passage recalls several earlier episodes in which the outside world has reacted in horror at the evil being planned or executed (106-21, 262-65, 700-702, 789-826). It also weaves together several leading motifs and images, e.g., unwillingness (985-86 *nonult manus / parere*), oppressive weight (986 *pondus . . . gravat*, 990 *gravis*), flight and escape (987, 991 *desertus*, 994 *se . . . abdedit*, 995 *fugit*), deception (988 *ore decepto*), fear (989 *trepido*), darkness (990 *vix lucet ignis*, 993-94 *densis coit / caligo tenebris*), and rupture (992-93 *concussi labant / convexa caeli*). This heaping-up of thematically loaded terms, like a crescendo in music, produces a sense of approaching crisis and signals that the moment of discovery is near.

987-88 *admotus . . . fluit*: a re-enactment of the punishment of Tantalus, cf. 171-74—itsself the consequence of a ghastly banquet (149-51).

987 *ipsis* . . . a *labris*: virtually the same phrase in 69 *labrisque ab ipsis* (of Tantalus).

988 *ore decepto*: used of Tantalus in *HF* 754, *Ag.* 20; see note on 2.

989 *trepido* . . . *solo*: the shaking of the ground makes the table jump, cf. 696–97 *tota succusso solo / nutavit atula*.

990 *ignis*: probably the torches mentioned at 908 *multa tecta conlucent face*; Thyestes' awareness of disorder proceeds steadily outward, reaching the sky in the following phrase (*quin* marks a significant step).

gravis: "sluggish"; the *aether* was normally the fastest-moving of the elements, cf. Ovid *Met.* 1.67–68 *imposuit liquidum et gravitate carentem / aethera*, 15.242–43.

991 *inter diem noctemque* . . . *stupet*: it is too dark to be called "day," yet neither is it a normal night, cf. 813–14, 824–26.

desertus: "abandoned," i.e., by the heavenly bodies, cf. 892 *caelum vacat*.

stupet: cf. 800–801 *stupet* . . . *arator*, 815 *stupet* (sc. *Aurora*). Personification of *aether* is quite unusual; even the elements are stunned by the evil being committed. (The play's other uses of *stupere* or *stupefacere* cluster around the brothers: of Thyestes at his first entrance, *incesso stupet* 421 and as Atreus wants to see him, *spiritu expulso stupens* 905; Atreus, on the other hand, chides himself with *quid stupes?* 241 and counterfeits amazement at the sight of Thyestes, *stupefactus haesit* 547.)

992–93 *magis* . . . *caeli*: Thyestes means that violent rumblings shake the heavens, but his words recall the Chorus's fears of the sky's actual collapse, cf. 830–31 *ne . . . cuncta ruina / quassata labent*, 847 *lapsa videbit sidera labens*.

992 *magis magisque*: with *conculsi*.

993 *convexa caeli*: "the vaulted sky," as in Verg. *Aen.* 4.451, a more elevated equivalent of *caelum convexum* (Ovid *Met.* 1.26) or *convexum caeli . . . orbem* (Cic. *Arat.* 314); *caeli* is a partitive gen., and the construction resembles, e.g., *adversa montium* in Livy 9.3.1 or *angusta viarum* in Verg. *Aen.* 2.332 (see Austin *ad loc.*).

993–94 *spissior* . . . *tenebris*: "a mist gathers, thicker than the dense shadows." This mysterious *caligo* sounds like the mists that figure in accounts of disastrous storms, cf. *Ag.* 472–73 *densa tenebras obruit / caligo*, *Pha.* 955–56 *atra ventis nubila impellentibus / subtexa vultum*. [The phrase could also be rendered "a thicker mist gathers with dense shadows," making *densis tenebris* abl. of description and equating *tenebrae* with *caligo*, cf. Juv. 12.18–19 *densae caelum abscondere tenebrae / nube una*. The following *sententia* (*nox . . . abdidit*), though, seems to require two kinds of darkness.]

993 *spissior* . . . *coit*: possibly an unconscious reminiscence of Prop. 3.5.36 *Pleiadum spisso cur coit igne chorus*.

994 *nox* . . . *abdidit*: a variation on pointed expressions in storm-scenes, cf. Pacuvius 412 *R² tenebrae conduplicantur*, Ovid *Met.* 11.521 *nox premitur tenebris hiemisque suisque*, 550 *duplicata . . . noctis imago est*, Sen. *Ag.* 472 *nec una nox est*, but with a new emphasis that makes night actively seek the concealment of its "double." (See on 896–97.)

995 *fūgit*: present, "is in flight."

quidquid est: cf. 827, 963.

995–97 *fratri* . . . *procella*: Thyestes comes closest to evoking sympathy just before his

shattering discovery; his dominant thoughts are concern for his brother and children and a conviction of his own worthlessness. The fact that his generous wish has already been fulfilled adds to the pathos.

996 *vile hoc caput*: a strong expression, showing that Thyestes feels guilt for his past crimes (cf. also 513–14, 532–33); his son Aegisthus in *Agamemnon* has a similarly low estimate of his worth, cf. 231 *opponere cunctis vile supplicis caput*. Thyestes' words may carry the added suggestion that he is offering himself as an expiatory victim in place of his children; for *vile caput* in this sense cf. Livy 9.9.19 *vilia haec capita luendae sponsioni feramus*, *Oed.* 521 *mitteris Erebo vile pro cunctis caput*.

997 *redde iam natos mihi*: this anguished cry is far removed from the suave politeness of 974–75, where the request was first made; Atreus has had the pleasure he desired (907), of seeing Thyestes reduced to desperate anxiety.

998 *Reddam* . . . *dies*: a brilliant depiction of deranged joy: the bright *i* and *e* sounds and the lingering double *ll*'s of *illos* and *nullus* let us hear Atreus' exquisite delight.

tibi illos nullus eripiet dies: this perverted union is the counterpart to unnatural separation, cf. 755 *erepta vivis exta pectoribus*, 1086 *lumen ereptum polo*. (For earlier phases of this motif cf. 428, 503, 625).

999 *Quis . . . tumultus viscera exagitat mea*: possibly linked to the play's other use of *exagitare*, 339 *quis vos exagitat furor?* Thyestes' "upheaval" is the physical result of his desire for power. (Atreus too experiences inner *tumultus*, cf. 260, 1041–42.)

1000 *impatiens* . . . *onus*: "a restless weight," a paradox, since an *onus* would normally be inert. [A milder pointed use of *onus* in Ovid *Met.* 13.624–25 (Aeneas) *patrem / fert umeris, venerabile onus* (based on Verg. *Aen.* 2.729 *oneri . . . timentem*).] Seneca links unrelated ideas, the weight of undigested food (cf. Suet. *Cal.* 58.1 *pridiani cibi onere*) and the thought that Thyestes' children protest at their confinement, to produce a jarring combination.

1001 *meum . . . non meo*: the juxtaposition has an Ovidian neatness, cf., e.g., *Met.* 10.197 *video . . . tuum, mea crimina, vulnus*, 13.495 *tuum, mea vulnera, pectus* (text uncertain).

gemitu: the inexplicable sobs that interrupted Thyestes' drinking-song (951) have assumed a more tangible form.

1003 *visis* . . . *dolor*: "this grief will flee at the sight of you"; 922 *fugiat maeror fugiatque pavor*. Thyestes' choice of metaphor is again inept, cf. 776.

1004 *unde obloquantur?*: Thyestes interprets the rumblings within as his children's voices "breaking in" on his words; for *obloqui*, often implying unfriendly interruption, cf. Sen. *Contr.* 9 *pr.* 3 *nemo ridet, nemo ex industria obloquitur*, Pliny *NH* 36.126 (*natura dederat vocem saxi . . . respondentem homini, immo vero et obloquentem*). The prosaic word seems shockingly anti-climactic, as it is meant to be: Seneca does not dignify Thyestes' situation by treating it with tragic decorum, but instead makes it seem mundane and even banal. (It is also appropriate that Thyestes, who is consistently unable to control words, should be discomfited at this crucial moment by an unseen "interruption.")

Expedi amplexus, pater: this is the moment Atreus has longed for, *ingesta orbitas / in ora patris* (252–83). He leads up to it with a mild pun on *expedire* ("get ready your embraces," i.e., spread your arms to embrace your sons, cf. *OLD* s.v., #1b) and a cruelly mocking *pater*, cf. Ovid *Met.* 8.231 (Daedalus after the death of Icarus) *at pater infelix nec iam pater*.

1005 venere: servants now bring in the heads of the children.

natos ecquid agnoscis: *ecquid* suggests eager impatience: "well, don't you recognize your own sons?"

1006–21 The first of three impassioned speeches by Thyestes as the full horror of the crime comes home to him (the others are 1035–51 and 1068–96). Each appeals for a response to this enormous evil: this speech invokes Earth and the underworld, the second turns to Atreus and Thyestes himself (1043–47), and the third calls on every realm of the world (1068–72) before addressing itself to Jupiter (1077–92). These appeals are all unheard or thwarted, and each of the speeches consequently ends in frustration and incompleteness, reflected in the weak rhetoric of their closing lines. Thyestes is denied the verbal satisfaction of a powerful *sententia* as he is the emotional support of seeing the world react as he would want it to. (The cosmos *has*, of course, recoiled in shock, but Thyestes, who was already aware of this as a mysterious and threatening phenomenon—cf. 985–95—cannot now take comfort from it; there is something almost matter-of-fact in his recognition, *hoc est deos quod puduit, hoc egit diem / aversum in ortus* 1035–36).

1006 Agnosco fratrem: i.e., Atreus has now shown himself in his true form; compare Medea's taunt to Jason, *coniugem agnoscis tuam?* (1021 and cf. 923 *ultimum agnosco scelus*) and Hecuba's rueful "recognition" of her fellow-captives in *Tro.* 94–95 *placet hic habitus, / placet; agnosco Troada turbam*. For the loaded use of *frater* cf. 24, 425, 476.

sustines tantum nefas: before *gestare* completes the sense, these words suggest Earth "bearing up" under a crushing weight; this conception is reversed in 1020, where Thyestes calls the unmoving Earth itself an "inert weight."

1007–1009 non . . . abripis? a version of the wish, uttered at moments of intense shame or disgrace, that the earth might open and consume one (see Pease on Verg. *Aen.* 4.24), but stated in typically intense and violent language (*rupta, ingenti via*).

1007–1008 non . . . mergis: *tantum nefas* (1006) is the object.

ad infernam Styga / tenebrasque: almost a hendiadys for "to the Stygian darkness below"; for the connection cf., e.g., Verg. *G.* 3.551 *Stygiis emissa tenebris*, Sen. *Epist.* 24.18 *nemo tam puer est ut Cerberum timeat et tenebras et larvalem habitum*. [This text incorporates B. Schmidt's conjecture *tenebrasque* for the manuscript reading *te nosque*. With the manuscript text, *te* would refer to *Tellus*, and Earth would be asked to plunge itself, along with Atreus and Thyestes, into Tartarus—a physical impossibility which it is hard to attribute even to Seneca's mannerist imagination. Furthermore, *te nosque* places Earth on the same footing as the guilty brothers, which clashes with the basic assumption of the speech, that Earth should express outrage at their crime. Finally, *nos* does not cohere well with *rege* in 1009, while with *tenebrasque* the progress of Thyestes' thoughts becomes clear: he first calls Atreus the guilty one (*rege*), then makes himself bear equal blame (*uterque* 1012, *nos* 1015), then focuses on his own punishment (*caput . . . nostrum* 1017).]

1008 mergis: often used by Ovid of violent descent to the underworld, cf. *Met.* 10.697 *an Stygia soutes dubitavit mergeret unda*, Luck on *Tr.* 4.5.21, but here recalling the appearances of *mergere* in the preceding cosmic upheaval, cf. 777, 820, 868.

rupta: nom. modifying *Tellus*. The language is again conventional (cf. Ovid *Met.* 13.442 *exit humo late rupta*, of the ghost of Achilles; Sen. *Oed.* 160–61 *rupere Erebi claustra profundi / turba sororum*, *Oct.* 136), but it gains in force from the prominence of "sundering" metaphors in the play, see on 179, also 88 *tellure rupta*.

ingenti via: i.e., the "path" opened by the fissure in the earth's surface; *ingens via* is an unusual combination (*via lata* would be normal, cf. *HF* 237, *Prob.* 2.10), and the intensifying *ingens* might have been suggested by Verg. *Aen.* 7.568–70 *spiracula Ditis / monstrantur, ruptoque ingens Acheronte vorago / pestiferas aperit fauces*.

1009 ad chaos inane: *chaos* is often applied to the underworld (cf. Verg. *Aen.* 6.265, Sen. *Med.* 9, 741, *Pha.* 1238, *Oed.* 572–73 *rumpitur caecum chaos / iterque . . . ad superos datur*), and so is *inanis*, "insubstantial" (*OLD* s.v., #10, Ovid *Met.* 4.510 *inania . . . regna Ditis*), but *inane chaos* must carry cosmological overtones, recalling the "void" which Atomist philosophers placed before the formation of the universe, cf. Verg. *Ecl.* 6.31, Ovid *Ars* 2.470, Sen. *Epist.* 72.9 *in Epicureum illud chaos decidunt, inane sine termino*.

1010 tota . . . tecta: "[*totus*] eventually develops into a synonym of *omnis* and indeed replaces it (cf. French 'tout'). But most seeming instances in classical Latin . . . are intelligible in the proper sense; [*tecta*] is treated as a collective, undifferentiated noun [= *urbs*], not as a number of separate units." (Courtney on Juv. 8.255, *mutatis mutandis*; see also D. R. Shackleton Bailey, *Propertiana* [Cambridge, 1956], 48–49).

Seneca was probably thinking of Verg. *Aen.* 2.445–46, *Dardanidae contra turris et tota domorum / culmina convellunt*: note also 464–65 [*turrim*] *convellimus altis / sedibus* [= *ab imo . . . solo*]. [In *Aen.* 2.445 *tecta* is a widely attested ancient variant for *tota*; Seneca may just possibly have conflated the readings to produce *tota . . . tecta*.]

1010–11 ab imo . . . solo / vertis Mycenae: another echo of Vergil's description of the fall of Troy, *Aen.* 2.625 *ex imo verti Neptunia Troia*.

1011–12 stare . . . iam debuimus: "we ought by now to have been standing."

1012 uterque: Thyestes now realizes, as Atreus had foreseen (271–72), that he is as deeply tainted as his brother. (He also makes explicit the connection with Tantalus that is implied in the Prologue, see above p. 85).

compagibus: the links holding the earth in place and thereby closing off the lower world; for the idea of their rupture, cf. *NQ* 6.32.4 *securus aspiciet ruptis compagibus dehiscere solum, illa licet inferorum regna retegantur* and the closely parallel lines *Oed.* 579–83 *sive ipsa tellus, ut daret functis viam, / compage rupta sonuit . . . subito dehiscit terra et immenso sinu / laxata patuit*. [The imitation of this passage in *HO* 1135–36 transposes it to a heavenly setting: *hinc et hinc compagibus / ruptis uterque debuit frangi polus*. Note the reworking of *uterque . . . debuimus* in *uterque debuit*, typical of the way Senecan phrases are handled by the author of *HO*.]

1013 si quid infra Tartara est: i.e., Tartarus is not sunk far enough below the earth to be a suitable place of confinement for Atreus and Thyestes. (For the thought cf. Ovid *Pont.* 4.14.11–12 *Styx quoque, si quid ea est, bene commutabitur Histro, / si quid et inferius quam Styga mundus habet*, Sen. *HF* 1223–25 *si quod exilium latet / ulterius Erebo, Cerbero ignotus et mihi, / hoc me abde, Tellus*.) Thyestes here transcends Tantalus' prediction that his descendants would fill the region of the damned (21–23)—another example of the Tantalid urge to surpass previous levels of wickedness (cf. 19, 195–96).

1014 avosque nostros: one of Thyestes' rare *sententiae*: Tartarus is ironically defined as nearly synonymous with "our ancestors" because of the eminent place Tantalus holds among the inmates.

avos: generalizing plural, cf. Acc. 207 R² *matres conquinari regias* [= Aerope], Verg. *Aen.* 7.359 *exulibusne datur . . . Lavinia Teucris* [= Aeneas], K-S 1.86–87.

1014–15 hoc . . . vallem: “to this place let your chasm descend in an enormous cavity”; *hoc* is adverbial (= *huc*, cf. 710 above), *immani sinu* is abl. of manner defining how the action of *demitte vallem* is to be performed (compare *immenso sinu* in *Oed.* 582, quoted on 1012 *compagibus*), and *vallis* is the fissure spoken of in 1008 *rupta* (similarly *Tro.* 178 *scissa vallis aperit immensos specus*). The phrasing is unusually knotty, perhaps because Seneca is straining to recall other depressions in the play: the abyss (*sinus*) into which the planets will fall on the last day (843) and the *vallis* that surrounds the grove of the palace (651).

1015 demitte: of the personified earth in Verg. *Ecl.* 9.7–8 *qua se subducere colles / incipiunt mollique demittere clivo*.

1016 Acheronte: = the underworld, as in 17, Verg. *Aen.* 7.312 *flectere si superos nequeo, Acheronta movebo*.

1016–19 noxiae . . . fluat: the emotional level of Thyestes' speech changes, as he elaborates the notion of a sub-Tartarean prison in graphic but essentially fanciful terms; the seclusion of this retreat may even have an attraction for him (see on 1018).

1016–17 caput . . . nostrum: not a likely collective singular; Thyestes is now thinking of his own punishment (cf. *vile hoc caput* 996).

1017 vagentur: an unexpected detail, since the famous sinners are usually shown in strict confinement; the only “wandering” in Vergil's underworld, for example, is by Aeneas and Anchises—*Aen.* 6.886 *tota passim regione vagantur*—and by the spirits of the unburi at the banks of the Styx, *Aen.* 6.329 *centum errant annos volitantque haec litora circum*. (It is perhaps a sign of Thyestes' passivity that even in his fantasies others possess a freedom of motion that is denied to him.)

ardenti freto: Thyestes again “outdoes” Tantalus, who had offered to stand in the middle of Phlegethon, cf. 72–73.

1018 Phlegethon . . . agens: = ABabc (see on 10), a refined arrangement suggesting a certain pleasure in the act of description.

Phlegethon: the border of Tartarus in Verg. *Aen.* 6.550–51, Sen. *Pha.* 1226–27.

tostas: if this is the correct reading (see below), the grains of sand are “roasted” by the fiery river, like lupines (Ovid *Med. fac.* 69), beans (Col. 8.11.6), or chestnuts (Mart. 5.78.15) over a fire—a unique and ingenious detail. [The reading printed here is a 16th-century conjecture for *totas*, which seems to lack point, unlike the intensifying uses of *totus* in 1010 and 1016. Another early conjecture is *tortas*, which would describe the sand “twisted” by the violent flow of the river; this can be more closely paralleled—cf. Verg. *Aen.* 7.567 *torto vertice torrens*, Luc. 4.767 *quantus Bistonio torquetur turbine pulvis*—but does not cohere as neatly with the stress on fire in *ardenti* and *igneus*.]

1019 exilia: “place of exile,” cf. Ovid *Tr.* 4.4.51 *mitius exilium paulumque propinquius opto*, HF 93 *ultra nocentum exilia*, 1223–24 *si quod exilium latet / ulterius Erebo*; a fitting term for the Tantalids, no strangers to exile as the consequence of wickedness, cf. 32–38, 237. [The manuscripts read *exitia*, which yields no satisfactory sense; *exilia* is an emendation by Gronovius.]

1020 immota . . . iaces?: Thyestes disconsolately realizes that his appeal has produced no effect. [The manuscripts divide between *iaces* (A) and *iacet* (E); the former balances *sustines* in 1006 and so provides an effective frame for the speech.]

1021–23 iam . . . tribus: Atreus acts as if nothing untoward had happened, and pretends that Thyestes' children are present, waiting for their father to embrace them. Though understated in its language, this is one of the play's most deeply disturbing moments.

1021 potius: i.e., rather than lamenting; Atreus disregards Thyestes' speech as irrelevant to his “reunion.”

1022 diu expetitos: referring to Thyestes' repeated request to see them (974–75, 997); perhaps also a mocking echo of his earlier invitation to Thyestes, *complexus mihi / redde expetitos* (508–509).

nulla . . . mora: “your brother's not stopping you,” a phrase with colloquial overtones, cf. *Juv.* 6.333, 12.111.

1023 divide: the word provokes echoes of more sinister “divisions,” cf. 147, 760.

1024–25 Hoc . . . ponis?: the bewildered questions give an impression of naive credulity; one could easily imagine Atreus meeting each query with a delighted nod. Accius' Thyestes may have been more forceful in his protests, if the words *fregisti fidem* (227 R²) come from the corresponding scene in the *Atreus*.

gratia: “good will,” “friendship,” cf. Ovid *Met.* 1.145 *fratrum quoque gratia rara est*.

1025 sic odia ponis?: recalling Atreus' words *animis odia damnata excidant* (511) and Thyestes' reply *ponatur omnis ira* (519).

1025–30 non peto . . . perditurus: Thyestes assumes an almost deferential tone, striking evidence of his submission to Atreus' will.

1026–27 scelere . . . salvo . . . odioque: “without harm to your crime and hatred,” a courteous idiom like “saving your reverence” in older English, cf. *Epist.* 117.1 *salva conscientia*, Luc. 7.378 *salva . . . maiestate*, Quint. 6.3.35 *salva verecundia*. The use of *scelus* and *odium* in such a phrase is an inversion like that in 203–204 *in medio est scelus / positum occupanti* (see note *ad loc.*).

1027 frater hoc fratrem rogo: a curious emphasis; can Thyestes still think that Atreus feels any respect for the claims of brotherhood? (For Atreus' view, cf. 240–41 *certi nihil / nisi frater hostis*, and note Thyestes' own suspicions, 425, 476–82). Seneca's depiction of a perverted world is so successful that a belief in traditional moral values seems in this context laughably out of place.

1028–29 redde . . . uri: “give me back what you may see burned without delay” (*quod cernas . . . uri* is a relative clause of characteristic).

1029–30 nihil te . . . habiturus rogo, / sed perditurus: “I ask you for nothing in order to possess it, but in order to lose it” (i.e., since the remains would be destroyed as soon as they were handed over); the future participles express intention, cf. *Ira.* 1.3.5 *irascimur . . . iis qui laesuri sunt* (“those who mean to do us harm”), Ag. 101 *ruitura* (n).

1030–31 Quidquid . . . habes: another Atrean *jeu de mots*. At one level he is using a “polar expression” only one element of which is logically applicable: the meaning would be “you see before you all that remains of your children” (lit., “what there is and is not”). (For other such expressions—perhaps colloquial in origin—cf. Pl. *Trin.* 360 *comedit quod fuit quod non fuit*, Catullus 76.16 *hoc facias, sive id pote sive non pote*, Verg. *Aen.* 12.810–11 *nec tu me . . . videres / digna indigna pati*, Sen. *Med.* 566–67 *incipe / quidquid potest Medea, quidquid non potest*.) But Atreus also intends a sense in which both parts of the phrase are relevant: “you have [on this platter] what is left and you have [within you] what is not.”

1032-33 *Utrumne . . . feras?*: not having grasped the hidden sense of Atreus' words, Thyestes asks what has become of the rest of the bodies; as with the Chorus's similar question to the Messenger (747-48 and see note), his worst imaginings fall far short of the truth.

1032 *pabulum . . . iacent*: an echo of 12 *pabulum monstro* [= *vulturi*] *iacet*.

1033 *beluis scinduntur*: "are they being torn apart by beasts?"; for *scindere* cf. Ovid *Ibis* 168 *scindent avidi perfida corda canes*, 1067 below (of Thyestes himself). [*scinduntur* is my conjecture; the Mss read *servantur*, "are they being reserved for wild animals?" (cf. *Oed.* 31 *cui reservamur malo?*), which seems too vague to cohere with the other possibilities mentioned. Axelson's *vorantur* is attractive—it would make all three questions turn on forms of eating—but *beluis vorantur* and *pascunt feras* are too close to tautology for comfort.]

1034 *Epulatus . . . dape*: dropping his playful ambiguity, Atreus reveals the truth in a formal, perhaps slightly archaic style (note the pleonasm of *epulatus es* and *dape*).

inopia: a neat reminder that Thyestes bears responsibility for the deed.

1035-51 An oddly disjointed speech, consisting largely of rhetorical gestures that fail to cohere or develop. The appearance of the '*tale quis vidit nefas?*' motif near the end (1047-50) testifies to a lack of progression, and the feeble attempts at *sententiae* in 1046-47 and 1050-51 strengthen the impression of a botched performance.

1036 *aversum*: "turned away" in disgust, cf. Ovid *Am.* 3.12.29 *aversum . . . diem mensis furialibus Atrei, Tr.* 2.392 *aversos Solis . . . equos*.

1036-37 *quas . . . mihi?*: a version of the "inexpressibility topos" (see on 684), creating the expectation of a formal lament.

1037 *questusque quos*: the unusual word-order and repeated *qu-* sounds suggest speech broken by sobs.

1038 *cerno*: another introductory motif in lamentation, cf. Verg. *Aen.* 9.481 '*hunc ego te, Euryale, aspicio?*', Ovid *Met.* 13.495 '*nate, iaces, videoque tuum, mea vulnera, pectus*' (text uncertain), Sen. *Pha.* 1168-69 '*Hippolyte, tales intuo vultus tuos / talesque feci?*'

1038-39 *abscisa . . . avulsas . . . rupta fractis*: the appalling climax of the play's many instances of "breaking" and "sundering" language, see on 179 *ruptum*.

1038 *capita . . . manus*: cf. 764.

1039 *vestigia*: = *pedes*, a coinage inspired by Greek ἵχνοσ and first found in neoteric poetry, cf. Catullus 64.162, Verg. *Aen.* 5.566, Ovid *Met.* 1.536 with Bömer's note. The feet, which would be as hard to disguise as the heads and hands, were probably not mentioned earlier (764) because they do not lend themselves to pointed comment.

1040 *hoc . . . pater*: the sight of these fragments makes Thyestes guiltily aware of the eagerness with which he consumed the rest of the bodies. The line is unusual in having three resolved long syllables (*ävídüs, cäpëřë, pötüt*)—Thyestes' excited words come tumbling out in a rush.

hoc est: echoing 1035 and rounding off the speech's phase of recognition.

avidus . . . pater: Thyestes has played to perfection the role for which Atreus had cast him, cf. 277-78.

capere: "take in," "find room for," cf. 255.

1041-42 *volvuntur . . . fugam*: a restatement of the disorder felt at 999-1001, with fear (*tremuit*) and lament (*gemitu*) replaced by a more vigorous struggle to escape. Thyestes is experiencing the counterpart of Atreus' "seizure" in 260-61 *tumultus pectora . . . quatit / penitusque volvit*.

volvuntur: passive with middle force, "heave," "toss," cf. Luc. 8.272 *mille . . . volvuntur in aequore puppes*.

1041 *viscera*: the flesh of the children, immediately redefined in abstract terms as *clusum nefas*.

1042 *quaerit fugam*: even the children seek to join the universal flight from Thyestes; an unfortunate extension of the motif of "escape."

1043-44 *da . . . via*: Thyestes' first impulse is to kill himself. The reaction of Tereus in Ovid *Met.* 6.663-64 is similar (*et modo, si posset, reserato pectore diras / egerere inde dapes semesaque viscera gestit*), but with characteristic abstractness Seneca only alludes to the act by naming its metaphorical result, "releasing" the children (*liberis detur via*); compare *Med.* 970-71 *victima manes tuos / placamus ista* (as Medea kills one of her children).

sanguinis . . . ille: i.e., Thyestes can rightly lay claim to the weapon because it has already shed "his" blood.

1044 *detur via*: the image of a "path" may suggest the freedom Thyestes knows he lacks; see also 1008 *ingenti via*, note on 1017 *vagentur*. [The A manuscripts read *demus viam* in a misguided attempt to make the language more vivid.]

1045-46 *pectora . . . planctu*: "let my battered breast resound with smashing blows of grief." The language is hyperbolic (in the style parodied in Petr. *Sat.* 87.2 *verberabam aegrum planctibus pectus*), perhaps in order to convey the violence of Thyestes' self-hatred; its effect, though, is undercut by the following *sententia*.

1046 *contusa*: stronger than *tundere*, the standard Augustan term for beating one's breast (cf., e.g., Verg. *Aen.* 1.481, Ovid *Am.* 3.9.10); in time, however, *contundere* loses its original sense of battering (cf. Ovid *Met.* 12.85 *ut . . . pectus tantummodo contudit ictu* [i.e., "merely struck" rather than pierced], Luc. 2.38 *contundite pectora, matres*). Here *contusa* is reinforced by *illiso* and *sonent*.

1046-47 *sustine . . . umbris*: this dreadful specimen of misplaced cleverness has only one equal in the tragedies: the blind Oedipus, about to leave the stage on which Jocasta lies dead, says (1051) *i profuge vade—siste, ne in matrem incidas*. Here at least the tastelessness of the point serves a dramatic purpose, subverting Thyestes' attempts at tragic dignity, and so preventing him from fully engaging an audience's sympathy even when his situation might most warrant it. (See on 1004 *obloquuntur*.)

1047-50 *tale . . . Procrustes*: for the motif see on 627-32.

1048 *inhospitalis*: = ἄξενοσ, the term originally applied to the Black Sea (later euphemistically called the Euxine); *inhospitalis* was by Seneca's time a conventional epithet of the Caucasus (cf. Hor. *Epod.* 1.12, C. 1.22.6, Sen. *Med.* 43), but here it could have its literal sense ("unfriendly to strangers"), since the Heniochi were notorious pirates, cf. Ovid *Pont.* 4.10.26, Strabo 12.2.12.

1049-50 quis . . . Procrustes? Procrustes (also called Damastes) was an Attic brigand (hence *Cecropius . . . terris*, high-poetic idiom for "the land of Attica") who waylaid travelers and fitted them to a bed either by stretching or amputation, cf. "Apollodorus" *Epit.* 1.4 with Frazer's note. He too may be cited as a violator of hospitality: "Apollodorus" speaks of him inviting passers-by to be his guests, a motif almost certainly taken from an earlier Greek treatment. [It is also possible that Thyestes invokes Procrustes as a dismemberer of bodies, but, if so, this would be the one place in Latin apart from the late compilation called "Hyginus" where this aspect of Procrustes' legend is alluded to; Ovid and Seneca are the only writers who mention him, and elsewhere in their work he appears simply as a name along with other figures of egregious cruelty, cf. Ovid *Her.* 2.69, *Met.* 7.438, *Ibis* 405, Sen. *Pha.* 1170, *Clem.* 2.4.1.]

1049 metus: "cause of fear," cf. 670, *OLD* s.v., #5b, *HF* 230 *taurum . . . centum non levem populis metum*.

1050 en: marks a new recognition and leads into the final *sententia*; Thyestes is obviously pleased with the point he is about to make.

1050-51 genitor . . . natis: "I weigh heavily on my sons and in turn they weigh heavily on me," a poor attempt at a striking phrase. (Perhaps not in Seneca's eyes, however: Andromache frames a nearly identical antithesis in *Tro.* 690-91 *ne pater natum obruat / prematque patrem natus*.)

1051 modus: "proper measure," because of the equal balance of oppression.

1052-68 Ever responsive to the ambiguities of language, Atreus takes *modus* to mean that his crime contains some "limitation" or "restriction." The thought re-awakens his feelings of dissatisfaction (cf. 252-54, etc., 890); he reviews his atrocities in a slighting, concessive tone, ending with the play's most horrifying line: his revenge has been in vain, since Thyestes may have eaten his children, but at the time neither he nor they knew it.

1052 Sceleri modus debetur: "a limit is owed to crime," a financial metaphor which makes *scelus* a half-personified entity (like *ira* in 1056).

facias: generalizing second person, cf. 925 *cadas*, 195-96 *scelera non ulcisceris / nisi vincis*.

1054-56 ex vulnere ipso . . . cruorem: this missed opportunity is sketched almost absently—one feels that Atreus' thoughts are elsewhere—and then forgotten.

1056-57 verba . . . propero: "through haste my anger has been tricked"; Atreus speaks of his *ira* as something independent of himself, to which he owes a loyalty he has failed to honor. (Compare 712-13, where the children are victims *devota impiæ . . . iræ*, and also Medea's apology to her *dolor: plura non habui, dolor, / quæ tibi litarem* 1019-20.)

1056 verba sunt . . . data: *verba dare* ("to deceive," cf. *OLD* s.v. *verbum*, #6) is generally avoided in high poetry; Ovid, for example, has it only in elegiacs (cf. *Ars* 2.166, 558, etc.), not in the *Metamorphoses*.

1057 dum propero: *dum* is causal: Atreus blames haste for his anger's deception, cf. *OLD* s.v., #4a, Ter. *And.* 822 *dum studeo obsequi tibi, paene inlusi vitam filiae*, Verg. *Aen.* 12.735-37 *fama est praecipitem . . . dum trepidet, ferrum aurigae rapuisse Metisci*, *HF* 35-36.

1057-65 ferro . . . flammas: a point-by-point digest of the Messenger's account. Atreus at first dwells on the details as evidence of thoroughness, but in the end the emphasis on

his own involvement (1064-65 *manu / mea, ipse*) shows him the reason for his disappointment: *he* did all of this, and not Thyestes.

Specific parallels: 1057 *ferro . . . impresso/722 ensem premens*; 1058 *cecidit ad aras/693 stat ipse ad aras; caede votiva/712 capita devota*, 714 *caede immolet; focus/768 trepidantes focus*; 1059 *corpora . . . amputans/760-62 secat . . . corpus, amputat . . . umeros*, etc.; 1060-62 *haec . . . iussi/765-67 haec . . . iactat*, 769 (*ignis*) *iussus*; 1062 *membra nervosque abscondi/763 denudat artus . . . ossa amputat*; 1063 *viventibus/755 erepta vivis exta pectoribus*; 1063-64 *traiectas veru . . . fibras/765 veribus haerent viscera*; 1064 *mugire/764 gemuere*; 1064-65 *aggressi . . . flammas/769 (ignis) bis ter regestus*.

1057 vulnera . . . dedi: "I dealt wounds"; *dare vulnera* is high style, cf. Verg. *Aen.* 10.733, Ovid *Met.* 1.458, 3.84, etc. (On periphrases with *dare* cf. Bömer on *Met.* 2.165.)

1059 placavi: Atreus' idiosyncratic view of the event foreseen by the Fury, *patrios polluat sanguis focus* (61).

1060 in . . . frusta: probably a borrowing from Verg. *Aen.* 1.212 *pars in frusta secant veribusque trementia figunt*.

carpsi: unlike Vergil's *secant* (previous note), *carpere* suggests pulling the flesh into pieces with bare hands. The intention is not to stress Atreus' cruelty, but his care: he thoughtfully does for Thyestes what a guest would normally do for himself, cf. Ovid *Ars* 3.355 *carpe cibos digittis* (i.e., rather than gnawing a joint of meat).

1063 gracili: almost the only detail not mentioned by the Messenger; it demonstrates the precision of Atreus' recollections.

1064 mugire: see on 772 *gemuere* (and for the close connection of the two words, cf. Stat. *Theb.* 6.28 *gemitu iam regia mugit*).

1066 cecidit in cassum: "has proven fruitless," cf. Pl. *Poen.* 360 *bene promittis multa . . . ; omnia in cassum cadunt*, Sen. *Brev. vit.* 11.1 *quam in cassum ceciderit omnis labor cogitant; cadere* may originally have retained its sense of motion (compare Livy 2.6.1 *ad irritum cadentis spei*), but as used here the expression is simply a fixed idiom.

1067 scidit ore natos impio: a concessive lead-in combining elements of 778-79 *lancinat natos pater / artusque mandit ore funesto suos* and 1034 *epulatus ipse es impia natos dape*; the play's previous high points of horror are recalled, then impatiently dismissed.

1067-68 sed nesciens, / sed nescientes: what galls Atreus is that, if his victims were unaware of what was happening, they cannot be said to have taken part in the action; Atreus has thus failed to make Thyestes "will what he would not" (212), and has not fully met the goal he set himself, to have Thyestes "rejoice" in eating his children (*gaudens* 278). It is characteristic of Seneca that bloodshed and violent death count for little compared to mental anguish; similar reasoning lies behind Medea's reply when Jason begs to be killed instead of his child: '*hac qua recusas, qua doles, ferrum exigam*' (1006) and Aegisthus' refusal to kill Electra in Ag. 994 '*concede mortem' 'si recusares, darem*'.

1068-96 Thyestes' third speech is rhetorically his most ambitious and, in its first part, his most successful (see on 1077-87). Like its predecessors, though, it ends in futility and impotence, a feeling reflected in some jarring shifts of stylistic level (see on 1088 *si minus*, 1095 *nil queror*), the proliferation of *si*-clauses in the closing section (1088, 1090, 1092, 1096), and the weak final *sententia* (*nil, Titan, queror, / si perseveras*).

1068–76 *Clausa . . . mea*: Thyestes calls on the realms of nature as the only witnesses of his misery (*tibi sum relictus* 1073). The gesture recalls the isolated heroes of Greek tragedy, for example Sophocles' Philoctetes (*Phil.* 936–38) or Prometheus in *Prometheus Bound* (88–92, with Griffith's note); here the convention is seen from a fresh angle, since Thyestes is concerned for the stability of the natural order he invokes (1076 *vobis vota prospicient mea*).

1068 *litoribus vagis*: "winding shores," an expression for which *OLD* s.v. *vagus* cites only later parallels (cf. *Stat. Theb.* 5.494–95 *vaga litora furtim / incommitata sequor*), though Seneca comes close in *Tranq.* 2.13 *peregrinationes suscipiuntur vagae et litora pererrantur* and Ovid *Met.* 9.450 speaks of the windings of Meander's banks, *curvamina ripae*. (The conventional use of *vagus* is with rivers, cf. *Hor. C.* 1.34.9 with Nisbet-Hubbard's note.) This novel combination, which evokes the motif of "wandering" (see on 1017), is even more remarkable for being joined to an image of confinement (*clausa . . . maria*); the word-order depicts an enclosure—*clausa . . . maria* surrounding *litoribus vagis*—that inverts the reality described. The resulting tension between motion and restraint is a variant of the idea of flight or escape that haunts Thyestes in this act (see on 922–23, 987, 1003, 1008, 1017, 1042, 1044).

1071 *nox . . . gravis*: see on 787.

Tartarea: abl. with *nube*, parallel to *atra*; *Tartareus* is not a mere synonym for "black," and may suggest the presence of infernal matter in the upper world (cf. 678–79).

1072 *vaca*: "pay heed," cf. *Prop.* 4.6.14 *Caesar dum canitur, quaeso, Iuppiter ipse vaces*. (Seneca may be punning at Thyestes' expense on the basic sense "be empty," a condition that has already been met, cf. 892 *caelum vacat*.)

1073 *tibi sum relictus*: "I have been abandoned to you" (i.e., only night is present to hear him), cf. *Verg. Aen.* 12.382 *truncum . . . reliquit harenae*. Ovid *Met.* 14.217 *leto poenaeque relictus*.

1074 *tu quoque sine astris*: i.e., night too has been "abandoned" (cf. 990–91 *aether . . . desertus*). This is a third member of a tricolon (after *tibi sum relictus* and *sola tu miserum vides*) and exhibits the slight twist typical of a *sententia*, but without the impact of the best Senecan examples.

vota . . . improba: presumably as opposed to Atreus' *vota* (888, 912), although Thyestes did not actually hear these being made. The phrase also points to a contrast with the traditional "curse of Thyestes," see on 1110–11.

1075 *pro me*: "for my own benefit."

1076 *vobis . . . prospicient*: "will look out for your interests," cf. *Cic. Cat.* 4.3 *consulite vobis, prospicite patriae, Fam.* 3.2.1,

1077–87 *tu . . . exple*: for the address to Jupiter, Seneca lends Thyestes all his own rhetorical skill: the language is rich and powerful, the phrases long but fully controlled, the forward impetus unflagging. (By comparison, the other places in Seneca where Jupiter is called on to hurl his thunderbolt—*HF* 1202–1205, *Med.* 531–37, *Pha.* 672–83—seem unimpressive or hysterical.)

1077–79 *rector . . . potens / dominator aulae*: Jupiter is addressed as a sovereign, the lord of a heavenly court (for *rector* cf. 607, and for *aula* see on 392); the following reference to I.s battle against the Giants implies that Jupiter's authority is again being threatened (cf. 804–12). What Thyestes is calling for—and failing to obtain—is a clear vindication of the gods' power.

1078 *dominator*: first used by Cicero, *ND* 2.4 *illum . . . et Iovem et dominatorem rerum et omnia regentem*, cf. also *Eleg. in Maec.* 1.87 *fudit Aloidas postquam dominator Olympi*.

1078–80 *totum . . . undique . . . omni parte*: a variety of "universalizing" terms, punctuating the threefold invocation (*convolve . . . committe . . . intona*).

1079 *bella ventorum*: a passing glance at a well-worn topic, the warfare of opposing winds, cf., e.g., *Verg. G.* 1.318 *omnia ventorum concurrere proelia vidi*, Ovid *Met.* 11.490–91 *omni . . . e parte* [compare 1080 *omni parte*] *feroces / bella gerunt venti* (with Bömer's note), *Sen. Ag.* 476 (n), *Med.* 940.

1080 *omni . . . intona*: cf. *HF* 1202 *nunc parte ab omni, genitor, iratus tona, Med.* 531 *nunc summe toto Iuppiter caelo tona*.

violentum: adverbial acc. (AG 390b).

1081–84 *manuque non qua . . . hac arma expedi*: "not with that hand with which you attack innocent homes and dwellings, using a lesser weapon, but with that hand by which the threefold mass collapsed and [with it] the Giants who equaled the mountains in stature—with *this* hand get ready your weapons." [The text is disputed in two places: in 1081 the manuscripts divide between *manumque* (E P) and *manuque* (T CS) and in 1084 *hac* is Scaliger's conjecture for *haec*. The combination *manuque . . . hac* gives a smooth and coherent text, and I have therefore adopted it—although with some misgivings, since *manuque* could easily have arisen by false attraction to *qua*, as *haec* has probably been drawn into agreement with *arma*. Leo (*Obs.*, 40) defended *manumque . . . haec*, arguing that the thought begun with *manumque* is forgotten after the parenthetical clause *non . . . Gigantes*, and so a new object, *haec arma*, is introduced with the main verb *expedi*. This shift seems unlikely in view of the continued stress on *manus* in *non qua . . . sed qua*, but the hypothesis of a change in construction could be used to support *manumque . . . hac*; the swerve would then be from the expected *hanc manum expedi* to *hac arma expedi*.]

1081 *manuque*: *manus* implies the force with which the weapon is to be hurled, cf. *OLD* s.v., #8, *Sall. Hist.* 2.64 *parietes . . . templorum ambusti manus Punicas ostentabant*.

tecta et immeritas domos: a poetic condensation of *immerita tecta et immeritas domos*; the adjective appears only once but is to be taken in common with both nouns. (The construction is often called ἀπὸ κοινοῦ.) The adjective is more often attached, as here, to the second of the nouns to which it applies.

1082 *telo . . . minore*: for the idea that the innocent or obscure receive milder treatment from the gods cf. *Pha.* 1124–25 *minor in parvis Fortuna furit / leviusque ferit leviora deus*, 1132–33 *raras patitur fulminis ictus / umida vallis*; the notion of thunderbolts coming in a range of sizes, however, seems to be a whimsical inspiration of Ovid, cf. *Met.* 3.303–307 *nec, quo centimanum deiecerat igne Typhoea, / nunc armatur eo; nimum feritatis in illo est. / est aliud levius fulmen, cui dextra Cyclopum / saevitiae flammaeque minus, minus addidit irae; / tela secunda vocant superi. capit illa, etc.* Ovid's lines may be the basis for the balanced clauses *non qua . . . sed qua*; as often, the model is both evoked and altered, as Thyestes calls on Jupiter to use precisely the weapon that his Ovidian counterpart had rejected.

1082–83 *montium / tergemina moles*: the mass produced by piling Pelion, Ossa, and Olympus one above the other; see on 810–12 above.

1083 *cecidit*: in Ovid *Met.* 1.154–55 Jupiter's thunderbolt shakes the mountains free, *missa perfregit Olympum / fulmine et excussit subiectae Pelion Ossae*.

1083–84 *qui montibus / stabant pares Gigantes*: apparently an original twist, a sign of the unusual rhetorical fluency Thyestes shows in this passage.

1084 *arma expedi*: the echo of Atreus' *expedi amplexus* (1004) is surely intentional.

1084–87 *expedi . . . exple*: the invocation ends with a surge of energy, the appeals hammered out in short phrases and the insistent imperatives (*expedi . . . torque . . . vindica . . . iaculare . . . exple*) urgent and even commanding.

1086–87 *lumen . . . exple*: the lightning-flash will replace the natural sources of light that have fled. The point resembles a clever touch in an unnamed declaimer's handling of a sea-storm, cf. Sen. *Contr.* 8 *exc.* 6 *demissa nox caelo est et tantum fulminibus dies redditus*; compare also Ovid *Met.* 2.330–32 (after Phaethon's disastrous ride) *unum / isse diem sine sole ferunt; incendia lumen / praebebant*, Sen. *Ag.* 494, 496–97 (nn). The *sententia* may sound forced to modern taste, but for Seneca and his audience it could have seemed an effective variation on a familiar theme.

1087–88 *causa . . . sit*: “so that you don't need to think it over at length, let the justification be the evil done by both of us”; i.e., if Jupiter considers Atreus and Thyestes together, his decision to punish them should be an easy one. (Compare Medea's argument at 534–37, that Jupiter need not take care to aim his thunderbolt at herself or Jason; both are guilty, so he cannot fail to hit someone deserving punishment.) Thyestes' language slips into near-informality, and his reasoning begins to sound merely clever.

1087 *causa*: see on 276.

ne dubites diu: an implicit recognition of Jupiter's failure to heed Thyestes' appeal.

1088 *utriusque mala*: cf. 272 *uterque faciat* (sc. *facinus*).

si minus: “if not,” cf. *OLD* s.v. *minus*, #4b. The expression is strikingly undignified for this context; it also signals another stage in the frustration of Thyestes' hopes.

1089 *trisolco flammeam telo facem*: an abrupt return to the grand style, with two alliterative periphrases intertwined in the order abAB (see on 10). It is as if Thyestes, having formulated a new request for Jupiter, looks for a suitably august tone of voice in which to make it; the shift is too sudden to be effective, and the diction suggests bluster rather than real power. (This ornate style is reminiscent of early tragedy, cf., e.g., Accius 581–82 R² *Soli, qui micantem candido curru atque equis / flammam citatis fervido ardore explicas. . .*)

trisolco . . . telo: cf. Ovid *Am.* 2.5.52, *Met.* 2.848 *trisolcis . . . ignibus*, Sen. *Pha.* 681 *trisolca . . . face*; compare Varro *Sat.* 54 Buecheler *trisolcum fulmen*, Sen. *Pha.* 189, ps-Sen. *HO* 1994.

flammeam . . . facem: cf. Prop. 4.6.29–30 *nova flamma / luxit in obliquam . . . facem*, Sen. *Pha.* 681 (previous note); see also on 835–36 *aeternae / facis exortu*.

1090–92 *si . . . cremandus*: Thyestes is struck by a sudden inspiration, that being blasted by Jupiter's thunderbolt is the only way the children can receive a proper funeral; this strained point is a weak variation on an older motif, in which Thyestes is the tomb of his children, cf. Accius 226 R² *natis sepulchro ipse est parens*, applied to Tereus in Ovid *Met.* 6.665 *se . . . vocat bustum miserabile nati*.

1090 *natos pater*: for the juxtaposition see on 40–41, 90; here it makes relatively little impact.

1091 *humare*: used in a general sense, “to give funeral rites to,” which may involve cremation and burial of the ashes, cf. (perhaps) Lucr. 6.1281, Verg. *Aen.* 6.161 and 226–35, 11.2 and 211–12, Nepos *Eum* 13.4.

1092–93 *si . . . petit*: Thyestes retreats another step; *nihil* and *nullus* show that he has given up looking for any response from the gods.

1095 *nil . . . queror*: used several times by Cicero, usually as a lead-in line (cf. *Cluent.* 188–89 *nihil de alteris Oppicianti nuptiis queror . . . illud primum queror de illo scelere*, etc., *Verr.* 2.111, *Phil.* 2.79); in final position it sounds jarringly flat (almost like “it's all right with me”).

1096 *si perseveras*: “if you keep to your present course” (since the Sun has already turned and fled).

1096–1112 The pace now accelerates, and the play ends with a set of quick, pointed exchanges. Greek tragedy contains some superb examples of this type of finale, e.g., Aesch. *Ag.* 1649–73, *Soph. El.* 1491–1507. The nearest Senecan parallels are in *Agamemnon* 978–1012, *Phoenissae* 645–64, and *Medea* 982–1027; of these only the end of *Medea* equals these lines in concentration and power. Atreus, like Medea, remains in control throughout; he begins and ends the dialogue and has the only speech of any length (1104–1110), while Thyestes is allowed just one complete line, the lead-in to Atreus' concluding *sententia*.

1096–99 *Nunc . . . toris*: Atreus is delighted to have provoked Thyestes' long outburst and at last professes himself satisfied with his work.

1096 *manus*: “handiwork,” as in *Med.* 977 *approba populo manum*. Atreus may be opposing his *manus* to that of Jupiter, cf. 1081–84.

1097 *parta . . . est*: “has been obtained”; the word was so often used with *victoria*, *pax*, *laus*, etc. (*OLD* s.v., #5) that its connection with birth may not have been any longer felt.

palma: the victory-palm, cf. 410 above, *Ag.* 918–19 (n). (By speaking of a *vera palma*, Atreus may be placing his triumph on a higher level than the mundane athletic victories Thyestes looks back to in 410.)

1097–98 *perdideram . . . doleres*: “I would have wasted my crime if you were not lamenting in this way”; *perdideram* is indicative for subjunctive in the apodosis of a contrary-to-fact condition (AG 517b).

1097 *perdideram*: compare *Med.* 976–77 *non in occulto tibi est / perdenda virtus* (where *virtus* is used ironically of murder). It is a typical Senecan inversion to regard *scelus* as precious and not to be “squandered”; cf. *Ag.* 519 *perdenda mors est?*, *Cons. Helv.* 3.2 *perdidisti enim tot mala, si nondum miscram esse didicisti*.

1098–99 *liberos . . . toris*: Atreus had said that his paternity of Agamemnon and Menelaus would be assured if they cooperated in his plan (cf. 327–30); he now concludes that the success of his revenge has resolved his doubts. His second phrase (*castis . . . toris*) has a logical meaning, i.e., his marriage is saved from the disgrace of bastardy, but it goes beyond the rational in suggesting that Thyestes' adultery with Aepo has been undone. Medea too claims that revenge has annulled her past, 982–84 *iam iam recepi sceptrum germanum patrem, / . . . rediere regna, rapta virginitas redit*. It is in passages like these, with their unsettling mixture of logic and sheer delusion, that Seneca's understanding of madness is most clearly revealed.

1101 *Natos parenti*—: Thyestes means to complete the sentence with some phrase like *epulandos dedisti*?

1102 *certos*: "legitimate"; contrast 240 *dubius sanguis*, 327 *prolis incertae fides*. If Atreus can now be sure that Agamemnon and Menelaus are his own children, he feels he can be equally confident that Tantalus and Plisthenes were Thyestes'.

Piorum: objective gen. with *praesides* ("guardians of the just"), cf. *Ira* 6.3 *legum praesidem civitatisque rectorem*. Thyestes' claims to be considered *pius* are, of course, open to dispute, as Atreus is quick to point out.

1103 *Quid coniugales?*: "What about the gods of marriage?" (i.e., do you call upon them as well, seeing that you have violated the marriage-bond?), cf. *Rhet. Her.* 2.34 *'duae res sunt, iudices, quae omne ad maleficium impellant, luxures et avaritia' 'quid amor inquiet quispiam 'quid ambitio?'* [Heinsius proposed reading *quin* for *quid*, which would give the sarcastic question a different point: "why not invoke the gods of marriage?" (i.e., if you are going to be a hypocrite, why not do it properly by calling on the very gods whose laws you have abused?). This is attractive, and has been supported by Zwierlein in *Gnomon* 41 (1969), 769, but it does not seem decisively superior to *quid*, and the parallels cited are not closely relevant.]

Scelere . . . scelus: an astonishingly naive question, which Atreus does not even deign to notice.

pensat: "repays," cf. *Oed.* 937–39 *tam magnis breves / poenas sceleribus solvis atque uno omnia / pensabis ictu?*, Val. Max. 4.3.3.

1104–10 Atreus assumes that Thyestes is the mirror image of himself (cf. 314–16, 917–18), and so attributes to him the reaction he would have had in Thyestes' position, anger at having been anticipated.

1104 *scelere praerepto*: abl. with causal force, "because the crime was snatched away from you"; *praeripere* is here close in meaning to *occupare* in 204, 270.

1105–1106 *nec . . . pararis*: "nor is it the fact that you consumed the lawless feast that torments you, [but] the fact that you did not prepare it [for me]"; the absence of an adverbative word between the clauses produces a strikingly harsh effect.

1105 *hauseris . . . dapes*: a post-Augustan usage, cf. *OLD* s.v. *haurio*, #5b.

hauseris: the last syllable is scanned as long *in arsi* (i.e., because it occupies a place in the iambic metron where a metrical ictus falls), cf. *HF* 463 *quemcumque miserum videris, hominem scias*.

angit: i.e., *te*; cf. Livy 24.2.4 *ea cura angebat* (sc. *eos*), Tac. *Ann.* 1.47.1 *multa quippe et diversa angebant* (sc. *Tiberium*). [E reads *angit*, A *tangit*; Giardina has conjectured *te angit*, which would remove the unusual lengthening in *hauseris* and supply *angit* with its object (for the elision in *te angit*, cf. *Ag.* 199 *si aliter*, *Pho.* 443 *in me omnis ruat*). Giardina's proposal is quite elegant, but the features of E's text that it would remove are not true flaws; indeed, the omission of the object with *angit* seems of a piece with the more difficult absence of a connective between *nec quod . . .* and *quod non pararis*. See also Zwierlein, *Gnomon* 41 (1969), 765.]

1106 *pararis*: = *paraveris*.

1106–1107 *fuera . . . animus . . . instruere similes*: the rush of short syllables reflects Atreus' excitement.

1106 *animus*: "intention," "design" (*OLD* s.v., #7b).

1108 *matre*: i.e., Aerope, see above, p. 39.

aggredi: "attack," cf. *Med.* 565, *Ag.* 207.

1110 *tuos putasti*: again Atreus ascribes his own anxieties to Thyestes.

1110–11 *Vindices . . . mea*: this is Seneca's closest approach to a curse pronounced by Thyestes on Atreus, a central element of the story from Aeschylus onward (cf. *Ag.* 1601–1602) and a memorable episode in earlier Latin dramatic versions, cf. Cic. *Pis.* 43, *Tusc.* 1.107 (quoting Ennius). The restraint of this prayer may be intended to set Thyestes apart from Atreus, but it cannot avoid suggesting as well his weakness and ignorance. Thyestes' confidence in divine justice seems misplaced, especially after the failure of his appeal to Jupiter; his prediction is also colored by irony, since the punishment he wishes for Atreus will be brought about only after he has committed a second unnatural crime, incest with his daughter (above, p. 39). In his last line, as in his first (404), Thyestes fails to recognize the full import of his own words.

1111 *his . . . mea*: a line of lapidary dignity; it would be impressive were it not for Atreus' reply.

1112 *te . . . tuis*: in a final display of his verbal control, Atreus mockingly turns Thyestes' words against him; Thyestes' punishment will be the constant awareness of his own crimes (cf. *premor . . . natis* 1051). Atreus clearly gets the better of this last exchange, since the punishment he speaks of is not a hope (*vota te tradunt mea*), but a reality (*te . . . trado*).

As usual, Seneca provides no resolution at the end, no choral comment to set the action in a wider context, no uninvolved minor characters to give a sense of life continuing in its normal course. At its most powerful, here and in *Medea*, Senecan drama seems to negate the very concept of a normally functioning world; the passions that have driven the protagonists have left the order of things radically and permanently disjointed.

AMERICAN PHILOLOGICAL ASSOCIATION

TEXTBOOK SERIES

Gilbert W. Lawall, Editor

SENECA'S *THYESTES*

Edited with Introduction
and Commentary by

R. J. Tarrant

NUMBER 11

SENECA'S *THYESTES*
Edited with Introduction
and Commentary by
R. J. Tarrant

Scholars Press
Atlanta, Georgia